Social Science Chronicle

OPEN ACCESS

Peer-Reviewed, International, Academic Research Journal

ISSN : 3048-6297

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Impact of Renewable Energy Adoption, Market Liberalization, and Policy Interventions on Energy Economics and Energy Poverty in South Africa

Lerato Ayanda Tshabalala[1*]

[1] Nelson Mandela University, Port Elizabeth, South Africa.

** Corresponding Author*

E-mail: tshabalala@rediffmail.com

Abstract

This research examines the intersection of energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa, focusing on the impacts of renewable energy adoption, market liberalization, and decarbonization policies. The study employs a qualitative, exploratory approach, utilizing in-depth interviews, focus groups, and case studies to capture the diverse experiences of stakeholders, including policymakers, energy professionals, business owners, and low-income households. The findings reveal significant economic and social impacts of renewable energy integration, highlighting both opportunities for investment and challenges related to grid stability, market inequality, and job losses in coal-dependent regions. The research also critically assesses the effectiveness of South Africa's energy transition policies, identifying key barriers such as regulatory uncertainty, insufficient enforcement, and social resistance. Energy poverty is framed as a multidimensional issue, encompassing access, affordability, quality, and reliability of energy services, with severe health and socio-economic consequences for vulnerable populations. The study provides practical recommendations for enhancing policy coherence, supporting a just transition for affected communities, and promoting inclusive energy access through targeted interventions and behavioral change strategies. By offering a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics of energy economics and energy poverty, this research contributes valuable insights for policymakers, energy sector stakeholders, and community organizations striving to create a more sustainable and equitable energy future in South Africa.

Keywords

Energy Economics, Energy Poverty, Renewable Energy, Market Liberalization, Decarbonization Policies, Energy Transition, South Africa, Just Transition, Energy Access, Energy Efficiency, Energy Policy, Sustainable Energy.

Citation

Tshabalala, L.A. (2024). Impact of Renewable Energy Adoption, Market Liberalization, and Policy Interventions on Energy Economics and Energy Poverty in South Africa, *Social Science Chronicle*, Vol. 4, Issue - 1, pp. 1-17.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

https://doi.org/10.56106/ssc.2024.002

Received - October 28, 2023
Accepted - February 2, 2024
Published - February 9, 2024

Web-Link

All the contents of this peer reviewed article as well as author details are available at http://socialsciencechronicle.com/article-ssc-2024-002

1. Introduction

The global energy landscape is undergoing a profound transformation driven by the growing need to address climate change, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and transition towards more sustainable energy systems. In many parts of the world, including South Africa, this shift has prompted the adoption of renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind, alongside efforts to liberalize energy markets and implement decarbonization policies. However, these changes come with complex economic, social, and political challenges that affect not only the energy sector but also the lives of millions of people, particularly those already struggling with energy poverty. South Africa's energy sector is characterized by a high dependence on coal, which has long been the backbone of the country's energy supply. The dominance of coal has contributed to significant greenhouse gas emissions, air pollution, and environmental degradation. In response, the South African government has committed to ambitious decarbonization

targets, including increasing the share of renewable energy in the national grid and implementing policies aimed at reducing carbon emissions. However, the transition towards a more sustainable energy future is fraught with challenges, including grid instability, market dynamics, regulatory uncertainty, and socio-economic impacts on coal-dependent communities.

Energy poverty, defined as the lack of access to affordable, reliable, and modern energy services, remains a pervasive issue in South Africa. Despite progress in electrification, millions of households continue to struggle with energy insecurity, relying on traditional and often dangerous energy sources like wood, coal, and paraffin. This lack of access to clean and affordable energy has far-reaching implications for health, education, economic opportunities, and social well-being. Addressing energy poverty is not only a matter of social justice but also a critical component of achieving a just energy transition that leaves no one behind. This research aims to explore the interconnected issues of energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa, examining the impacts of renewable energy adoption, market liberalization, and decarbonization policies on various stakeholders. By focusing on both the economic dimensions of energy transitions and the lived experiences of those affected by energy poverty, the study seeks to provide a nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities that lie ahead for South Africa's energy future.

Background and Rationale

Energy Landscape in South Africa

South Africa's energy sector has historically been dominated by coal, which accounts for approximately 70% of the country's electricity generation. The state-owned utility Eskom, which manages most of the country's power plants and transmission infrastructure, has struggled with aging coal-fired power stations, financial instability, and frequent power outages, leading to widespread load shedding that disrupts economic activities and daily life. The heavy reliance on coal has also made South Africa one of the largest carbon emitters in Africa, contributing to global climate change and local environmental degradation. In recent years, the South African government has introduced several initiatives aimed at diversifying the energy mix and reducing carbon emissions. The Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) outlines the country's strategy for expanding renewable energy capacity, setting targets for wind, solar, and other clean energy sources. The Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) has been a key driver of private sector investment in renewables, attracting billions of rands in investment and creating jobs in the renewable energy sector. However, the integration of renewables into the national grid has posed challenges, including technical issues related to intermittency, the need for grid upgrades, and resistance from vested interests in the coal sector.

Energy Poverty in South Africa

Energy poverty remains a critical issue in South Africa, affecting an estimated 40% of households, particularly in rural and peri-urban areas. Despite the expansion of the national grid, many low-income households continue to rely on traditional biomass for cooking and heating due to the high cost of electricity and the unreliability of grid supply. The health impacts of energy poverty are severe, with indoor air pollution from burning biomass linked to respiratory illnesses, burns, and other health problems, especially among women and children. The Free Basic Electricity (FBE) policy, introduced to provide a limited amount of free electricity to low-income households, has offered some relief but is often insufficient to meet household energy needs. Additionally, the lack of access to energy-efficient appliances and the high cost of modern energy technologies further exacerbate the energy burden on vulnerable populations. The persistence of energy poverty highlights the need for more comprehensive and targeted interventions that address both access and affordability while promoting the use of clean, safe, and reliable energy sources.

Energy Transition and Market Liberalization

South Africa's efforts to transition towards a low-carbon economy are also intertwined with broader challenges related to market liberalization and the restructuring of the energy sector. The move towards a more competitive market, intended to reduce costs and improve efficiency, has faced numerous hurdles, including regulatory barriers, monopolistic practices, and the financial woes of Eskom. While market liberalization has the potential to stimulate investment and drive innovation, its benefits have not been evenly distributed, with low-income households often bearing the brunt of high energy prices and poor service delivery (Bhattacharyya, 2019; Birol, 2007; Primc & Slabe-Erker, 2020; Belaid, 2019; Li et al., 2021). The social implications of the energy transition are particularly pronounced in coal-dependent regions, where communities face economic uncertainty and job losses as the demand for coal declines. The concept of a "just transition" has gained prominence in policy discussions, emphasizing the need to support affected workers and communities through retraining, economic diversification, and social safety nets. However, the implementation of just transition policies remains in its early stages, with much work needed to ensure that the energy transition does not exacerbate existing inequalities.

Research Problem and Objectives

Research Problem

The central research problem addressed in this study is: How do renewable energy adoption, market liberalization, and decarbonization policies impact energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa? This research seeks to understand the complex interactions between energy policies, market dynamics, and socio-economic factors, exploring both the opportunities and challenges of transitioning to a more sustainable and equitable energy system.

Research Objectives

To address the research problem, the study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To explore the economic and social impacts of renewable energy adoption and market changes in South Africa. This

objective aims to capture the diverse experiences of stakeholders, including energy professionals, business owners, and communities, and to assess the benefits and drawbacks of renewable energy integration.

2. To evaluate the effectiveness of energy transition policies and their implications for energy security and equity. The study seeks to examine how well current policies align with the goals of reducing carbon emissions, enhancing energy security, and supporting a just transition for coal-dependent regions.

3. To identify the multi-dimensional nature of energy poverty and assess the effectiveness of current policy interventions. This objective focuses on understanding the lived experiences of energy-poor households, examining how issues of access, affordability, and reliability intersect with broader socio-economic vulnerabilities.

4. To investigate behavioral factors influencing energy use among low-income households and provide recommendations for targeted interventions. The study aims to explore the barriers to adopting energy-efficient technologies and identify strategies to promote sustainable energy use.

Significance of Study

This research addresses critical gaps in the existing literature on energy economics and energy poverty, particularly within the South African context. While there is a growing body of work on renewable energy adoption and market liberalization globally, there is limited empirical research that examines the specific challenges and opportunities in South Africa, where the energy sector is marked by unique socio-economic and institutional complexities. By integrating perspectives from diverse stakeholders, including marginalized communities, the study provides a more comprehensive understanding of how energy policies and market dynamics affect different segments of the population. The findings contribute to ongoing debates about the need for inclusive energy transitions that prioritize social equity alongside environmental and economic goals.

The study's findings have significant implications for policymakers seeking to navigate the complexities of South Africa's energy transition. The research provides evidence-based insights into the effectiveness of current policies, highlighting areas where adjustments are needed to enhance policy coherence, address implementation gaps, and ensure that the benefits of renewable energy and market liberalization are shared more equitably. The emphasis on energy poverty offers a critical perspective on the need for targeted interventions that go beyond economic growth and emissions reductions to address the root causes of energy deprivation. The study's recommendations aim to inform the development of more holistic energy policies that integrate social protection measures, community engagement, and support for vulnerable populations.

For energy sector stakeholders, including private companies, state-owned enterprises, and regulatory bodies, the research provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities of integrating renewable energy into South Africa's energy mix. The findings underscore the importance of investing in grid infrastructure, energy storage, and demand-side management to support the stability and reliability of the energy system. The study also highlights the need for more inclusive market development, with a focus on ensuring that renewable energy projects generate local economic benefits and contribute to social development. By fostering partnerships between the public and private sectors, the energy transition can become a catalyst for broader economic transformation, creating opportunities for employment, skills development, and community empowerment.

Community organizations and NGOs working to alleviate energy poverty will find the study's insights particularly relevant to their advocacy and intervention efforts. The research emphasizes the importance of community-based approaches that empower households with knowledge, resources, and access to clean energy technologies. By highlighting the behavioral factors that influence energy use, the study provides practical guidance for designing programs that address the specific needs of low-income households. The findings also support continued advocacy for policy reforms that prioritize inclusive energy access and address the systemic barriers that perpetuate energy poverty. By amplifying the voices of those most affected by energy transitions, community organizations can help shape more responsive and effective energy policies.

Research Questions

To achieve the study's objectives, the following research questions were formulated:

1. What are the economic and social impacts of renewable energy adoption and market liberalization in South Africa?

2. How effective are South Africa's decarbonization policies in promoting energy security and equity?

3. How can energy poverty be measured and assessed across different regions and demographics in South Africa?

4. What are the behavioral factors influencing energy use among low-income households, and what targeted interventions can address these challenges?

2. Literature Review

The global energy landscape is undergoing significant transformation due to the growing emphasis on renewable energy adoption, decarbonization policies, and the imperative to address energy poverty (Phimister, Vera-Toscano, & Roberts, 2015; Primc, Slabe-Erker, & Majcen, 2019; Bessa & Gouveia, 2023; Romero, Linares, & López, 2018; Pachauri & Spreng, 2011). In South Africa, these dynamics are particularly salient given the country's heavy reliance on fossil fuels, challenges in energy access, and socio-economic inequalities. This literature review explores the theoretical frameworks underpinning energy economics, market dynamics, energy transition policies, and frameworks for measuring and addressing energy poverty. It also reviews key empirical studies relevant to these topics in South Africa and globally, identifying gaps that this research aims to address.

Theories of Energy Economics and Market Dynamics

Energy economics is a field that examines the production, distribution, and consumption of energy within economic systems. It provides insights into how energy markets operate, the factors influencing energy prices, investment in energy infrastructure, and the impacts of market regulations (Bouzarovski, Thomson, & Cornelis, 2021; Che, Zhu, & Wang, 2021; Nussbaumer, Bazilian, & Modi, 2012; Pereira, Freitas, & da Silva, 2011; Belaïd, 2022). Key theories in energy economics focus on market dynamics, including supply and demand, price elasticity, and the role of government interventions.

Market dynamics in energy economics involve the interaction between energy supply and demand, pricing mechanisms, and market structures. Traditional energy markets have been dominated by centralized fossil fuel-based systems, which are characterized by significant economies of scale, high capital investment, and relatively stable pricing structures (Halkos & Gkampoura, 2021; Cheikh, Zaied, & Nguyen, 2023; Kyprianou et al., 2019; Primc, Slabe-Erker, & Majcen, 2019; Wang, Xiao, & Bai, 2022). However, the integration of renewable energy sources such as wind and solar introduces variability in supply due to their intermittent nature, which complicates market dynamics. Economic theories of market liberalization and competition are particularly relevant to the South African context, where efforts to reform the energy sector have aimed at increasing private sector participation, reducing the dominance of state-owned enterprises like Eskom, and fostering competition. The deregulation of energy markets theoretically leads to greater efficiency, innovation, and investment in renewable energy. However, in practice, market liberalization can face challenges, such as resistance from established interests, regulatory barriers, and the need for robust market oversight to prevent monopolistic behaviors.

Theories of energy economics also explore the role of investment incentives in shaping the energy market, particularly in the context of renewable energy integration (Nicolli & Vona, 2019; Zhao et al., 2022; Dong, Taghizadeh-Hesary, & Zhao, 2022). Investment in renewable energy infrastructure is influenced by factors such as government subsidies, feed-in tariffs, tax credits, and carbon pricing. Economic models suggest that well-designed incentives can accelerate the adoption of renewables by reducing financial barriers and improving the competitiveness of green technologies compared to traditional fossil fuels (Acheampong et al., 2023; Streimikiene et al., 2020; Varo et al., 2022; Rafi, Naseef, & Prasad, 2021; Certomà et al., 2023). In South Africa, the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) has been a key policy tool for driving private investment in renewable energy projects. The program's success has demonstrated the potential of market-based mechanisms to attract investment and increase the share of renewables in the energy mix. However, questions remain about the long-term sustainability of such programs, especially in the face of financial constraints and evolving policy landscapes.

Energy Transition and Decarbonization Policies

The global push towards decarbonization is driven by the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and mitigate the impacts of climate change. Decarbonization policies encompass a range of strategies, including carbon pricing, regulatory standards, renewable energy targets, and energy efficiency measures (Mafalda Matos, Delgado, & Guimarães, 2022; Carrosio & De Vidovich, 2023; Lippert & Sareen, 2023; Kerr, Gillard, & Middlemiss, 2019). Theoretical frameworks for decarbonization emphasize the role of government interventions, market-based mechanisms, and technological innovation in achieving a low-carbon energy transition.

Carbon pricing, including carbon taxes and cap-and-trade systems, is a cornerstone of many decarbonization policies (Bouzarovski, Thomson, & Cornelis, 2021; Yan et al., 2023; Chapman & Okushima, 2019; Maulidia et al., 2019; Monyei et al., 2022). The economic theory behind carbon pricing is based on the principle of internalizing the external costs of carbon emissions, thereby incentivizing businesses and consumers to reduce their carbon footprint (Tardy & Lee, 2019; Feenstra & Clancy, 2020; Li & Qamruzzaman, 2023; Longo et al., 2020; Aassouli et al., 2018). By putting a price on carbon, these policies aim to drive investments in cleaner technologies and shift consumption patterns towards lower-emission alternatives. In South Africa, the Carbon Tax Act of 2019 introduced a carbon pricing mechanism aimed at curbing emissions from high-polluting industries. However, empirical evidence suggests that the effectiveness of carbon pricing in South Africa has been limited by relatively low tax rates, exemptions for key sectors, and challenges in enforcement. The economic impact of carbon pricing on businesses, especially those in energy-intensive industries, remains a critical area of study, with ongoing debates about how to balance environmental goals with economic competitiveness.

Decarbonization policies also include renewable energy targets and regulatory frameworks that mandate or incentivize the deployment of renewable energy sources (Nalule, 2018; Berrod, Navé, & Verschraegen, 2018; Boeri et al., 2020; Hesselman et al., 2021; Bouzarovski & Bouzarovski, 2018). These policies are designed to overcome market failures, such as the underinvestment in clean energy due to high upfront costs and perceived risks. The effectiveness of these policies depends on factors such as the clarity of targets, the stability of regulatory environments, and the availability of financing (Haldar, Peddibhotla, & Bazaz, 2023; Zhou, 2023; Tabrizian, 2019; Hesselman, 2021; Singh & Ru, 2022). South Africa's Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) outlines the country's long-term energy strategy, including targets for expanding renewable energy capacity. The IRP aims to reduce the country's dependence on coal and increase the share of renewables in the energy mix. However, the implementation of the IRP has faced delays and political challenges, highlighting the complexities of navigating the transition to a more sustainable energy system in a developing country context.

Energy efficiency is a critical component of decarbonization, as it reduces overall energy demand and lowers emissions without requiring significant changes to existing infrastructure (Sciullo et al., 2022; Bouzarovski, 2018; Druică, Goschin, & Ianole-Călin, 2019; Tundys & Bretyn, 2023; Ambole et al., 2019). Theoretical models of energy efficiency emphasize cost-effectiveness, reduced energy consumption, and improved productivity. Policies promoting energy efficiency include standards and labeling, financial incentives, and public awareness campaigns (Li et al., 2021; Wang, Moreno-Casas, & Huerta de Soto, 2021; Chien, 2020; Luke & Heynen, 2020). In South

Africa, energy efficiency measures have been targeted at both the industrial and residential sectors. Programs such as the National Energy Efficiency Strategy (NEES) aim to promote the adoption of efficient technologies and practices. However, barriers to energy efficiency include limited access to capital, lack of awareness, and the split incentive problem, where the benefits of efficiency investments are not fully captured by those who make the investment decisions.

Frameworks for Measuring and Addressing Energy Poverty

Energy poverty refers to the lack of access to affordable, reliable, and modern energy services (Carfora, Passaro, Scandurra, & Thomas, 2022; Creutzfeldt et al., 2020; Nagaj & Korpysa, 2020; Blados, Chatzinikolaou, & Kapaltzoglou, 2021; Ioannidou, 2018). It is a multidimensional issue that affects households' ability to heat, cool, and power their homes, impacting health, well-being, and economic opportunities. Frameworks for measuring and addressing energy poverty include quantitative and qualitative approaches that capture the complex and context-specific nature of energy deprivation.

The measurement of energy poverty is a challenging task due to its multifaceted nature. Traditional metrics often focus on income levels or energy expenditures relative to household income (Cheah & Low, 2022; Bedi, 2018; Ortiz et al., 2021; Wu, 2020). However, these measures can overlook the quality of energy services, access to reliable supply, and the broader socio-economic conditions that contribute to energy poverty. Recent frameworks have adopted multidimensional approaches, incorporating indicators such as energy access, affordability, energy quality, and thermal comfort. In South Africa, energy poverty is prevalent, particularly among low-income households and rural communities. Empirical research has highlighted the significant disparities in energy access, with many households relying on traditional biomass, such as wood or charcoal, for cooking and heating. These energy sources are often inefficient, polluting, and associated with adverse health outcomes, underscoring the need for more inclusive and targeted interventions.

The impacts of energy poverty extend beyond economic hardship, affecting physical and mental health, educational outcomes, and social inclusion (Guo, Kuang, & Ni, 2023; Yahong et al., 2023; Cheng et al., 2022; Popescu et al., 2023). Inadequate heating and cooling can exacerbate health conditions, particularly among vulnerable populations such as the elderly, children, and those with pre-existing medical conditions (Meyer et al., 2018; Hanke & Lowitzsch, 2020; Carfora, Scandurra, & Thomas, 2022; Agyeman & Lin, 2023; Li et al., 2019). Additionally, reliance on polluting fuels for cooking and heating contributes to indoor air pollution, leading to respiratory illnesses and other health problems. Studies in South Africa have documented the health impacts of energy poverty, including increased rates of respiratory infections and cardiovascular diseases associated with indoor air pollution. Energy poverty also affects educational outcomes, as inadequate lighting and energy access can hinder children's ability to study at home. These findings underscore the need for comprehensive strategies that address the root causes of energy poverty and improve access to clean, affordable energy.

Policy interventions to alleviate energy poverty include financial assistance, targeted subsidies, social tariffs, and programs aimed at improving energy access and efficiency (Welton & Eisen, 2019; Newell, 2019; Hampton et al., 2022; Ferrall et al., 2021; Necoechea-Porras, López, & Salazar-Elena, 2021). These interventions are designed to reduce the energy burden on low-income households, enhance energy affordability, and promote the use of cleaner energy sources (Zhao et al., 2023; Castrejon-Campos, 2022; Blazquez, Fuentes, & Manzano, 2020; Nouicer & Meeus, 2019; Panda et al., 2023). In South Africa, initiatives such as the Free Basic Electricity (FBE) policy provide a limited amount of free electricity to low-income households each month. While this policy has helped to alleviate some of the immediate impacts of energy poverty, its reach and effectiveness have been constrained by issues such as inadequate targeting, insufficient supply, and the challenges of extending grid connections to remote areas. There is a growing recognition that more holistic approaches are needed, incorporating renewable energy solutions, off-grid technologies, and community-based energy projects.

Renewable Energy Adoption, Energy Efficiency, and Market Liberalization in South Africa and Globally

Numerous studies have examined the adoption of renewable energy, energy efficiency measures, and the effects of market liberalization in South Africa and other regions (Coelho et al., 2018; Esfandiary Abdolmaleki, Faraji Abdolmaleki, & Bello Bugallo, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Leal Filho et al., 2022). Research on renewable energy integration has focused on the economic, environmental, and social benefits of transitioning to cleaner energy sources, as well as the challenges associated with integrating variable renewables into existing grids.

In South Africa, studies on renewable energy adoption have highlighted the successes and limitations of the REIPPPP, which has attracted significant investment in wind, solar, and other renewables. The program has been praised for its transparent bidding process and the involvement of local communities through socio-economic development requirements. However, challenges remain, including grid integration issues, financial constraints, and political opposition from coal-dependent sectors. Globally, research has demonstrated that renewable energy adoption can lead to lower energy costs, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and improved energy security (Baptista, 2018; Edomah, 2021; Aung et al., 2022; Saraji & Streimikiene, 2023; Aluwani, 2023). However, the benefits are highly context-dependent, with outcomes influenced by factors such as policy stability, market design, and the availability of supporting infrastructure.

Empirical research on energy efficiency in South Africa has shown that while significant potential exists, particularly in the industrial and residential sectors, the implementation of efficiency measures is often hampered by financial, informational, and behavioral barriers. Studies have recommended a mix of regulatory, financial, and educational interventions to promote energy efficiency, including targeted incentives for energy-saving technologies and programs to raise awareness about the benefits of reducing energy consumption (Munro & Bartlett, 2019; Asante et al., 2021; Antimiani, Costantini, & Paglialunga, 2023; Kabeyi & Olanrewaju, 2022).

Market liberalization has been a key focus of energy reform in many countries, including South Africa, where the electricity sector has historically been dominated by Eskom. Research indicates that market liberalization can drive efficiency, lower prices, and increase investment in renewables. However, in South Africa, progress has been slow, with structural issues, regulatory hurdles, and vested interests posing significant challenges to creating a competitive energy market (Hwang, 2023; Mushafiq et al., 2023; Fang, Liu, & Putra, 2022; Zhang & Xie, 2023; Tan, Qamruzzaman, & Karim, 2023).

Empirical Research on Energy Poverty, Measurement, and Impacts

Energy poverty has been extensively studied in both developed and developing countries, with a growing body of literature emphasizing the need for comprehensive, multidimensional approaches to measurement and intervention (Bouich et al., 2023; Mochi et al., 2023). In South Africa, research has focused on the socio-economic drivers of energy poverty, its impacts on health and well-being, and the effectiveness of existing policy measures. Studies have proposed various metrics for assessing energy poverty in South Africa, including household energy expenditure ratios, access to clean cooking fuels, and the quality of energy services. Research has shown that energy poverty is closely linked to income inequality, unemployment, and spatial disparities, with rural areas disproportionately affected by inadequate energy access (Ugulu, 2019; Weber, Heidari, & Bucksteeg, 2021; Radley & Lehmann-Grube, 2022; Hess & Renner, 2019; Sima, Roscia, & Dancu, 2022).

The health impacts of energy poverty have been well-documented, with studies highlighting the adverse effects of reliance on solid fuels and inefficient technologies. Research has shown that energy poverty exacerbates social inequalities, limiting opportunities for education, employment, and social participation. These findings emphasize the need for integrated policies that address both energy access and broader socio-economic vulnerabilities (Laes & Bombaerts, 2022; Khurshid, 2023; Bhatia, 2023; Fashina et al., 2018; Biresselioglu et al., 2021; Aklin, Cheng, & Urpelainen, 2018). Empirical studies have evaluated various policy interventions aimed at alleviating energy poverty in South Africa, including the FBE policy, off-grid electrification programs, and community energy projects. While these initiatives have made progress, research indicates that their impact has been limited by issues such as inadequate funding, poor targeting, and the complexity of reaching remote and underserved populations.

Gaps in Existing Research

Despite the growing body of literature on energy economics and energy poverty, several gaps remain, particularly in the South African context. First, there is a need for more comprehensive studies that integrate both quantitative and qualitative data to capture the full scope of energy poverty and its impacts. Current research often focuses on economic indicators, neglecting the lived experiences of those affected by energy deprivation. Second, while there is substantial research on renewable energy adoption and market liberalization, there is a lack of in-depth analysis of the social implications of these transitions, particularly for low-income and marginalized communities. Understanding how energy policies affect different segments of the population is critical for ensuring a just transition that does not exacerbate existing inequalities (Sovacool et al., 2023; Tzankova, 2020; Al-Sarihi & Cherni, 2023; Daggash & Mac Dowell, 2021; Lu et al., 2019). Finally, the role of behavioral factors in energy consumption among low-income households is an underexplored area. Research on the behavioral economics of energy use can provide valuable insights into how information, incentives, and social norms influence energy-saving behaviors, informing the design of more effective policy interventions.

This literature review has outlined the theoretical frameworks and empirical studies relevant to understanding energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa. By examining the complexities of renewable energy adoption, market dynamics, decarbonization policies, and energy poverty, the review highlights the critical need for integrated approaches that address economic, environmental, and social dimensions of energy use and access. The gaps identified in the existing research underscore the importance of further investigation into the impacts of energy policies on vulnerable populations and the need for innovative solutions that promote equitable and sustainable energy transitions in South Africa.

3. Methodology

Harold This section outlines the methodology used in the study of energy economics and energy poverty among the South African population. The research aimed to explore the economic impacts of renewable energy adoption, market dynamics, energy efficiency, and policy interventions while examining the experiences of those affected by energy poverty. A qualitative, exploratory approach was employed to capture the complex, context-specific, and often underrepresented perspectives of diverse stakeholders in the South African energy landscape. This section details the research design, sampling strategies, data collection methods, and ethical considerations that guided the study. The research adopted a qualitative, exploratory approach to investigate the intricate relationships between energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa. This design was chosen because it allows for a deep, contextualized understanding of human experiences, particularly in complex fields such as energy, where quantitative data alone may not fully capture the lived realities of affected individuals and communities.

The qualitative approach was instrumental in exploring the nuanced and subjective experiences of participants, including their perceptions of energy access, economic impacts, and the effectiveness of policies aimed at reducing energy poverty. This approach prioritized the voices of those directly impacted by energy policies, including marginalized communities, low-income households, and key stakeholders within the energy sector. The exploratory nature of the research allowed for flexibility in data collection and analysis, enabling the study to adapt to emerging themes and insights. This was particularly important given the rapidly evolving nature of South Africa's energy landscape, where market dynamics, policy shifts, and technological advancements continually reshape the context in which energy decisions are made.

Rationale for the Research Design

The rationale for using a qualitative, exploratory design stemmed from the need to understand complex social phenomena within the South African energy sector. Qualitative methods, such as in-depth interviews, focus groups, and case studies, facilitated the capture of rich, detailed data that illuminated the multifaceted impacts of energy economics and poverty on individuals and communities. This design enabled the study to delve into the motivations, behaviors, and challenges faced by various stakeholders, offering insights that would be difficult to obtain through quantitative methods alone. The study also aimed to provide a holistic view of the energy sector, integrating perspectives from policymakers, energy professionals, and affected populations. By combining various qualitative methods, the research was able to triangulate data from different sources, enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings.

Sampling

Sampling was a critical component of the research design, as it determined the diversity and representativeness of the voices included in the study. Purposive sampling was employed to select participants who could provide relevant and insightful data on the topics of energy economics and energy poverty. The target population included a broad range of stakeholders within the South African energy sector, ensuring that the study captured diverse perspectives on renewable energy adoption, market dynamics, and energy poverty. The sampling strategy focused on the following groups:

1. Government Officials and Policymakers: Individuals involved in shaping energy policies, regulatory frameworks, and national energy strategies were included to provide insights into the policy landscape and the challenges of implementing decarbonization and energy efficiency measures.

2. Energy Sector Professionals: This group included professionals from both the public and private sectors, such as energy analysts, engineers, renewable energy developers, and representatives from state-owned enterprises like Eskom. Their input was crucial in understanding market dynamics, investment incentives, and the technical aspects of integrating renewable energy into the grid.

3. Business Owners and Industry Stakeholders: Business owners and representatives from energy-intensive industries were included to explore the economic impacts of energy policies, such as carbon pricing and market liberalization, on business operations and investment decisions.

4. Low-Income Households and Marginalized Communities: Participants from low-income households and marginalized communities, particularly those in rural and peri-urban areas, were a focal point of the study. Their experiences provided critical insights into the realities of energy poverty, including access challenges, coping strategies, and the health and social impacts of inadequate energy services.

Sampling Method

Purposive sampling was employed to ensure that the study captured a wide range of experiences and perspectives relevant to the research objectives. This non-probability sampling method involves the deliberate selection of participants based on specific characteristics, knowledge, or experiences that are pertinent to the study. The purposive sampling criteria included:

1. Expertise and Involvement: Participants were selected based on their involvement in the energy sector, policy development, or community advocacy, ensuring that the study included voices with direct knowledge of energy economics and poverty issues.

2. Diversity in Socio-Economic Status: To capture the varying impacts of energy policies across different segments of the population, participants were chosen to reflect a range of socio-economic statuses, from high-level policymakers to individuals living in energy poverty.

3. Geographic Representation: The study aimed to include participants from different geographic regions, including urban, peri-urban, and rural areas, to explore how location influences energy access, market dynamics, and policy effectiveness.

This sampling approach was advantageous because it allowed the research to focus on participants who could provide the most relevant and detailed insights into the study's core themes.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection involved three primary qualitative methods: in-depth interviews, focus groups, and case studies. These methods were chosen to capture the complex and context-specific nature of energy economics and energy poverty, providing a comprehensive understanding of the issues from multiple perspectives. In-depth interviews were a central data collection method, allowing the researcher to engage directly with participants and explore their perspectives in detail. Semi-structured interviews were conducted to maintain a balance between guided questioning and open-ended exploration, enabling participants to share their experiences and insights freely.

Thematic Analysis

The thematic analysis process involved several stages:

1. Familiarization with the Data: The first stage involved thoroughly familiarizing with the collected data by reading and re-reading interview transcripts, focus group discussions, and case study notes. This stage was crucial for gaining a deep understanding of the content and identifying initial patterns and points of interest.

2. Generating Initial Codes: Following familiarization, the data were systematically coded using NVivo. Coding is the process of organizing data into meaningful categories by identifying specific segments of text that represent particu-

lar ideas, actions, or phenomena. Codes were developed inductively, emerging directly from the data without predefined categories. The initial codes were descriptive, capturing explicit content related to renewable energy, market dynamics, policy impacts, and energy poverty.

3. Searching for Themes: After coding the data, broader themes were identified by grouping related codes into larger categories that reflected significant aspects of participants' experiences. Themes were developed to encapsulate the core issues raised by participants, such as the economic impacts of energy transitions, the effectiveness of decarbonization policies, and the multidimensional nature of energy poverty.

4. Reviewing Themes: The themes were then reviewed to ensure they accurately represented the data and were distinct from one another. This involved cross-referencing themes with the coded data extracts and the overall dataset to confirm their relevance and coherence. Some themes were refined, combined, or separated to better capture the complexities of the data.

5. Defining and Naming Themes: Once the themes were reviewed, they were defined and named to articulate their core meanings clearly. This stage involved refining the themes to capture the essence of the data in a succinct and distinct manner, ensuring that each theme told a coherent story about a specific aspect of energy economics and poverty.

6. Producing the Report: The final stage involved synthesizing the themes into a detailed narrative that illustrated the study's findings. Themes were contextualized within the broader literature, and representative quotes from participants were used to illustrate key points and provide depth to the analysis.

NVivo software played a critical role in organizing, managing, and visualizing the data, allowing for a structured approach to coding and theme development. The software's capabilities enhanced the rigor and transparency of the analysis process, enabling the identification of intricate patterns across the diverse datasets.

4. Outcome

This section presents the outcomes of the research on energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa. The findings provide insights into the economic and social impacts of renewable energy adoption, the effectiveness of energy transition policies, the multidimensional nature of energy poverty, and the behavioral factors influencing energy use among low-income households.

Insights into Economic and Social Impacts of Renewable Energy Adoption and Market Changes

The research revealed significant economic and social impacts resulting from the adoption of renewable energy and changes in energy market dynamics in South Africa. These impacts were both positive and negative, reflecting the complexities of transitioning from a fossil fuel-dependent energy system to one that increasingly incorporates renewable sources.

Economic Impacts of Renewable Energy Adoption

The data gathered from interviews and case studies demonstrated that the adoption of renewable energy, particularly through programs like the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP), had attracted substantial investment into South Africa's energy sector. This investment not only boosted the renewable energy industry but also generated economic benefits such as job creation, skills development, and regional economic growth, particularly in rural areas where many renewable projects are located. Participants from the energy sector noted that the REIPPPP had successfully mobilized private capital, creating a more diversified energy market and reducing the country's overreliance on coal. An energy project developer highlighted, "The influx of investment in renewables has revitalized local economies in ways that traditional energy sources have not. We're seeing new jobs, new skills, and a new sense of hope in some of these communities."

However, the research also identified challenges associated with renewable energy adoption, particularly regarding grid integration and the uneven distribution of economic benefits. The intermittency of solar and wind energy introduced complexities in balancing supply and demand, requiring significant investment in grid infrastructure, storage technologies, and demand management systems. A senior grid operator explained, "Integrating renewables into the grid is not just about plugging in new power sources—it's about rethinking how the entire system operates to maintain stability and reliability." Additionally, the economic benefits of renewable energy projects were not equally distributed. Communities near renewable energy sites often benefited from job creation and community development initiatives, but those in coal-dependent regions faced economic dislocation and uncertainty about future employment. The data highlighted a growing divide between regions that are integrating into the renewable energy economy and those that remain tied to traditional energy industries.

Social Impacts of Market Liberalization

Market liberalization and increased competition in the energy sector were found to have mixed social impacts. On the one hand, liberalization efforts aimed to introduce more competition, lower prices, and improve service delivery, which could benefit consumers in the long run. On the other hand, participants expressed concerns about the short-term impacts of these changes, particularly on vulnerable populations. Interviews with low-income households revealed that while increased competition could theoretically reduce energy prices, many households continued to face high electricity costs and unreliable service.

An urban resident shared, "The promise was that market competition would bring down prices, but we're not seeing that in our bills. Instead, we're paying more for electricity that's not even reliable." The research found that market liberalization, without adequate regulatory oversight and consumer protections, risked exacerbating existing inequalities. Participants

called for stronger regulatory frameworks to ensure that the benefits of market changes were passed on to consumers, particularly those in low-income and marginalized communities.

Effectiveness of Decarbonization Policies

South Africa's decarbonization policies, including the Carbon Tax Act and the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), were found to be crucial in setting the direction for the country's energy transition. However, the data highlighted significant gaps between policy intentions and outcomes. Participants consistently pointed to issues such as low carbon tax rates, insufficient enforcement, and frequent policy adjustments that created uncertainty and hindered progress. A policy analyst explained, "The carbon tax is an important tool, but its impact has been muted by exemptions and low rates that do not sufficiently incentivize change. There's a disconnect between the ambition of the policies and their actual implementation on the ground." The study found that while the IRP set clear targets for renewable energy capacity, the pace of implementation lagged due to regulatory delays, political resistance, and financial constraints. Interviews with industry stakeholders revealed that the lack of a stable, predictable policy environment deterred investment and slowed the rollout of new renewable projects. A renewable energy investor noted, "Policy uncertainty is one of the biggest barriers we face. The goals are there, but the pathway to achieving them is not always clear."

Implications for Energy Security

The data also underscored the critical role of energy transition policies in addressing South Africa's ongoing energy security challenges, particularly the country's dependence on aging coal-fired power plants and the frequent occurrence of load shedding. Participants highlighted that while renewable energy could play a significant role in enhancing energy security, the transition needed to be managed carefully to avoid destabilizing the grid. A senior official from Eskom emphasized the need for a balanced approach, stating, "Renewables are essential for our future, but we cannot afford to destabilize the grid in the process. We need to invest in grid upgrades, storage, and demand management to make this work." The research found that energy security remained a major concern, with participants stressing the importance of developing a diversified energy mix that includes not only renewables but also backup generation and energy storage solutions. The integration of renewables, while beneficial for reducing emissions, required careful planning and investment to ensure that it contributed positively to overall energy security.

Equity Implications of Energy Transition Policies

The study revealed significant equity implications of South Africa's energy transition, particularly for low-income and marginalized communities. While decarbonization policies aimed to reduce the country's carbon footprint, participants from coal-dependent regions expressed fears about job losses, economic decline, and a lack of alternative livelihoods. Interviews with community leaders in Mpumalanga, a coal-rich region, highlighted deep concerns about the social and economic impacts of the transition away from coal. A local leader stated, "We support the move towards clean energy, but there has to be a plan for us. You can't just shut down coal mines without providing alternative jobs and support for our communities." The research underscored the importance of a just transition that prioritizes the needs of those most affected by the shift to cleaner energy. This includes targeted support for coal workers, investment in retraining programs, and the development of new economic opportunities in renewable energy and related industries.

Identification of the Multi-Dimensional Nature of Energy Poverty andEffectiveness of Current Policy Interventions

The study's findings highlighted the multi-dimensional nature of energy poverty in South Africa, revealing that energy poverty is not simply about income or energy expenditure but encompasses broader issues of access, quality, and reliability of energy services. The data also evaluated the effectiveness of current policy interventions aimed at addressing energy poverty, identifying both successes and ongoing challenges.

Multi-Dimensional Nature of Energy Poverty

Energy poverty in South Africa was found to be a complex and pervasive issue affecting millions of households, particularly in rural and peri-urban areas. The research identified several dimensions of energy poverty, including:

1. Access to Energy: Despite progress in electrification, significant gaps remained in energy access, particularly in informal settlements and remote rural areas. Many households continued to rely on traditional biomass, such as wood and charcoal, due to the high cost and unreliability of grid electricity.

2. Affordability: Energy affordability was a major concern, with many low-income households spending a disproportionate share of their income on energy. Participants reported frequent use of pre-paid electricity meters, which allowed them to control spending but also resulted in frequent disconnections when funds were low.

3. Quality and Reliability: The quality and reliability of energy services were consistently cited as critical issues. Participants reported frequent power outages, voltage fluctuations, and unsafe wiring, all of which compromised their ability to use energy safely and effectively.

4. Health Impacts: The study also highlighted the health impacts of energy poverty, including respiratory illnesses from indoor air pollution, burns from paraffin stoves, and other injuries associated with unsafe energy practices. A health worker noted, "We see many preventable health issues linked to energy poverty, especially in children. It's a silent crisis that needs urgent attention."

Effectiveness of Policy Interventions

The research assessed the effectiveness of various policy interventions aimed at alleviating energy poverty, including the

Free Basic Electricity (FBE) policy, electrification programs, and off-grid renewable energy initiatives.

1. Free Basic Electricity (FBE) Policy: The FBE policy, which provides a limited amount of free electricity to low-income households each month, was found to be beneficial but insufficient. Participants appreciated the support, but many reported that the allocation was too small to meet their basic needs, particularly for larger households.

2. Electrification Programs: While national electrification programs had expanded access to electricity, the study found that these efforts were often hampered by infrastructure challenges, high connection costs, and maintenance issues. Rural participants frequently described long delays in receiving connections and unreliable service once connected.

3. Off-Grid Solutions: Off-grid renewable energy solutions, such as solar home systems, were identified as promising alternatives for remote areas. However, the data revealed barriers to uptake, including high upfront costs, limited financing options, and issues with maintenance and after-sales support.

Overall, the study found that while policy interventions had made progress in addressing some aspects of energy poverty, significant gaps remained. Participants called for more comprehensive and targeted approaches that addressed the root causes of energy poverty and provided sustainable, long-term solutions.

Insights into Behavioral Factors Influencing Energy Use Among Low-Income Households and Recommendations for Targeted Interventions

The research provided valuable insights into the behavioral factors that influence energy use among low-income households, highlighting how these behaviors are shaped by socio-economic conditions, cultural practices, and access to information. The findings underscored the need for targeted interventions that address these behavioral factors and promote more efficient and sustainable energy use. The study identified several key behavioral factors that influenced energy use among low-income households:

1. Energy-Saving Practices: Many households reported engaging in energy-saving practices, such as using energy-efficient appliances, minimizing the use of high-energy devices, and carefully managing their pre-paid electricity usage. These practices were often driven by financial necessity rather than environmental awareness.

2. Use of Traditional Energy Sources: The continued use of traditional energy sources, such as wood, charcoal, and paraffin, was found to be influenced by both economic and cultural factors. Participants explained that these fuels were often seen as more accessible and reliable compared to electricity, particularly during times of load shedding or when funds were limited.

3. Perceptions of Energy Efficiency: The research found that perceptions of energy efficiency varied widely among participants. While some households were aware of the benefits of energy-efficient appliances, others lacked access to information or financing to make such investments. A participant noted, "We know that efficient appliances can save us money, but they're just too expensive to buy upfront."

Based on the findings, the study made several recommendations for targeted interventions to improve energy use among low-income households:

1. Energy Education Programs: The research highlighted the need for community-based energy education programs that provide practical information on energy efficiency, safe energy practices, and the benefits of clean energy technologies. These programs should be tailored to the specific needs and contexts of different communities.

2. Financial Support for Energy-Efficient Appliances: To address the high upfront costs of energy-efficient appliances, the study recommended the development of financing schemes, such as micro-loans or pay-as-you-go models, that enable low-income households to access these technologies without financial strain.

3. Expansion of Off-Grid Renewable Solutions: The study called for the expansion of off-grid renewable energy solutions, particularly in rural and peri-urban areas where grid electricity is unreliable or unavailable. Partnerships with local businesses and community organizations could help improve access to affordable and well-maintained systems.

4. Policy Alignment and Integration: The findings underscored the importance of aligning energy poverty interventions with broader energy policies and social protection programs. A more integrated approach could help ensure that energy interventions are part of a comprehensive strategy to reduce poverty and promote sustainable development.

The outcomes of this research provided a comprehensive understanding of the economic and social impacts of renewable energy adoption, the effectiveness of energy transition policies, the multi-dimensional nature of energy poverty, and the behavioral factors influencing energy use among low-income households in South Africa. The findings underscore the need for inclusive, context-specific approaches that address the complex challenges of the energy transition, promote equity, and support vulnerable populations. By highlighting the interconnected nature of energy economics and energy poverty, the study offers valuable insights and recommendations for policymakers, energy sector stakeholders, and community organizations working to create a more sustainable and equitable energy future for South Africa.

5. Discussion and Implications

The findings of this research provide a comprehensive understanding of the economic, social, and policy impacts of energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa. This

section discusses the theoretical and practical implications of the study, highlighting its contributions to existing theories of energy economics, energy transition, and energy poverty. The study's insights into the complex interplay between market dynamics, policy interventions, and socio-economic vulnerabilities underscore the importance of developing more inclusive and effective approaches to managing South Africa's energy transition. The discussion also offers practical recommendations for policymakers, energy sector stakeholders, and community organizations to enhance the effectiveness of energy policies and alleviate energy poverty.

Theoretical Implications

This research makes significant contributions to the theoretical frameworks that underpin the study of energy economics, energy transitions, and energy poverty, particularly within the South African context. The study's findings challenge existing assumptions and expand the understanding of how market dynamics, policy interventions, and socio-economic factors interact to shape energy outcomes.

Expanding Theories of Energy Economics and Market Dynamics

The research adds to the literature on energy economics by highlighting the dual impact of market liberalization and renewable energy integration on South Africa's energy sector. While traditional economic theories suggest that increased competition and market liberalization lead to improved efficiency and lower prices, the findings of this study reveal that these outcomes are not guaranteed in contexts characterized by deep-seated inequalities, regulatory challenges, and infrastructure deficits. The study demonstrates that market liberalization can produce uneven benefits, with economic gains often concentrated in regions with established renewable energy projects, while coal-dependent areas face economic decline. This highlights the limitations of market-driven approaches in achieving equitable outcomes, suggesting that additional policy measures are needed to address regional disparities and ensure a just transition.

The findings contribute to theories of energy transition by emphasizing the importance of policy coherence, stability, and inclusivity in achieving decarbonization goals. The study illustrates that the effectiveness of energy transition policies, such as carbon pricing and renewable energy targets, is heavily influenced by their design, implementation, and the socio-political context in which they operate. The data revealed that policy uncertainty, frequent adjustments, and inadequate enforcement undermine the effectiveness of decarbonization efforts, particularly when economic interests and political resistance from traditional energy sectors are not adequately addressed. This supports the argument that successful energy transitions require not only technical and economic shifts but also robust governance frameworks that engage diverse stakeholders and prioritize social equity.

The study's exploration of energy poverty advances theoretical understandings by framing energy poverty as a multidimensional phenomenon that encompasses access, affordability, quality, and reliability of energy services. The findings challenge conventional metrics that focus solely on income or energy expenditures, demonstrating the need for more holistic approaches that capture the full spectrum of energy deprivation. By highlighting the health, social, and economic impacts of energy poverty, particularly among women and rural communities, the research calls for a broader conceptualization of energy poverty that includes gender, geographic, and cultural dimensions. This contributes to the ongoing theoretical development of energy poverty as an issue that intersects with broader social and economic inequalities, requiring targeted, context-specific interventions.

Implications for Understanding the Complex Interplay Between Market Dynamics, Policy Interventions, and Socio-Economic Vulnerabilities

The study provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between market dynamics, policy interventions, and socio-economic vulnerabilities in South Africa's energy sector. The findings illustrate that energy policies and market changes do not occur in a vacuum but are deeply interconnected with the broader socio-economic landscape, which includes historical inequalities, institutional constraints, and the lived experiences of vulnerable populations. The research highlights the challenges of aligning market dynamics with policy interventions to achieve both economic and social goals. While market liberalization and renewable energy integration have stimulated investment and created economic opportunities, the benefits have been unevenly distributed, with significant gaps in access and affordability for low-income and marginalized communities. This underscores the need for policies that go beyond market mechanisms to address structural barriers and ensure that the transition to a low-carbon economy is inclusive and equitable.

The findings reveal that socio-economic vulnerabilities, such as poverty, unemployment, and lack of access to education, significantly influence the effectiveness of energy policies. Energy poverty, in particular, is deeply intertwined with these vulnerabilities, creating a cycle of disadvantage that is difficult to break without comprehensive, multi-sectoral interventions. The study's emphasis on the lived experiences of low-income households provides a critical reminder that policy effectiveness must be assessed not only by economic metrics but also by its impact on people's lives.

Practical Implications

The research offers several practical implications for policymakers, energy sector stakeholders, and community organizations. These recommendations aim to enhance the effectiveness of energy transition policies, address energy poverty, and promote more equitable outcomes for all South Africans.

Recommendations for Policymakers on Enhancing the Effectiveness of Energy Transition Policies and Addressing Energy Poverty

Policymakers are encouraged to enhance the coherence and stability of energy transition policies to build investor confidence and ensure consistent progress towards decarbonization

goals. This includes setting clear, long-term targets for renewable energy and carbon emissions reductions, backed by stable regulatory frameworks that minimize policy reversals and uncertainties. The research found that policy instability was a major barrier to investment in the renewable energy sector, deterring potential investors and slowing the pace of project development. By providing a clear and predictable policy environment, the government can create a more favorable landscape for investment, job creation, and sustainable economic growth.

The findings underscore the urgent need for a just transition that addresses the socio-economic impacts of the energy transition on coal-dependent communities. Policymakers should develop targeted support programs that include retraining and reskilling initiatives, economic diversification strategies, and social safety nets for workers and communities affected by the decline of the coal industry. A just transition framework should prioritize stakeholder engagement, ensuring that affected communities are actively involved in decision-making processes and have access to resources that facilitate their transition to new economic opportunities. This approach not only addresses the immediate impacts of decarbonization but also fosters long-term resilience and social cohesion.

The study highlights the need for policies that expand access to clean and affordable energy, particularly for low-income and rural households. Policymakers should consider increasing the allocation of free basic electricity, investing in grid extension and maintenance, and promoting off-grid renewable energy solutions in underserved areas. Additionally, financial incentives and subsidies for energy-efficient appliances and clean cooking technologies can help reduce the energy burden on low-income households, improving their quality of life and reducing health risks associated with traditional energy sources.

Insights for Energy Sector Stakeholders on Market Liberalization, Competition, and the Integration of Renewable Energy Sources

Energy sector stakeholders, including state-owned enterprises, private companies, and regulatory bodies, are encouraged to prioritize investments in grid infrastructure to accommodate the increasing share of renewable energy. The research found that grid instability and inadequate infrastructure were significant barriers to the effective integration of renewables, contributing to energy insecurity and undermining the potential benefits of market liberalization. Investments in grid upgrades, energy storage technologies, and demand-side management are critical to ensuring that renewable energy integration supports grid stability and reliability. Stakeholders should collaborate to develop innovative solutions that address the technical challenges of renewable energy, such as intermittency and variability, while maintaining a focus on affordability and access.

The findings highlight the need for more inclusive market development that benefits all regions and communities, particularly those historically marginalized by the energy sector. Energy companies and investors should work closely with local communities to ensure that renewable energy projects generate local economic benefits, such as employment, skills training, and community development initiatives. Stakeholders can also play a role in advocating for fair regulatory frameworks that promote competition while protecting consumers, especially vulnerable groups who may be disproportionately affected by market changes. Transparent pricing mechanisms, consumer protections, and targeted support for low-income households are essential components of an inclusive energy market.

Guidance for Community Organizations and NGOs Working to Alleviate Energy Poverty Through Targeted Interventions and Behavioral Change Strategies

The research identified a critical need for community-based energy education programs that empower households with knowledge about energy efficiency, safe energy practices, and available support programs. Community organizations and NGOs can play a pivotal role in delivering these programs, leveraging local networks and trusted relationships to engage households effectively. Educational initiatives should be tailored to the specific needs of different communities, taking into account cultural practices, language barriers, and access to resources. By providing practical information and tools, these programs can help households make informed decisions about their energy use, reduce their energy costs, and improve their overall well-being.

Community organizations can support low-income households by facilitating access to energy-efficient technologies through micro-financing, pay-as-you-go models, or partnerships with appliance manufacturers. The study found that high upfront costs were a major barrier to the adoption of energy-efficient appliances, even when households were aware of their long-term benefits. By providing flexible financing options and linking households with reliable suppliers, community organizations can help bridge the gap between awareness and action, enabling more households to invest in technologies that reduce energy consumption and costs.

NGOs and community organizations should continue to advocate for policy reforms that prioritize inclusive energy access and address the root causes of energy poverty. This includes lobbying for increased funding for energy poverty alleviation programs, supporting the expansion of off-grid solutions, and pushing for more equitable energy pricing structures. Advocacy efforts should also focus on ensuring that the voices of marginalized communities are heard in policy debates, particularly those related to the energy transition and its socio-economic impacts. By amplifying the concerns and needs of vulnerable populations, community organizations can help shape policies that reflect the realities of those most affected by energy poverty.

6. Limitations and Future Research

While this research provides valuable insights into energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. One key limitation was the challenge of capturing diverse perspectives in a rapidly evolving energy landscape. The study's qualitative approach, while effective in exploring in-depth experiences, may not fully represent the wide range of views across all stakeholder groups, particularly in a context as dynamic and complex as South Africa's energy sector. Additionally, the research focused primarily on qualitative data, which, while rich in detail, may not capture the full scope of economic impacts or allow for precise quantifica-

tion of the effects of policy interventions. The findings are therefore best understood as indicative of broader trends and challenges rather than definitive measurements.

To build on the findings of this study, future research should consider incorporating quantitative approaches, such as econometric modeling, to more precisely measure the economic impacts of energy transitions and the effectiveness of specific policy interventions. Longitudinal studies that track the effects of energy policies over time would provide valuable insights into the sustainability of current approaches and the long-term impacts on vulnerable populations. Further research is also needed to explore the intersection of energy poverty with other social and economic factors, such as gender, health, and education. By examining these intersections, future studies can contribute to a more holistic understanding of how energy policies affect different segments of the population and identify targeted strategies to address the unique challenges faced by specific groups.

7. Conclusion

This research offers an in-depth analysis of the economic, social, and policy dimensions of energy economics and energy poverty in South Africa, employing qualitative methods such as interviews, focus groups, and case studies to capture the perspectives of a wide range of stakeholders. It explores the adoption of renewable energy, market liberalization, energy transition policies, and the complexities of energy poverty in the South African context.

The study found that renewable energy programs like the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) had a notable positive economic impact, including attracting investment, generating employment, and supporting rural development. However, these benefits were unevenly distributed, with coal-dependent communities suffering economic losses and job displacement. Integration of renewable energy was also hindered by challenges such as grid instability and insufficient infrastructure investment.

Socially, while market liberalization and increased competition aimed to enhance service delivery and lower prices, many low-income households continued to experience high energy costs and unreliable supply. These issues were exacerbated by weak regulatory oversight and a lack of consumer protections, particularly for vulnerable populations. As a result, the intended benefits of market reforms were not fully realized, pointing to the need for more equitable and inclusive energy policies.

The research also critically assessed key policy instruments like the Carbon Tax Act and the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). While these frameworks represented important steps toward decarbonization and renewable energy expansion, their effectiveness was undermined by policy uncertainty, limited enforcement, and pushback from sectors reliant on coal. The carbon tax, in particular, was seen as too lenient to drive meaningful change among major polluters. Meanwhile, ongoing dependence on aging coal infrastructure contributed to persistent energy insecurity, including frequent power outages and load shedding. The study emphasized the necessity of a balanced energy mix and substantial investment in grid modernization and storage to support the variability of renewable sources.

Energy poverty emerged as a complex, multi-dimensional issue extending beyond affordability to include access, quality, and reliability of energy services. It was found to be most severe in rural and peri-urban areas, where many households still relied on traditional biomass due to the high cost and unreliability of electricity. The research highlighted gendered impacts, with women bearing the brunt of energy poverty through its effects on health, safety, and economic participation. Policy interventions such as the Free Basic Electricity (FBE) policy and off-grid solutions offered some support but were often constrained by poor targeting, limited funding, and operational difficulties. The findings call for more comprehensive, systemic approaches to address the root causes of energy poverty and to ensure sustainable, long-term access to affordable and reliable energy.

Finally, the study explored behavioral factors influencing energy use among low-income households. Financial constraints, cultural norms, and perceptions of energy reliability shaped household energy choices. Despite access to electricity, many households continued to use traditional fuels, driven by cost considerations and concerns about grid dependability. While energy-saving behaviors were common, the adoption of energy-efficient technologies was hampered by high upfront costs and limited awareness. To address these barriers, the study recommended targeted community-based education initiatives and accessible financing mechanisms to support energy-efficient practices. These measures could empower households to make informed energy decisions, reduce their energy burden, and enhance overall quality of life.

Funding Information:
This research did not receive any specific funding from any public, commercial, or non-profit agency.

Disclosure Statement:
No material or relevant stake relating to this research was disclosed by the author(s).

Competing Interest:
No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Data Availability Statement:
Data sharing is not applicable to this research article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

References

- Aassouli, D., Asutay, M., Mohieldin, M., & Nwokike, T. C. (2018). Green sukuk, energy poverty, and climate change: a roadmap for Sub-Saharan Africa. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (8680).
- Acheampong, A. O., Nghiem, X. H., Dzator, J., & Rajaguru, G. (2023). Promoting energy inclusiveness: Is rural energy poverty a political failure?. *Utilities Policy*, *84*, 101639.
- Agyeman, S. D., & Lin, B. (2023). Electricity industry (de) regulation and innovation in negative-emission technologies: How do market liberalization influences climate change mitigation?. *Energy*, *270*, 126863.
- Aklin, M., Cheng, C. Y., & Urpelainen, J. (2018). Social acceptance of new energy technology in developing countries: A framing experiment in rural India. *Energy Policy*, *113*, 466-477.
- Al-Sarihi, A., & Cherni, J. A. (2023). Political economy of renewable energy transition in rentier states: The case of Oman. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, *33*(4), 423-439.
- Aluwani, T. (2023). Agricultural economic growth, renewable energy supply and CO2 emissions nexus. *Economies*, *11*(3), 85.
- Ambole, A., Musango, J. K., Buyana, K., Ogot, M., Anditi, C., Mwau, B., ... & Brent, A. C. (2019). Mediating household energy transitions through co-design in urban Kenya, Uganda and South Africa. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *55*, 208-217.
- Antimiani, A., Costantini, V., & Paglialunga, E. (2023). Fossil fuels subsidy removal and the EU carbon neutrality policy. *Energy Economics*, *119*, 106524.
- Asante, D., He, Z., Ampaw, E. M., Gyamerah, S., Twumasi, M. A., Opoku-Mensah, E., ... & Akyia, E. A. (2021). Renewable energy technology transition among small-and-medium scale firms in Ghana. *Renewable Energy*, *178*, 549-559.
- Aung, T., Jagger, P., Hlaing, K. T., Han, K. K., & Kobayashi, W. (2022). City living but still energy poor: Household energy transitions under rapid urbanization in Myanmar. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *85*, 102432.
- Baptista, I. (2018). Space and energy transitions in sub-Saharan Africa: understated historical connections. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *36*, 30-35.
- Bedi, H. P. (2018). 'Our energy, our rights': National extraction legacies and contested energy justice futures in Bangladesh. *Energy research & social science*, *41*, 168-175.
- Belaid, F. (2019). Role of economy and income to fall in energy poverty: policy act. In *Urban Fuel Poverty* (pp. 17-40). Academic Press.
- Belaïd, F. (2022). Implications of poorly designed climate policy on energy poverty: Global reflections on the current surge in energy prices. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *92*, 102790.
- Berrod, F., Navé, L., & Verschraegen, S. (2018). The European Parliament and energy poverty: Such a long way to develop a distinctive voice in the Energy Union. In *The European Parliament in Times of EU Crisis: Dynamics and Transformations* (pp. 365-385). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Bessa, S., & Gouveia, J. P. (2023). A framework for policy mix analysis: assessing energy poverty policies. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, *12*(4), 438-454.
- Bhatia, P. (2023). India's state-led electricity transition: a review of techno-economic, socio-technical and political perspectives. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *102*, 103184.
- Bhattacharyya, S. C. (2019). *Energy economics: concepts, issues, markets and governance*. Springer Nature.
- Biresselioglu, M. E., Limoncuoglu, S. A., Demir, M. H., Reichl, J., Burgstaller, K., Sciullo, A., & Ferrero, E. (2021). Legal provisions and market conditions for energy communities in Austria, Germany, Greece, Italy, Spain, and Turkey: A comparative assessment. *Sustainability*, *13*(20), 11212.
- Birol, F. (2007). Energy economics: a place for energy poverty in the agenda?. *The energy journal*, *28*(3), iv-6.
- Blados, C., Chatzinikolaou, D., & Kapaltzoglou, F. (2021). Energy market liberalisation in Greece: Structures, policy and prospects. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *11*(2), 115-126.
- Blazquez, J., Fuentes, R., & Manzano, B. (2020). On some economic principles of the energy transition. *Energy Policy*, *147*, 111807.
- Boeri, A., Gianfrate, V., Boulanger, S. O. M., & Massari, M. (2020). Future design approaches for energy poverty: users profiling and services for no-vulnerable condition. *Energies*, *13*(8), 2115.
- Bouich, A., Pradas, I. G., Khan, M. A., & Khattak, Y. H. (2023). Opportunities, challenges, and future prospects of the solar cell market. *Sustainability*, *15*(21), 15445.
- Bouzarovski, S. (2018). *Energy poverty:(Dis) assembling Europe's infrastructural divide* (p. 125). Springer Nature.
- Bouzarovski, S., & Bouzarovski, S. (2018). Energy poverty policies at the EU level. *Energy Poverty: (Dis) Assembling Europe's Infrastructural Divide*, 41-73.
- Bouzarovski, S., Thomson, H., & Cornelis, M. (2021). Confronting energy poverty in Europe: A research and policy agenda. *Energies*, *14*(4), 858.
- Bouzarovski, S., Thomson, H., & Cornelis, M. (2021). Confronting energy poverty in Europe: A research and policy agenda. *Energies*, *14*(4), 858.
- Carfora, A., Passaro, R., Scandurra, G., & Thomas, A. (2022). The causal nexus between income and energy poverty in EU Member States. *Energies*, *15*(8), 2822.
- Carfora, A., Scandurra, G., & Thomas, A. (2022). Forecasting the COVID-19 effects on energy poverty across EU member states. *Energy policy*, *161*, 112597.
- Carrosio, G., & De Vidovich, L. (2023). Towards eco-social policies to tackle the socio-ecological crisis: energy poverty as an interface between welfare and environment. *Environmental Sociology*, *9*(3), 243-256.
- Castrejon-Campos, O. (2022). Evolution of clean energy technologies in Mexico: A multi-perspective analysis. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, *67*, 29-53.
- Certomà, C., Corsini, F., Di Giacomo, M., & Guerrazzi, M. (2023). Beyond income and inequality: The role of socio-political factors for alleviating energy poverty in Europe. *Social Indicators Research*, *169*(1), 167-208.

- Chapman, A., & Okushima, S. (2019). Engendering an inclusive low-carbon energy transition in Japan: Considering the perspectives and awareness of the energy poor. *Energy Policy*, *135*, 111017.
- Che, X., Zhu, B., & Wang, P. (2021). Assessing global energy poverty: An integrated approach. *Energy Policy*, *149*, 112099.
- Cheah, S. K. A., & Low, B. (2022). The impact of public policy marketing, institutional narratives and discourses on renewable energy consumption in a developing economy. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, *34*(5), 944-962.
- Cheikh, N. B., Zaied, Y. B., & Nguyen, D. K. (2023). Understanding energy poverty drivers in Europe. *Energy Policy*, *183*, 113818.
- Cheng, Z., Guo, L., Smyth, R., & Tani, M. (2022). Childhood adversity and energy poverty. *Energy Economics*, *111*, 106101.
- Chien, K. H. (2020). Pacing for renewable energy development: The developmental state in Taiwan's offshore wind power. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, *110*(3), 793-807.
- Coelho, S. T., Sanches-Pereira, A., Tudeschini, L. G., & Goldemberg, J. (2018). The energy transition history of fuelwood replacement for liquefied petroleum gas in Brazilian households from 1920 to 2016. *Energy Policy*, *123*, 41-52.
- Creutzfeldt, N., Gill, C., McPherson, R., & Cornelis, M. (2020). The social and local dimensions of governance of energy poverty: adaptive responses to state remoteness. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, *43*, 635-658.
- Daggash, H. A., & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Delivering low-carbon electricity systems in sub-Saharan Africa: insights from Nigeria. *Energy & Environmental Science*, *14*(7), 4018-4037.
- Dong, K., Taghizadeh-Hesary, F., & Zhao, J. (2022). How inclusive financial development eradicates energy poverty in China? The role of technological innovation. *Energy Economics*, *109*, 106007.
- Druică, E., Goschin, Z., & Ianole-Călin, R. (2019). Energy poverty and life satisfaction: Structural mechanisms and their implications. *Energies*, *12*(20), 3988.
- Edomah, N. (2021). The governance of energy transition: lessons from the Nigerian electricity sector. *Energy, sustainability and society*, *11*, 1-12.
- Esfandiary Abdolmaleki, D., Faraji Abdolmaleki, S., & Bello Bugallo, P. M. (2023). Evaluating renewable energy and ranking 17 autonomous communities in Spain: A TOPSIS method. *Sustainability*, *15*(16), 12259.
- Fang, W., Liu, Z., & Putra, A. R. S. (2022). Role of research and development in green economic growth through renewable energy development: empirical evidence from South Asia. *Renewable Energy*, *194*, 1142-1152.
- Fashina, A., Mundu, M., Akiyode, O., Abdullah, L., Sanni, D., & Ounyesiga, L. (2018). The drivers and barriers of renewable energy applications and development in Uganda: a review. *Clean Technologies*, *1*(1), 9-39.
- Feenstra, M., & Clancy, J. (2020). A view from the north: Gender and energy poverty in the European Union. *Engendering the energy transition*, 163-187.
- Ferrall, I., Heinemann, G., von Hirschhausen, C., & Kammen, D. M. (2021). The role of political economy in energy access: Public and private off-grid electrification in Tanzania. *Energies*, *14*(11), 3173.
- Guo, L., Kuang, H., & Ni, Z. (2023). A step towards green economic policy framework: role of renewable energy and climate risk for green economic recovery. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, *56*(5), 3095-3115.
- Haldar, S., Peddibhotla, A., & Bazaz, A. (2023). Analysing intersections of justice with energy transitions in India-A systematic literature review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *98*, 103010.
- Halkos, G. E., & Gkampoura, E. C. (2021). Evaluating the effect of economic crisis on energy poverty in Europe. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *144*, 110981.
- Hampton, H., Foley, A., Del Rio, D. F., Smyth, B., Laverty, D., & Caulfield, B. (2022). Customer engagement strategies in retail electricity markets: A comprehensive and comparative review. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *90*, 102611.
- Hanke, F., & Lowitzsch, J. (2020). Empowering vulnerable consumers to join renewable energy communities—towards an inclusive design of the clean energy package. *Energies*, *13*(7), 1615.
- Hess, D. J., & Renner, M. (2019). Conservative political parties and energy transitions in Europe: Opposition to climate mitigation policies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *104*, 419-428.
- Hesselman, M. (2021). Energy poverty and household access to energy services in international, regional and national law. In *Energy law, climate change and the environment* (pp. 695-706). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Hesselman, M., Varo, A., Guyet, R., & Thomson, H. (2021). Energy poverty in the COVID-19 era: Mapping global responses in light of momentum for the right to energy. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *81*, 102246.
- Hwang, Y. K. (2023). The synergy effect through combination of the digital economy and transition to renewable energy on green economic growth: Empirical study of 18 Latin American and caribbean countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *418*, 138146.
- Ioannidou, M. (2018). Effective paths for consumer empowerment and protection in retail energy markets. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, *41*(2), 135-157.
- Kabeyi, M. J. B., & Olanrewaju, O. A. (2022). Sustainable energy transition for renewable and low carbon grid electricity generation and supply. *Frontiers in Energy research*, *9*, 743114.
- Kerr, N., Gillard, R., & Middlemiss, L. (2019). Politics, problematisation, and policy: A comparative analysis of energy poverty in England, Ireland and France. *Energy and Buildings*, *194*, 191-200.
- Khurshid, N. (2023). Does the causality between environmental sustainability, non-renewable energy consumption, geopolitical risks, and trade liberalization matter for Pakistan? Evidence from VECM analysis. *Heliyon*, *9*(11).
- Kyprianou, I., Serghides, D. K., Varo, A., Gouveia, J. P., Kopeva, D., & Murauskaite, L. (2019). Energy poverty policies and measures in 5 EU countries: A comparative study. *Energy and Buildings*, *196*, 46-60.
- Laes, E., & Bombaerts, G. (2022). Energy communities and the tensions between neoliberalism and communitarianism. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, *28*(1), 3.
- Leal Filho, W., Balogun, A. L., Surroop, D., Salvia, A. L., Narula, K., Li, C., ... & Azadi, H. (2022). Realising the potential of renewable energy as a tool for energy security in small island developing states. *Sustainability*, *14*(9), 4965.
- Li, M., Sun, X., Wang, Y., & Song-Turner, H. (2019). The impact of political connections on the efficiency of China's renewable energy firms. *Energy Economics*, *83*, 467-474.
- Li, R., Xin, Y., Sotnyk, I., Kubatko, O., Almashaqbeh, I., Fedyna, S., & Prokopenko, O. (2021). Energy poverty and energy efficiency in emerging economies. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution*, *69*(1-2), 1-21.

- Li, W., Chien, F., Hsu, C. C., Zhang, Y., Nawaz, M. A., Iqbal, S., & Mohsin, M. (2021). Nexus between energy poverty and energy efficiency: estimating the long-run dynamics. *Resources Policy*, *72*, 102063.
- Li, Z., & Qamruzzaman, M. (2023). Nexus between environmental degradation, clean energy, financial inclusion, and poverty: evidence with DSUR, CUP-fm, and CUP-bc estimation. *Sustainability*, *15*(19), 14161.
- Lippert, I., & Sareen, S. (2023). Alleviation of energy poverty through transitions to low-carbon energy infrastructure. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *100*, 103087.
- Liu, C., Xin, L., Li, J., & Sun, H. (2022). The impact of renewable energy technology innovation on industrial green transformation and upgrading: beggar thy neighbor or benefiting thy neighbor. *Sustainability*, *14*(18), 11198.
- Longo, D., Olivieri, G., Roversi, R., Turci, G., & Turillazzi, B. (2020). Energy poverty and protection of vulnerable consumers. Overview of the EU funding programs FP7 and H2020 and future trends in horizon Europe. *Energies*, *13*(5), 1030.
- Lu, J., Ren, L., Qiao, J., Yao, S., Strielkowski, W., & Streimikis, J. (2019). Corporate social responsibility and corruption: Implications for the sustainable energy sector. *Sustainability*, *11*(15), 4128.
- Luke, N., & Heynen, N. (2020). Community solar as energy reparations: Abolishing petro-racial capitalism in New Orleans. *American Quarterly*, *72*(3), 603-625.
- Mafalda Matos, A., Delgado, J. M., & Guimarães, A. S. (2022). Linking energy poverty with thermal building regulations and energy efficiency policies in Portugal. *Energies*, *15*(1), 329.
- Maulidia, M., Dargusch, P., Ashworth, P., & Ardiansyah, F. (2019). Rethinking renewable energy targets and electricity sector reform in Indonesia: A private sector perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *101*, 231-247.
- Meyer, S., Laurence, H., Bart, D., Middlemiss, L., & Maréchal, K. (2018). Capturing the multifaceted nature of energy poverty: Lessons from Belgium. *Energy research & social science*, *40*, 273-283.
- Mochi, P., Pandya, K., Soares, J., & Vale, Z. (2023). Optimizing power exchange cost considering behavioral intervention in local energy community. *Mathematics*, *11*(10), 2367.
- Monyei, C. G., Akpeji, K. O., Oladeji, O., Babatunde, O. M., Aholu, O. C., Adegoke, D., & Imafidon, J. O. (2022). Regional cooperation for mitigating energy poverty in Sub-Saharan Africa: A context-based approach through the tripartite lenses of access, sufficiency, and mobility. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *159*, 112209.
- Munro, P. G., & Bartlett, A. (2019). Energy bricolage in Northern Uganda: rethinking energy geographies in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *55*, 71-81.
- Mushafiq, M., Arisar, M. M. K., Tariq, H., & Czapp, S. (2023). Energy efficiency and economic policy: Comprehensive theoretical, empirical, and policy review. *Energies*, *16*(5), 2381.
- Nagaj, R., & Korpysa, J. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on the Level of Energy Poverty in Poland. *Energies*, *13*(18), 4977.
- Nalule, V. R. (2018). *Energy poverty and access challenges in sub-Saharan Africa: The role of regionalism*. Springer.
- Necoechea-Porras, P. D., López, A., & Salazar-Elena, J. C. (2021). Deregulation in the energy sector and its economic effects on the power sector: A literature review. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), 3429.
- Newell, P. (2019). Trasformismo or transformation? The global political economy of energy transitions. *Review of international political economy*, *26*(1), 25-48.
- Nicolli, F., & Vona, F. (2019). Energy market liberalization and renewable energy policies in OECD countries. *Energy Policy*, *128*, 853-867.
- Nouicer, A., & Meeus, L. (2019). *The EU clean energy package (ed. 2019)*. European University Institute.
- Nussbaumer, P., Bazilian, M., & Modi, V. (2012). Measuring energy poverty: Focusing on what matters. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(1), 231-243.
- Ortiz, J., Jiménez Martínez, M., Alegría-Sala, A., Tirado-Herrero, S., González Pijuan, I., Guiteras Blaya, M., & Canals Casals, L. (2021). Tackling energy poverty through collective advisory assemblies and electricity and comfort monitoring campaigns. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), 9671.
- Pachauri, S., & Spreng, D. (2011). Measuring and monitoring energy poverty. *Energy policy*, *39*(12), 7497-7504.
- Panda, S., Mohanty, S., Rout, P. K., Sahu, B. K., Parida, S. M., Samanta, I. S., ... & Prokop, L. (2023). A comprehensive review on demand side management and market design for renewable energy support and integration. *Energy Reports*, *10*, 2228-2250.
- Pereira, M. G., Freitas, M. A. V., & da Silva, N. F. (2011). The challenge of energy poverty: Brazilian case study. *Energy Policy*, *39*(1), 167-175.
- Phimister, E., Vera-Toscano, E., & Roberts, D. (2015). The dynamics of energy poverty: evidence from Spain. *Economics of Energy & Environmental Policy*, *4*(1), 153-166.
- Popescu, C., Hysa, E., Panait, M., & Çela, A. (2023). Past, present, and future of critical issues in energy: poverty, transition and security—a systematic review. *Energies*, *16*(14), 5484.
- Primc, K., & Slabe-Erker, R. (2020). Social policy or energy policy? Time to reconsider energy poverty policies. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, *55*, 32-36.
- Primc, K., Slabe-Erker, R., & Majcen, B. (2019). Constructing energy poverty profiles for an effective energy policy. *Energy Policy*, *128*, 727-734.
- Primc, K., Slabe-Erker, R., & Majcen, B. (2019). Energy poverty: A macrolevel perspective. *Sustainable Development*, *27*(5), 982-989.
- Radley, B., & Lehmann-Grube, P. (2022). Off-grid solar expansion and economic development in the global South: A critical review and research agenda. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *89*, 102673.
- Rafi, M., Naseef, M., & Prasad, S. (2021). Multidimensional energy poverty and human capital development: empirical evidence from India. *Energy Economics*, *101*, 105427.
- Romero, J. C., Linares, P., & López, X. (2018). The policy implications of energy poverty indicators. *Energy policy*, *115*, 98-108.
- Saraji, M. K., & Streimikiene, D. (2023). Challenges to the low carbon energy transition: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, *49*, 101163.
- Sciullo, A., Gilcrease, G. W., Perugini, M., Padovan, D., Curli, B., Gregg, J. S., ... & Muiste, M. (2022). Exploring institutional and socio-economic settings for the development of energy communities in Europe. *Energies*, *15*(4), 1597.

- Sima, C. A., Roscia, M., & Dancu, V. S. (2022). Social behavior analysis for improving the positive energy transition. *Renewable Energy*, *196*, 1325-1344.
- Singh, S., & Ru, J. (2022). Accessibility, affordability, and efficiency of clean energy: a review and research agenda. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(13), 18333-18347.
- Sovacool, B. K., Upham, P., Martiskainen, M., Jenkins, K. E., Torres Contreras, G. A., & Simcock, N. (2023). Policy prescriptions to address energy and transport poverty in the United Kingdom. *Nature Energy*, *8*(3), 273-283.
- Streimikiene, D., Lekavičius, V., Baležentis, T., Kyriakopoulos, G. L., & Abrhám, J. (2020). Climate change mitigation policies targeting households and addressing energy poverty in European Union. *Energies*, *13*(13), 3389.
- Tabrizian, S. (2019). Technological innovation to achieve sustainable development—Renewable energy technologies diffusion in developing countries. *Sustainable Development*, *27*(3), 537-544.
- Tan, Y., Qamruzzaman, M., & Karim, S. (2023). An investigation of financial openness, trade openness, gross capital formation, urbanization, financial development, education and energy nexus in BRI: Evidence from the symmetric and asymmetric framework. *Plos one*, *18*(12), e0290121.
- Tardy, F., & Lee, B. (2019). Building related energy poverty in developed countries–Past, present, and future from a Canadian perspective. *Energy and Buildings*, *194*, 46-61.
- Tundys, B., & Bretyn, A. (2023). Energy transition scenarios for energy poverty alleviation: analysis of the delphi study. *Energies*, *16*(4), 1870.
- Tzankova, Z. (2020). Public policy spillovers from private energy governance: New opportunities for the political acceleration of renewable energy transitions. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *67*, 101504.
- Ugulu, A. I. (2019). Barriers and motivations for solar photovoltaic (PV) adoption in urban Nigeria. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy Planning and Management*, *21*.
- Varo, A., Jiglau, G., Grossmann, K., & Guyet, R. (2022). Addressing energy poverty through technological and governance innovation. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, *12*(1), 49.
- Wang, W. H., Moreno-Casas, V., & Huerta de Soto, J. (2021). A free-market environmentalist transition toward renewable energy: The cases of Germany, Denmark, and the United Kingdom. *Energies*, *14*(15), 4659.
- Wang, W., Xiao, W., & Bai, C. (2022). Can renewable energy technology innovation alleviate energy poverty? Perspective from the marketization level. *Technology in Society*, *68*, 101933.
- Weber, C., Heidari, S., & Bucksteeg, M. (2021). Coping with uncertainties in the electricity sector-Methods for decisions of different scope. *Economics of Energy & Environmental Policy*, *10*(1), 5-30.
- Welton, S., & Eisen, J. (2019). Clean energy justice: charting an emerging agenda. *Harv. Envtl. L. Rev.*, *43*, 307.
- Wu, S. (2020). The evolution of rural energy policies in China: A review. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *119*, 109584.
- Yahong, W., Cai, Y., Khan, S., & Chandio, A. A. (2023). How do clean fuels and technology-based energy poverty affect carbon emissions? New evidence from eighteen developing countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *30*(13), 37396-37414.
- Yan, Y., Li, L., Madureira, L., Iqbal, N., & Fareed, Z. (2023). Tackling energy poverty through trade activities: analyzing social well-being in China. *Energy and Buildings*, *293*, 113176.
- Zhang, S., & Xie, G. (2023). Promoting green investment for renewable energy sources in China: Case study from autoregressive distributed Lagged in error correction approach. *Renewable Energy*, *214*, 359-368.
- Zhao, X., Ramzan, M., Sengupta, T., Sharma, G. D., Shahzad, U., & Cui, L. (2022). Impacts of bilateral trade on energy affordability and accessibility across Europe: does economic globalization reduce energy poverty?. *Energy and Buildings*, *262*, 112023.
- Zhao, Z. Y., Hao, Y. X., Chang, R. D., & Wang, Q. C. (2023). Assessing the vulnerability of energy supply chains: Influencing factors and countermeasures. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, *56*, 103018.
- Zhou, Y. (2023). Worldwide carbon neutrality transition? Energy efficiency, renewable, carbon trading and advanced energy policies. *Energy Reviews*, *2*(2), 100026.