



Peer-Reviewed, International,
Academic Research Journal

ISSN : 3048-6297



Citation

Davis, I. (2024). Qualitative Investigation of Digital Technologies, Social Media, and AI on Human Behaviour, Identity, Communication, and Social Relations in Australia. *Social Science Chronicle*, Vol. 4, pp. 1-17.

Digital Object Identifier (DOI)

<https://doi.org/10.56106/ssc.2024.001>

Received - July 15, 2023

Accepted - January 5, 2024

Published - January 12, 2024

Web-Link

All the contents of this peer reviewed article as well as author details are available at <http://socialsciencechronicle.com/article-ssc-2024-001>

Copyright

The copyright of this article is reserved with the author/s.
© 2024, Isla Davis.

This publication is distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution, Non-Commercial, Share Alike 4.0 International License. It permits unrestricted copying and redistribution of this publication in any medium or format.



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Qualitative Investigation of Digital Technologies, Social Media, and AI on Human Behaviour, Identity, Communication, and Social Relations in Australia

Isla Davis^{1*}

¹ The University of Western Australia, Claremont, Australia.

* Corresponding Author



E-mail: isladavis900@gmail.com

Abstract

This research explores the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures among Australians, examining the impact of digital technologies, social media, and artificial intelligence (AI) on human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations. Using a qualitative, ethnographic approach, the study captures the lived experiences of diverse participants through in-depth interviews, focus groups, and participant observations. The findings reveal that digital technologies significantly influence how Australians construct and manage their identities, often navigating the tension between authenticity and impression management on social media platforms. The study also highlights how digital communication styles - characterized by brevity, informality, and the use of visual elements—reshape social norms and affect the depth of interpersonal relationships. Additionally, the research identifies societal concerns about AI, including privacy, data exploitation, and the blending of human and machine interactions, which impact decision-making and personal boundaries. The study contributes to anthropological theories of digital cultures by challenging conventional distinctions between online and offline experiences, emphasizing the hybrid nature of contemporary social life. Practical implications include recommendations for policymakers to enhance digital literacy, address mental health impacts, and strengthen privacy protections. Insights for digital platforms focus on ethical considerations, user-centric design, and transparent data practices. This research provides a nuanced understanding of the evolving role of digital technologies in shaping Australian society, offering valuable perspectives for managing the social impacts of the digital age.

Keywords

Digital Anthropology, Digital Cultures, Identity Formation, Online Self-Presentation, Human-Machine Interaction, Technology Impact, Qualitative Research.

1. Introduction

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies, including social media, artificial intelligence (AI), and other internet-based platforms, has dramatically reshaped human societies, transforming how individuals communicate, form identities, and interact within social structures. In Australia, as in much of the world, digital technologies have become integral to daily life, influencing personal behavior, social norms, and broader cultural practices. This research aims to explore the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures among Australians, focusing on the impact of digital technologies on human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations. By examining how Australians navigate their digital lives, this study seeks to provide a nuanced

understanding of the opportunities and challenges that arise from living in an increasingly digitized world.

Background and Rationale

Digital technologies have profoundly changed the landscape of human interaction, creating new forms of sociality that transcend geographical boundaries and reshape traditional notions of community and identity. The internet, social media platforms, and AI-driven systems have introduced new ways of connecting, sharing, and communicating, while also presenting unique challenges related to privacy, authenticity, and the ethical use of personal data. In Australia, these technologies are widely adopted, with the country ranking among the top nations in terms of internet usage and social media engagement. This widespread adoption underscores the importance of understanding how digital technologies affect the everyday lives of Australians, influencing everything from personal identity to societal norms.

Digital Technologies and Social Transformation

Digital technologies are not merely tools for communication; they are powerful agents of social transformation. Social media platforms like Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and Twitter have become central to how people present themselves, interact with others, and participate in public discourse. These platforms offer individuals the ability to curate their online personas, share aspects of their lives, and engage with a global audience in ways that were unimaginable just a few decades ago. However, the performative nature of social media, coupled with the constant pursuit of likes, comments, and shares, can also create pressures that impact mental health and self-perception. AI technologies, such as recommendation algorithms, chatbots, and smart devices, further complicate the digital landscape by shaping user experiences and influencing decision-making processes. These systems operate largely behind the scenes, using vast amounts of data to predict and guide user behavior, often without users fully understanding how their choices are being influenced. The blending of human and machine interactions raises important questions about autonomy, privacy, and the boundaries between public and private life. This study seeks to address these questions by examining how Australians engage with AI technologies and how these interactions shape their digital and offline lives.

Role of Anthropology in Understanding Digital Cultures

Anthropology, with its focus on human behavior, cultural practices, and social structures, provides a valuable lens for examining the impact of digital technologies on society. Digital anthropology, a subfield that explores the intersection of technology and culture, seeks to understand how digital environments influence the way people live, communicate, and relate to one another. By employing ethnographic methods, such as participant observation and in-depth interviews, digital anthropology captures the lived experiences of individuals as they navigate complex digital landscapes. This research contributes to the field of digital anthropology by focusing on the specific context of Australia, a nation with a diverse and multicultural population that engages with digital technologies in unique ways. Australia's distinct social, cultural, and economic landscape provides a rich

setting for exploring how digital technologies are integrated into daily life, shaping identities, social relations, and public discourse. By examining these dynamics, the study aims to fill a gap in the existing literature, which often focuses on digital cultures in North America, Europe, or Asia, and to provide insights that are relevant to the Australian context.

Research Problem and Objectives

Research Problem

The central research problem addressed in this study is: How do digital technologies, including social media and AI, impact human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations among Australians? While digital technologies offer new avenues for connection, self-expression, and information access, they also pose challenges related to privacy, mental health, and the authenticity of online interactions. The study seeks to understand these complexities by exploring the everyday experiences of Australians as they engage with digital platforms and navigate the digital dimensions of their lives.

Research Objectives

To address the research problem, the study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To explore how Australians use and perceive digital technologies, including social media and AI, in their daily lives. This objective aims to capture the diverse ways in which digital technologies are integrated into everyday routines, from personal communication to professional tasks, and to understand the motivations and behaviors that drive digital engagement.
2. To investigate the impact of digital technologies on identity formation and self-presentation among Australians. The study seeks to examine how individuals curate their online personas, manage their digital footprints, and navigate the tensions between authenticity and impression management in digital spaces.
3. To understand how digital technologies reshape communication norms and social interactions. This objective focuses on the ways digital platforms influence social behavior, including the formation of digital communities, the maintenance of relationships, and the evolution of communication styles.
4. To identify the societal impacts of AI technologies, including trust, privacy concerns, and the blending of human and machine interactions. The study aims to explore how Australians perceive and interact with AI-driven systems, highlighting the implications for personal autonomy, data security, and the ethical use of technology.

Significance of Study

This research is significant for several reasons. First, it addresses a critical gap in the literature by focusing on the Australian context, providing insights into how digital cultures manifest in a

society characterized by high levels of digital connectivity, cultural diversity, and a unique socio-political environment. The study's findings have the potential to inform local and national debates about digital policy, regulation, and the ethical use of technology, contributing to the development of strategies that enhance digital literacy, protect privacy, and promote healthy online behaviors. Second, the study's focus on the intersection of digital technologies and human behavior has broader implications for understanding the evolving nature of contemporary social life. As digital platforms continue to shape how individuals interact, form identities, and participate in society, there is a growing need for research that critically examines these impacts and offers evidence-based recommendations for managing the social consequences of technology. This research provides valuable insights that can guide policymakers, educators, and digital platform designers in creating digital environments that prioritize user well-being and social inclusivity. Finally, the study contributes to the theoretical development of digital anthropology by providing empirical evidence on the role of digital technologies in shaping cultural practices and social relations. The findings challenge conventional distinctions between online and offline experiences, highlighting the fluidity and interconnectedness of digital and physical worlds. By emphasizing the importance of context, culture, and lived experience, the research advances anthropological theories that seek to understand the complexities of human behavior in the digital age.

Research Questions

To achieve the study's objectives, the following research questions were formulated:

1. How do Australians use and perceive digital technologies, such as social media and AI, in their daily lives?
2. In what ways do digital platforms influence identity formation, self-presentation, and the negotiation of authenticity among Australians?
3. How do digital communication styles and norms affect social relations, including the maintenance of friendships, family connections, and professional networks?
4. What are the perceived impacts of AI on decision-making, privacy, and personal boundaries, and how do Australians navigate these interactions?

2. Literature Review

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies, social media platforms, and artificial intelligence (AI) has brought about significant and far-reaching changes in the ways humans interact, communicate, and construct their identities. These technologies have not only reshaped everyday practices and social norms but have also influenced the fundamental structures of cultural and societal engagement. In particular, digital environments have become central to how individuals experience the world, negotiate meaning, and build personal and collective identities.

This literature review seeks to examine the theoretical foundations that inform the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures, with a specific emphasis on three key areas: Digital

Anthropology, Theories of Media and Communication, and Identity Formation and Social Networking Theories. By exploring these frameworks, the review will establish a critical lens through which digital cultural phenomena can be analyzed and understood.

Furthermore, the review will survey prominent studies that have investigated the interplay between technology and culture, placing special emphasis on research conducted within the Australian context. Australia provides a unique cultural and technological landscape that offers valuable insights into how digital technologies intersect with issues such as multiculturalism, Indigenous knowledge systems, rural-urban divides, and national digital policy. Finally, this review will highlight significant gaps and limitations in the existing body of research, particularly regarding the nuanced experiences of underrepresented communities, the ethical implications of AI, and the long-term socio-cultural effects of digital immersion. Identifying these gaps will help to justify the current study's contribution to the field and outline its potential to inform both academic understanding and policy-making related to digital technologies and society.

Digital Anthropology and Ethnography

Digital Anthropology is an emerging subfield that examines the interaction between humans and digital technologies, offering insights into how digital devices, platforms, and networks shape cultural practices, social interactions, and human experiences (Reyes, 2023; Shibuya, 2020; Knox, Williamson, & Bayne, 2020; Panda, Upadhyay, & Khandelwal, 2019; Aw et al., 2022; Burr, Taddeo, & Floridi, 2020). Digital ethnography, a methodological approach within this field, involves studying communities, behaviors, and cultures within digital spaces, providing a nuanced understanding of how individuals engage with technology in their everyday lives (Awad & Feinstein, 2020; Elliott, 2019; Chasiakos & Stager, 2020; Gerlich, 2023; Zhang et al., 2020; Vrontis, Chaudhuri, & Chatterjee, 2022; Fatma et al., 2020).

One foundational text in Digital Anthropology is Daniel Miller and Heather Horst's work, which emphasizes the need to contextualize digital experiences within broader social and cultural settings. Miller's concept of "polymedia" explores how individuals navigate a range of digital media, highlighting the role of choice in communication practices, which in turn reflects personal and social identities (Shanmugasundaram & Tamilarasu, 2023; Li, Larimo, & Leonidou, 2023; Quesenberry, 2020; Stahl, 2021; Park & Humphry, 2019; Al-Samarraie et al., 2022). Ethnographic methods are crucial in understanding how people use these technologies, providing rich qualitative data that reveal motivations, emotions, and cultural meanings associated with digital interactions. Digital Anthropology also addresses the "digital divide," focusing on how access to technology differs across socio-economic and geographical contexts (Guzman & Lewis, 2020; Hajli et al., 2022; Esposito, 2022; Airoldi, 2018; Locsin et al., 2021; Mariani, Perez-Vega, & Wirtz, 2022; Moore, Bulmer, & Elms, 2022). Studies highlight disparities in digital literacy, internet access, and technological adoption, which shape how different groups experience the digital world.

In Australia, the digital divide is evident between urban and rural areas, with Indigenous communities often facing significant barriers to digital inclusion. These inequalities influence the extent to which different populations can participate in digital

cultures, impacting their social relations and access to information (Ågerfalk, 2020; Karnouskos, 2020; Mendhurwar & Mishra, 2021; Rachmad, 2023; Hennig-Thurau et al., 2023; Paul, Ueno, & Dennis, 2023; Djafarova & Trofimenko, 2019). Digital ethnography, as outlined by Sarah Pink and others, extends traditional anthropological methods into online spaces, examining digital platforms as sites of cultural production and social engagement. Pink's work emphasizes the importance of sensory and experiential dimensions of digital interactions, suggesting that digital spaces are not separate from the physical world but deeply intertwined with offline experiences. This perspective challenges the dichotomy between the virtual and the real, arguing that digital life is an integral part of contemporary human existence.

Theories of Media and Communication

Theories of Media and Communication provide essential frameworks for understanding how digital technologies influence human interaction and societal change (Dwi Santy et al., 2021; Lüders, Dinkelberg, & Quayle, 2022; Androutsopoulou et al., 2019; Sokhulu, 2021; Cao, 2022; Reviglio & Agosti, 2020). One of the most influential theories is Marshall McLuhan's concept of "the medium is the message," which argues that the medium through which information is transmitted significantly shapes the content and nature of the communication itself. In the digital age, this perspective is relevant as it highlights how digital platforms—whether social media, messaging apps, or AI algorithms—shape the way information is produced, consumed, and interpreted (Lipschultz, 2023; Brandtzaeg, Skjuve, & Følstad, 2022; Smith, Leonis, & Anandavalli, 2021; Baptista et al., 2020; Westerlund, 2019).

Media theorists like Manuel Castells have explored the rise of the "network society," where digital communication networks fundamentally alter the structures of social organization. Castells' work emphasizes how digital technologies enable new forms of social interaction, characterized by decentralization, interconnectivity, and global reach. This theory is particularly relevant in understanding how social media platforms facilitate the formation of digital communities that transcend geographical boundaries, enabling people to connect based on shared interests, identities, and affiliations. The theory of "mediatization" further explores how media and technology are not just channels of communication but agents of social change, influencing how institutions, social practices, and everyday life are organized (Edwards et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2022; Allal-Chérif, Aránega, & Sánchez, 2021; Liu-Thompkins, Okazaki, & Li, 2022). Mediatization theory argues that media have become deeply embedded in all aspects of society, shaping not only communication but also politics, economics, and cultural production (Lou, 2022; Appel et al., 2020; Chung & Zeng, 2020; Tsay-Vogel, Shanahan, & Signorielli, 2018; Assenmacher et al., 2020).

In Australia, mediatization is evident in how social media platforms have transformed public discourse, activism, and political engagement, creating new avenues for participation and influence. Another critical perspective is Stuart Hall's Encoding/Decoding Model, which examines how media messages are produced, circulated, and interpreted by audiences. This model highlights the active role of audiences in interpreting media content, suggesting that individuals bring their own cultural backgrounds, social positions, and personal experiences to their engagement with digital media. This perspective is valuable in

exploring how Australians make sense of digital content, negotiate their identities online, and resist or embrace various cultural messages (Levin & Mamlok, 2021; Schmitt, 2019; Taecharungroj, 2019; Ellis & Tucker, 2020).

Identity Formation and Social Networking Theories

Identity formation in the digital age is a complex process influenced by the affordances of social networking platforms, where individuals can curate and present multiple versions of themselves (Chung et al., 2021; Campbell & Evolvi, 2020; Jhawar, Kumar, & Varshney, 2023; Ozansoy Çadırcı & Sağkaya Güngör, 2019; Conti, Gathani, & Tricomi, 2022). Theories of identity formation, such as Erving Goffman's "presentation of self," are highly relevant in digital contexts where users engage in impression management, crafting online personas that reflect or deviate from their offline identities. Social networking sites like Facebook, Instagram, and TikTok provide spaces for identity experimentation, where users navigate between authenticity and performativity (Si et al., 2023; Lievrouw, 2023). Sherry Turkle's concept of "cyberspace as a space for identity play" illustrates how digital platforms allow individuals to explore different aspects of their personalities and connect with others who share similar identities or experiences.

Turkle argues that the anonymity and flexibility of digital spaces enable a multiplicity of self-expressions, contributing to a fluid and dynamic sense of self. However, this fluidity also raises questions about authenticity, mental health, and the pressures of maintaining a consistent digital persona (Hancock, Naaman, & Levy, 2020; Krishen et al., 2021; Couldry & Mejias, 2019). Nancy Baym's work on "personal connections in the digital age" highlights how digital technologies reshape social connections, creating new forms of intimacy, friendship, and community. Baym's research explores the blurring of boundaries between private and public, online and offline, and personal and professional lives, emphasizing how digital interactions redefine social norms and expectations. This theoretical lens is particularly useful in understanding how Australians negotiate relationships and social boundaries in digital spaces.

The concept of "context collapse," introduced by danah boyd, describes the challenges individuals face when diverse social contexts converge on digital platforms, making it difficult to tailor communication to specific audiences. This phenomenon is particularly relevant in social media environments where family, friends, colleagues, and acquaintances coexist, complicating the management of personal information and self-presentation (De Cicco, Silva, & Alparone, 2020; Bag et al., 2022; Vesnic-Alujevic, Nascimento, & Polvora, 2020; Seeber et al., 2020; Westerman et al., 2020). In Australia, context collapse is evident in how users navigate privacy settings, manage digital footprints, and deal with the tensions between public visibility and personal boundaries (Cheng & Jiang, 2020; Sundar, 2020; Giannini & Bowen, 2022; Kang, Chen, & Kang, 2019; Lou et al., 2023).

Digital Cultures and Technology

Empirical studies on digital cultures in Australia have explored various aspects of how digital technologies impact everyday life, social relations, and cultural practices. One significant area of research has focused on the role of social media in

shaping public discourse and political engagement (Quach et al., 2022; Nalbant & Aydın, 2023; Poulsen, Kvåle, & Van Leeuwen, 2018; Airoidi & Rokka, 2022). Scholars like Axel Bruns and Tim Highfield have examined how social media platforms have become critical spaces for political communication, particularly during election campaigns and social movements. Their work highlights how Australians use Twitter, Facebook, and other platforms to engage in political debates, share news, and mobilize for collective action. Studies have also explored the impact of digital technologies on Australian Indigenous communities, examining both opportunities and challenges.

Researchers have highlighted how digital platforms can empower Indigenous Australians by providing spaces for cultural expression, storytelling, and activism (Choi & Drumwright, 2021; Dwivedi et al., 2022; Ebrahim, 2020; Markauskaite et al., 2022). However, studies also point to the digital divide, which disproportionately affects Indigenous populations in remote areas, limiting their access to digital resources and participation in online communities. This dual dynamic underscores the need for culturally sensitive approaches to digital inclusion that recognize the specific needs and contexts of Indigenous peoples (Natale, 2021; Zollo et al., 2022; Mrad, Ramadan, & Nasr, 2022; Calcagni et al., 2019).

In the realm of AI and automation, Australian research has focused on how these technologies are transforming various sectors, including employment, education, and healthcare. Studies have investigated public perceptions of AI, revealing a mixture of optimism and concern about the future implications of automation for job security, privacy, and human agency (Jones & Hafner, 2021; Ng, 2022; Armutcu et al., 2023; Leander & Burris, 2020). Researchers have emphasized the importance of digital literacy and ethical considerations in the deployment of AI technologies, advocating for policies that promote responsible AI use and protect vulnerable populations. Globally, research on digital cultures has addressed similar themes, with a strong focus on the social and psychological impacts of social media use (Fox & Gambino, 2021; Litchfield et al., 2018; Heidari, Salimi, & Mehrvarz, 2023; Grover, Kar, & Dwivedi, 2022).

Studies have examined how digital platforms affect mental health, particularly among young people, highlighting issues such as cyberbullying, social comparison, and addiction. These findings are relevant in the Australian context, where the rise of social media use among youth has prompted public debates about screen time, online safety, and the responsibilities of tech companies. The impact of digital technologies on identity formation and self-presentation has been extensively studied across different cultural contexts. Research by researchers like Zeynep Tufekci and Alice Marwick has explored how social media enable new forms of self-expression, while also exposing users to surveillance, algorithmic biases, and commercial exploitation. These studies underscore the complex interplay between empowerment and control in digital environments, raising important questions about autonomy, privacy, and the commodification of personal data.

Gaps in Existing Research

Despite the growing body of literature on digital cultures and technology, several gaps remain, particularly in the Australian context. First, while there is substantial research on digital media

use among young people, there is a relative lack of studies focusing on older adults, marginalized groups, and rural communities in Australia. Understanding how different demographics navigate digital technologies is crucial for developing inclusive policies and interventions. Second, much of the existing research on AI in Australia has focused on technical aspects, such as algorithm design and deployment, with less emphasis on the lived experiences of individuals interacting with AI systems. There is a need for more ethnographic and qualitative studies that explore how Australians perceive and engage with AI in their daily lives, including issues of trust, control, and ethical concerns. Third, while studies have examined the impact of digital technologies on mental health, there is limited research on how specific digital practices, such as digital detoxing, content moderation, and self-regulation, are adopted by Australians to manage their digital well-being.

Investigating these coping strategies could provide valuable insights into how individuals maintain a balanced relationship with technology. Finally, there is a gap in understanding how cultural and social contexts influence digital behaviors in Australia, particularly among multicultural communities. Studies that explore how cultural background, language, and social norms shape digital engagement can offer a more nuanced picture of digital cultures in Australia, highlighting the diversity of experiences and perspectives. This literature review has outlined key theoretical frameworks and empirical studies relevant to understanding the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures in Australia. By drawing on Digital Anthropology, Media and Communication Theories, and Identity Formation Theories, this study will contribute to a deeper understanding of how digital technologies impact human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations. The review also highlights significant gaps in current research, underscoring the need for further qualitative investigations that capture the complexities of digital life in Australia.

3. Methodology

This section details the methodology employed in the research on the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures among the Australian population. The study aimed to explore the impact of digital technologies, social media, and AI on human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations. A qualitative, ethnographic approach was used, focusing on participant observation, in-depth interviews, and focus groups. This section will provide an in-depth account of the research design, sampling strategies, data collection methods, and ethical considerations, reflecting the process undertaken to gather and analyze the data.

Research Design

The study adopted a qualitative, ethnographic approach designed to provide a comprehensive understanding of the lived experiences of Australians in their digital engagements. This approach was chosen for its ability to capture the nuances of digital cultures, emphasizing participant voices and contextualizing their experiences within broader social, cultural, and technological frameworks.

Qualitative Ethnographic Approach

Ethnography served as the central methodological approach, extending traditional anthropological methods into digital environments. Digital ethnography was utilized to observe and engage with participants in both their offline and online lives, allowing for a holistic view of how digital technologies shape their daily practices, identity formation, and social interactions. This method was particularly effective in exploring how digital behaviors are experienced across different demographics, including age, gender, cultural background, and location (urban versus rural).

Rationale for the Ethnographic Design

The ethnographic design allowed for an immersive exploration of digital cultures, capturing the complex ways that digital technologies are integrated into everyday life. The study's qualitative nature facilitated a deep engagement with participants, moving beyond surface-level descriptions to understand the motivations, emotions, and cultural meanings associated with their digital interactions. This approach proved invaluable in adapting to the evolving digital landscapes participants navigated, capturing insights into new and emergent uses of digital platforms and AI technologies.

Sampling

Sampling was a critical component of the research design, as it determined the diversity and representativeness of the participants included in the study. Purposive sampling was employed to select participants who met specific criteria relevant to the research objectives, ensuring a wide range of experiences and perspectives related to digital cultures.

Target Population

The target population included Australians from diverse demographic backgrounds, ensuring that the study captured a wide spectrum of digital experiences. Key demographic groups of interest included:

1. **Age Groups:** Participants ranged from teenagers to older adults, allowing the study to explore generational differences in digital technology use and perceptions of social media and AI.
2. **Gender:** The study included men, women, and non-binary individuals to understand how digital interactions intersect with gender identities.
3. **Cultural Background:** A diverse range of cultural and linguistic backgrounds were represented, including Indigenous Australians, migrants, and culturally diverse communities, which highlighted the influence of cultural contexts on digital engagement.
4. **Geographic Location:** The sample included both urban and rural participants, capturing differences in digital access and use between metropolitan and remote areas.

5. **Socio-Economic Status:** Individuals from various socio-economic backgrounds participated, providing insights into how economic factors influence digital literacy and engagement.

Sampling Method

Purposive sampling was utilized to deliberately select participants who actively engaged with digital technologies, ensuring that the study included those with rich and relevant insights. Specific criteria for participant selection included:

1. **Active Digital Engagement:** Participants were selected based on their regular use of digital technologies, social media, or interaction with AI in their daily lives.
2. **Diverse Digital Contexts:** The sample included individuals who engaged with a variety of digital platforms, such as social media, online forums, and professional uses of AI.
3. **Varied Digital Literacy Levels:** The study included participants with different levels of digital literacy, from tech-savvy users to those with limited digital skills, reflecting diverse competencies and experiences with technology.

This sampling approach enabled the inclusion of participants whose experiences aligned closely with the research objectives, thereby enhancing the depth and quality of the data collected.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection involved three primary methods: in-depth interviews, participant observation, and focus groups. Each method was selected to capture different aspects of participants' digital experiences and provide a comprehensive understanding of the impact of digital technologies on their lives. In-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted to explore participants' personal experiences, perceptions, and behaviors related to digital technologies, social media, and AI. The semi-structured format allowed for flexibility, enabling the researcher to adapt questions based on the flow of conversation and probe deeper into relevant topics.

Interview Process and Topics:

1. **Introduction and Rapport Building:** Each interview began with introductory questions to establish rapport and gather demographic information, such as age, gender, and digital habits.
2. **Digital Technology Use:** Participants were asked about their use of digital technologies, including the platforms they frequently used, the frequency of use, and the purposes behind their engagement.
3. **Social Media and Identity:** Questions explored how participants used social media for self-expression, identity formation, and social interaction, delving into the ways they managed their online personas.

4. **AI Interactions:** Participants discussed their awareness and interactions with AI, including experiences with chatbots, recommendation algorithms, and smart devices, and their perceptions of AI's impact on daily life.
5. **Social Relations:** The interviews examined how digital technologies influenced participants' social relationships, communication styles, and social norms.
6. **Challenges and Benefits:** The interviews concluded with reflections on the benefits and challenges of digital engagement, including strategies participants used to manage their digital well-being.

Conducting the Interviews:

1. **Format:** Interviews were conducted face-to-face, over the phone, or via video conferencing platforms, based on participant preferences and logistical considerations.
2. **Duration:** Each interview lasted between 60 to 90 minutes, allowing for in-depth exploration of the topics.
3. **Recording and Transcription:** Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and transcribed verbatim for detailed analysis.

Participant Observation

Participant observation was conducted in both physical and digital environments to gain insights into how participants interacted within digital spaces. This method allowed for direct engagement with the digital platforms under study, providing context to the data collected from interviews and focus groups.

Focus of Participant Observation:

1. **Online Behavior:** Observations focused on participants' interactions within digital spaces, including social media activities, comment exchanges, and content sharing practices.
2. **Digital Communities:** The researcher explored the dynamics of online communities, examining how group identities and social norms were formed and maintained in digital contexts.
3. **Visual and Textual Analysis:** The observation included the analysis of visual and textual content shared by participants, such as posts, comments, and digital artifacts that played a role in their online identity presentation.

Conducting Participant Observation:

1. **Ethical Considerations:** Ethical protocols were strictly followed, including obtaining consent for observation in private or semi-private digital spaces. Public online interactions, such as those on open social media pages, were observed in compliance with digital ethics guidelines.

2. **Field Notes:** Detailed field notes were maintained, capturing descriptive accounts of observed interactions and reflective insights on emerging themes.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were rigorously addressed throughout the study, with a focus on protecting participants' rights, privacy, and well-being, particularly in the context of their digital behaviors and online interactions. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection. Participants received detailed information sheets explaining the study's purpose, procedures, and potential risks and benefits. They were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any point without penalty. For digital observations, explicit consent was obtained for participation in private or semi-private online spaces. The privacy and confidentiality of participants were strictly maintained. Personal identifying information was anonymized in all transcripts, field notes, and reports. Pseudonyms were used in all publications and presentations to protect participants' identities, and data were securely stored with access restricted to the research team. Digital ethics were carefully considered, especially when observing online spaces.

The researcher adhered to ethical standards for online research, respecting privacy settings of digital platforms and avoiding the collection of data from private communications without explicit consent. Participants were fully informed of how their data would be used, including any potential use of digital artifacts in academic publications. Given the potential sensitivity of discussions around digital technologies, particularly in areas such as online harassment, privacy concerns, or mental health, the study employed a sensitive and respectful approach. Participants were supported throughout the research process, and referrals to appropriate support services were provided when necessary. The methodology employed in this study provided a robust framework for exploring the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures in Australia. By using a qualitative, ethnographic approach and combining in-depth interviews, participant observation, and focus groups, the research captured a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the complex dynamics between Australians and their digital environments. The methodological rigor and ethical considerations ensured the collection of rich, meaningful data that contributes valuable insights into how digital technologies shape human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations in contemporary Australian society.

4. Data Analysis

This section provides a detailed analysis of the data gathered during the research on the Anthropology of Technology and Digital Cultures in Australia. The analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, a qualitative approach designed to identify, analyze, and report patterns within the data. The analysis focused on the impact of digital technologies, social media, and AI on behavior, identity, and social relations among the Australian population. NVivo software was employed to assist with coding and theme development, allowing for a systematic and rigorous examination of the data. This section will outline the analytical approach, the key themes identified, and the nuanced insights gained from the data. The data analysis followed a thematic

analysis approach, a widely used method in qualitative research that emphasizes identifying and interpreting patterns or themes within qualitative data. This approach was chosen for its flexibility and capacity to provide detailed, nuanced insights into complex social phenomena. Thematic analysis is particularly suited to exploratory research, such as this study, where the goal was to uncover underlying themes related to the participants' experiences with digital technologies.

Thematic Analysis Process

The thematic analysis process involved several key stages:

1. **Familiarization with the Data:** The first step involved immersing in the data through repeated reading of interview transcripts, focus group discussions, and field notes from participant observations. This stage allowed the researcher to gain a comprehensive understanding of the content and begin identifying initial patterns and notable points of interest.
2. **Generating Initial Codes:** The next stage involved coding the data systematically using NVivo software. Coding is the process of organizing data into meaningful units by identifying specific segments of text that represent particular ideas, actions, or phenomena. Initial codes were developed inductively, meaning they emerged directly from the data rather than being predefined. Codes were descriptive at this stage, capturing explicit content related to digital behaviors, identity, social interactions, and AI experiences.
3. **Searching for Themes:** After coding the data, the next step was to search for broader themes that encapsulated the main patterns within the codes. Themes were developed by grouping related codes into larger categories that reflected significant aspects of participants' experiences. For instance, codes related to self-expression, online identity curation, and digital personas were grouped under a theme centered on identity and self-presentation in digital spaces.
4. **Reviewing Themes:** The themes were then reviewed to ensure they accurately reflected the data and were distinct from one another. This process involved checking themes against the coded data extracts and the overall dataset to confirm their relevance and coherence. Some themes were refined, combined, or split to better capture the intricacies of the data.
5. **Defining and Naming Themes:** Once the themes were reviewed, they were defined and named to clearly articulate their core meanings. This stage involved refining the themes to capture the essence of the data succinctly and distinctly, ensuring each theme told a coherent story about a specific aspect of the participants' experiences with digital technologies.
6. **Producing the Report:** The final stage involved synthesizing the themes into a detailed narrative that illustrated the study's findings. The themes were contextualized within the broader literature, and representative quotes from participants were used to illustrate key points and provide depth to the analysis.

NVivo software played a critical role in organizing, managing, and visualizing the data, enabling a structured approach to coding and theme development. The software's capabilities allowed for efficient handling of large volumes of qualitative data, enhancing the rigor and transparency of the analysis process.

Key Analytical Themes

The analysis identified three primary themes that encapsulated the impact of digital technologies on behavior, identity, and social relations among Australians: (1) Identity and Self-Presentation in Digital Spaces, (2) Digital Communication Styles and Their Impact on Social Relations, and (3) AI's Influence on Decision-Making, Privacy, and Personal Boundaries. Each theme is discussed in detail below, with an emphasis on the patterns that emerged, the insights gained, and the broader implications of these findings.

Identity and Self-Presentation in Digital Spaces

One of the most prominent themes that emerged from the analysis was the role of digital technologies, particularly social media, in shaping identity and self-presentation. Participants frequently described the ways in which they curated their online personas, navigating the pressures and opportunities that digital platforms provide for self-expression.

Participants commonly highlighted the deliberate nature of their self-presentation on social media. Many described the act of curating their online profiles, choosing specific images, crafting posts, and engaging with content that aligned with the persona they wished to project. For younger participants, social media served as a critical space for exploring and experimenting with identity. Several participants, particularly those in their teens and early twenties, emphasized the performative aspect of their digital lives, noting that social media platforms allowed them to try on different versions of themselves, often reflecting aspirations or idealized self-concepts. One participant, a 21-year-old university student, explained, "On Instagram, I feel like I can be whoever I want to be. It's not about who I am every day, but more about who I wish I was." This comment reflects the fluid nature of identity in digital spaces, where individuals can navigate multiple personas, often shaped by the norms and aesthetics promoted by the platform.

Many participants grappled with the tension between authenticity and impression management. While some strove to present a genuine version of themselves, others felt pressure to conform to the curated standards set by peers or influencers. This tension was particularly pronounced among women and younger users, who often described feeling scrutinized or judged based on their digital presence. A 34-year-old professional reflected, "There's always this pressure to look perfect online—like you have everything together. But that's not real life." This statement underscores a common theme of dissonance between digital representations and lived realities, highlighting how digital spaces can create unrealistic expectations for self-presentation.

Participants frequently discussed the impact of social media on their self-esteem and mental health. For many, the act of comparing themselves to others online—whether peers, celebrities, or influencers—led to feelings of inadequacy or

dissatisfaction. This was particularly evident among younger participants, who described the pervasive influence of likes, comments, and follower counts on their sense of self-worth. An 18-year-old high school student shared, “It’s hard not to compare yourself to others when it’s all you see. You start to measure your value by how many likes you get.” This insight highlights the emotional toll of digital self-presentation, where social validation metrics can profoundly shape individual self-perception.

Digital Communication Styles and Their Impact on Social Relations

The second key theme identified was the influence of digital communication styles on social relations. Participants highlighted how digital platforms have redefined the ways they connect, communicate, and maintain relationships, often blurring the lines between personal and public interactions.

Digital technologies have significantly altered traditional social norms and communication practices. Many participants described a shift toward more frequent but less meaningful interactions, often characterized by quick, superficial exchanges such as likes, emojis, or short comments. This shift was particularly evident among younger participants, who noted that digital communication often felt less personal or authentic compared to face-to-face interactions. A 27-year-old marketing professional noted, “We communicate so much more now, but it’s not deep. It’s like we’re constantly talking, but not really saying anything.” This observation reflects a broader trend where the convenience and immediacy of digital communication can lead to a reduction in the quality and depth of social connections.

Despite the challenges, participants also acknowledged the role of digital platforms in maintaining relationships, especially across geographical distances. For those living away from family or friends, social media and messaging apps provided a critical lifeline, enabling them to stay connected and involved in each other’s lives. Participants described how digital interactions—though often brief—helped sustain a sense of intimacy and belonging, even when physical proximity was not possible. One participant, a 45-year-old immigrant from India, shared, “Being away from home is tough, but WhatsApp keeps me close to my family. It’s not the same as being there, but it helps me feel connected.” This insight highlights the dual nature of digital communication: while it can be superficial, it also provides essential tools for maintaining social ties in a globalized world.

A recurring theme was the concept of context collapse, where multiple social contexts converge on a single digital platform, complicating the management of personal information and self-presentation. Participants described the difficulty of navigating diverse audiences—such as family, friends, colleagues, and acquaintances—within the same digital space, leading to concerns about privacy, appropriateness, and audience segmentation. A 30-year-old teacher explained, “On Facebook, I have everyone—my students, my family, my friends. It’s hard to be myself when you know everyone is watching.” This comment reflects the complexities of audience management in digital spaces, where individuals must constantly negotiate their self-presentation across overlapping social networks.

AI’s Influence on Decision-Making, Privacy, and Personal Boundaries

The third key theme revolved around the impact of AI technologies on decision-making, privacy, and personal boundaries. Participants expressed a range of views on AI, reflecting both fascination and concern, with discussions focusing on how AI influences their daily lives, personal choices, and perceptions of privacy.

Many participants were aware of the ways AI-driven algorithms shape their digital experiences, from content recommendations on social media to personalized advertising. While some appreciated the convenience of algorithmic suggestions, others expressed discomfort with the extent to which AI influenced their choices, often without their explicit awareness or consent. A 25-year-old participant working in tech remarked, “Sometimes it feels like the algorithm knows me better than I know myself. It’s useful, but it’s also creepy.” This insight captures the ambivalence that many participants felt about AI, recognizing its utility while questioning its impact on their autonomy and agency.

Privacy emerged as a significant concern among participants, particularly in relation to AI’s capacity for data collection and surveillance. Many expressed unease about the ways in which their personal information was tracked, stored, and potentially exploited by digital platforms and third-party companies. This concern was especially pronounced among older participants, who often viewed AI as intrusive and invasive. A 52-year-old small business owner voiced, “I don’t like that my data is out there, and I don’t know who’s using it or why. It feels like a loss of control.” This sentiment highlights the broader anxieties surrounding digital privacy and the perceived lack of transparency in how personal data is handled by AI technologies.

Participants also discussed how AI technologies, such as smart devices and virtual assistants, blurred the boundaries between public and private life. For some, the presence of AI in domestic spaces—through devices like smart speakers—raised questions about surveillance, consent, and the erosion of personal boundaries. A 37-year-old mother of two shared, “We have a smart speaker at home, and it’s convenient, but I always wonder if it’s listening when it shouldn’t be. It’s like having a stranger in your house.” This observation underscores the discomfort that some participants felt about AI’s pervasive presence in their private lives, reflecting broader concerns about the trade-offs between convenience and privacy.

5. Outcome

The outcomes of this research provided in-depth insights into how Australians navigate their digital lives, construct identities online, and engage with digital technologies in ways that reshape communication norms and social interactions. This section outlines the key findings from the study, highlighting the societal impacts of AI, including issues of trust, privacy concerns, and the blending of human and machine interactions. The results underscore the complex ways digital technologies influence everyday life, revealing both opportunities and challenges faced by individuals as they integrate these technologies into their personal and social spheres.

Insights into How Australians Navigate Their Digital Lives and Construct Identities Online

The research revealed that Australians actively use digital platforms to construct and manage their identities, often employing social media and other online tools as spaces for self-expression and identity experimentation. The data gathered through in-depth interviews, focus groups, and participant observations highlighted the dynamic and multifaceted nature of online identity formation.

Participants described how they curated their online identities by carefully selecting what to share and how to present themselves on various platforms. Social media, particularly Instagram, Facebook, and TikTok, served as arenas for constructing idealized versions of oneself, where participants could highlight specific aspects of their lives, achievements, or personal aesthetics. For many, this process of curation was a deliberate act of managing public perception and navigating social expectations. For instance, younger participants frequently discussed how they used Instagram to create visually appealing profiles that showcased a carefully edited version of their lives. This often involved strategic decisions about the images they posted, the captions they wrote, and the hashtags they used to reach desired audiences. A 19-year-old participant explained, "I post what I think will get the most likes or what makes me look the best. It's kind of like crafting a brand—you're always thinking about what others will think." This curation process was not limited to younger individuals; middle-aged and older participants also engaged in selective self-presentation, though often with different motivations. Many used Facebook to maintain connections with family and friends, sharing updates that reflected milestones or significant life events. However, these posts were often filtered to avoid controversy or judgment, reflecting an awareness of the diverse audiences that social media platforms bring together.

The tension between authenticity and impression management emerged as a significant outcome of the study. While many participants expressed a desire to be authentic online, they often found themselves performing roles or masking certain aspects of their personalities to fit social media norms or avoid conflict. This was particularly evident in focus groups where participants discussed the pressure to present a "perfect" life, even when reality did not match the curated image. A common theme was the internal conflict between the need for validation through likes and comments and the recognition that these metrics did not reflect true self-worth. For some, this disconnect led to feelings of dissatisfaction or anxiety, highlighting the psychological impact of maintaining an online persona that may differ significantly from offline reality. One 28-year-old participant noted, "It's exhausting sometimes. You want to be yourself, but you also want to fit in, and those two things don't always align."

Digital platforms also served as important spaces for exploring and expressing identities that might be constrained in offline contexts. This was particularly evident among participants from marginalized groups, such as LGBTQ+ individuals, who found that online communities provided a sense of belonging and validation that was often lacking in their immediate social environments. Social media allowed these participants to connect with others who shared similar experiences, fostering a sense of community and support. A 22-year-old non-binary participant shared, "Online is where I can be myself without fear. I've met

so many people who get me, and that's something I never had in real life." This outcome highlights the empowering potential of digital spaces for those seeking to explore and express identities that may be stigmatized or misunderstood in traditional social settings.

Understanding of How Digital Technologies Reshape Communication Norms and Social Interactions

The study provided significant insights into how digital technologies are reshaping communication norms and altering the fabric of social interactions. Participants described a shift in how they connect with others, with digital platforms facilitating new forms of engagement while also introducing complexities that challenge traditional communication practices.

Participants highlighted the emergence of digital communication styles characterized by brevity, informality, and visual-centric interactions. Emojis, GIFs, and memes were frequently used to convey emotions or reactions, often replacing traditional verbal expressions. This shift was particularly pronounced among younger participants, who saw these forms of communication as more immediate and expressive than conventional text. However, the use of such digital shorthand was not without its drawbacks. Some participants noted that while these forms of communication allowed for rapid exchanges, they often lacked the nuance and depth of face-to-face conversations. This sometimes led to misunderstandings or a sense that digital interactions were more superficial. A 33-year-old participant explained, "It's easy to misinterpret a message when all you have is a thumbs-up emoji. It's not the same as seeing someone's face or hearing their voice."

Digital platforms have also transformed social norms around communication, particularly in terms of accessibility and availability. Participants reported feeling a constant sense of connectivity, where being reachable at all times was both expected and normalized. This pervasive connectivity often blurred the lines between personal and professional boundaries, with work-related messages creeping into personal time and vice versa. A common outcome was the feeling of being "always on," which several participants described as a source of stress or burnout. The expectation to respond promptly to messages, even outside of traditional working hours, created a sense of urgency that was difficult to escape. As one participant put it, "It's like you're never off-duty. You're expected to be available 24/7, and that can be overwhelming."

Despite the challenges, digital technologies also played a crucial role in maintaining social connections, particularly for those separated by distance. Participants frequently mentioned using messaging apps, social media, and video calls to keep in touch with friends and family, emphasizing the convenience and immediacy these tools offered. For many, digital platforms were indispensable for sustaining long-distance relationships, whether with loved ones abroad or family members in different parts of Australia. A 40-year-old participant who recently migrated to Australia highlighted this point, stating, "Without WhatsApp, I don't know how I would keep in touch with my parents back home. It's been a lifesaver." This outcome underscores the positive impact of digital technologies on social connectedness, particularly in a globalized world where physical distance is often a barrier to maintaining relationships.

The study also revealed how digital technologies are reshaping concepts of intimacy and privacy in social interactions. Participants described a heightened sense of surveillance, where personal moments were frequently shared online, either voluntarily or through implicit social pressures. This sharing culture often led to the erosion of traditional boundaries between public and private life, with participants grappling with how much to reveal about themselves online. A 29-year-old participant shared, “I feel like we’re expected to share everything these days—what we’re eating, where we’re going, who we’re with. But sometimes, I just want to keep things private.” This comment reflects the broader cultural shift towards oversharing, where the boundaries of privacy are continually negotiated in the context of digital visibility.

Identification of Societal Impacts of AI, Including Trust, Privacy Concerns, and the Blending of Human and Machine Interactions

The research identified several societal impacts of AI, highlighting how these technologies are increasingly integrated into everyday life and how they influence perceptions of trust, privacy, and human-machine interactions. Participants expressed a complex range of emotions toward AI, reflecting both appreciation for its capabilities and concern about its implications.

Trust in AI emerged as a central theme, with participants expressing mixed feelings about the reliability and transparency of AI-driven systems. While some appreciated the convenience and efficiency offered by AI—such as personalized recommendations, voice assistants, and automated customer service—others were skeptical about the accuracy and fairness of these technologies. A 37-year-old participant working in finance commented, “I use AI for work, and it’s great when it works, but I always double-check because I don’t fully trust it to get things right.” This outcome reflects a broader sentiment of cautious engagement with AI, where users recognize the benefits but remain wary of potential errors, biases, and the lack of human oversight.

Privacy concerns were a significant outcome, with participants expressing unease about how AI technologies collect, analyze, and use personal data. Many were aware that their online activities were tracked by algorithms designed to target advertisements, personalize content, or influence purchasing decisions. This awareness often led to discomfort, with participants questioning the ethical implications of data surveillance and the extent to which their privacy was compromised. A 45-year-old participant noted, “Every time I see an ad that’s too spot-on, I feel like my privacy has been invaded. It’s like they know too much about me, and that’s unsettling.” This comment highlights the intrusive nature of AI-driven personalization, where the boundaries between beneficial customization and invasive surveillance are often blurred.

The blending of human and machine interactions was another significant societal impact identified in the study. Participants described how AI technologies, such as chatbots, virtual assistants, and automated systems, were becoming increasingly common in their daily routines. While these technologies offered convenience, they also raised questions about the quality of interactions and the erosion of human touch in communication. For some participants, the use of AI in customer service was a double-edged sword. While they appreciated the speed and

availability of AI-driven support, they often found the interactions to be impersonal and frustrating when problems required nuanced understanding. A 50-year-old participant recounted, “I was trying to resolve an issue with my bank, but all I got was a chatbot that couldn’t understand my problem. I just wanted to talk to a real person.” This outcome underscores the limitations of AI in replicating the empathy, understanding, and adaptability that characterize human interactions. The increasing prevalence of AI in service roles also raised concerns about job displacement and the broader societal implications of automation, particularly in sectors reliant on interpersonal communication.

Participants also discussed how AI technologies, particularly smart home devices, were encroaching on personal spaces in ways that felt intrusive. While these technologies were often seen as helpful—automating household tasks or providing entertainment—there was a pervasive sense of unease about their presence in intimate settings. This discomfort was linked to concerns about continuous data collection, listening capabilities, and the potential misuse of information. A 42-year-old participant expressed, “I have a smart speaker, and it’s useful, but there’s always that worry in the back of my mind—what if it’s listening when it shouldn’t be?” This comment reflects a broader anxiety about the invisible boundaries crossed by AI, where the convenience of smart technologies often comes at the cost of personal privacy and control. The outcomes of this research provided a comprehensive understanding of how Australians navigate their digital lives, reshape communication norms, and engage with AI technologies.

The findings highlighted the intricate ways in which digital platforms influence identity formation, social interactions, and perceptions of privacy and trust. While digital technologies offer significant benefits, including enhanced connectivity and new avenues for self-expression, they also present challenges related to authenticity, the erosion of privacy, and the blending of human and machine interactions. These outcomes underscore the need for continued critical engagement with digital and AI technologies, emphasizing the importance of balancing convenience with ethical considerations to safeguard individual autonomy and social well-being in an increasingly digital world.

6. Discussion and Implications

The findings of this research provide valuable insights into the evolving nature of human behavior in digital contexts and contribute significantly to anthropological theories of technology and digital cultures. This section discusses the theoretical implications of the study, particularly how it advances our understanding of digital anthropology and the role of technology in shaping contemporary social life. Additionally, it addresses the practical implications, offering recommendations for policymakers on managing the social impacts of digital technologies and providing insights for digital platforms on user behavior and ethical considerations.

Theoretical Implications

This research makes several key contributions to anthropological theories of technology and digital cultures, particularly by elucidating how digital platforms influence identity formation, social relations, and the blending of human and AI interactions.

The study's ethnographic approach allowed for a nuanced exploration of the lived experiences of Australians navigating their digital lives, providing empirical evidence that advances theoretical understandings in this field.

The study's detailed examination of how Australians curate their identities online contributes to the broader field of digital anthropology by highlighting the fluidity and performativity inherent in digital self-presentation. The findings align with and expand upon existing theories, such as Goffman's "presentation of self" and Turkle's concept of "identity play" in digital spaces, by demonstrating that identity formation on social media is not merely a performative act but a dynamic process shaped by ongoing negotiation between authenticity and social expectations. The research further reveals that digital platforms serve as both liberating and constraining spaces for identity exploration. For marginalized groups, such as LGBTQ+ individuals, the digital realm provides a critical outlet for self-expression and community-building. However, the pressures of impression management and the psychological impacts of online validation highlight the paradoxical nature of these spaces, where the quest for authenticity is often tempered by the need for social acceptance. This duality enriches theoretical discussions on the emancipatory potential of digital technologies while acknowledging their limitations.

The study also advances theoretical understandings of how digital technologies reshape human behavior, particularly in terms of communication norms and social interactions. The shift towards digital communication styles—characterized by brevity, informality, and visual-centric exchanges—reflects broader cultural transformations where the speed and convenience of digital platforms often come at the expense of depth and nuance in social interactions. The concept of context collapse, identified in the data, further illustrates how digital platforms disrupt traditional social boundaries, compelling individuals to navigate overlapping audiences and manage multifaceted identities within a single space. This finding supports existing theoretical frameworks that emphasize the complexities of digital self-presentation and audience management, while also suggesting new avenues for exploring the psychological impacts of perpetual social visibility. Moreover, the study's exploration of AI's role in everyday life contributes to anthropological debates on the blending of human and machine interactions. The data revealed a complex relationship between users and AI technologies, where the perceived utility of AI is often overshadowed by concerns about privacy, autonomy, and the erosion of human agency. These insights align with critical theories on the sociocultural implications of AI, highlighting the need to further interrogate how algorithmic decision-making influences human behavior and societal norms.

One of the significant theoretical implications of this study is its challenge to the dichotomy between online and offline worlds. The data demonstrated that digital experiences are not separate from, but deeply intertwined with, offline realities. Participants frequently described how their online interactions influenced their self-perception, social connections, and decision-making processes in their everyday lives. This interconnectedness supports the view that digital and physical experiences are part of a continuous and fluid spectrum of human activity. This finding has important implications for anthropological theories that traditionally treat digital and offline spaces as distinct. By highlighting the seamless integration of digital technologies into

everyday life, the research underscores the need for theoretical frameworks that account for the hybrid nature of contemporary human behavior, where digital and physical contexts are inextricably linked.

Practical Implications

The outcomes of this research have significant practical implications for policymakers seeking to manage the social impacts of digital technologies. The findings underscore the urgent need for policies that address the psychological, social, and ethical challenges posed by digital platforms and AI, with a focus on protecting individual autonomy, privacy, and well-being.

One of the key recommendations emerging from the study is the need for enhanced digital literacy programs that equip individuals with the skills and knowledge to navigate digital environments effectively. Participants frequently expressed a lack of understanding of how algorithms influence their online experiences, highlighting a gap in public awareness about the workings of AI and data surveillance. Policymakers should prioritize educational initiatives that promote critical engagement with digital technologies, focusing on topics such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the psychological impacts of social media use. Digital literacy programs should be tailored to diverse demographic groups, including older adults, rural populations, and culturally diverse communities, ensuring that all Australians have the tools to make informed decisions about their digital lives.

The study's findings on the impact of social media on self-esteem and mental health suggest a pressing need for policies that support digital well-being. Policymakers should consider regulations that promote responsible design practices among digital platforms, encouraging the development of features that mitigate the negative psychological effects of social media, such as screen time management tools, content moderation guidelines, and mental health support resources. Furthermore, there is a need for greater transparency from digital platforms about the potential harms associated with excessive social media use, particularly for younger users. Public awareness campaigns that educate individuals about the risks of online comparison, validation-seeking, and digital addiction can empower users to adopt healthier digital habits and reduce the adverse effects on mental health.

Privacy concerns were a prominent theme in the research, with participants expressing unease about the extent of data collection and surveillance by AI-driven systems. To address these concerns, policymakers must strengthen privacy protections and establish clear guidelines on data governance that prioritize user consent, transparency, and accountability. Recommendations include the implementation of robust data protection laws that limit the collection and use of personal information, as well as the development of standards for algorithmic transparency that allow users to understand how their data is being utilized. Policymakers should also advocate for the ethical use of AI, promoting practices that prioritize user autonomy and minimize the risks of data exploitation.

The findings also highlight the need for regulatory frameworks that address the societal impacts of AI, particularly in areas where automated decision-making affects individuals' rights and opportunities. Participants' concerns about the accuracy,

fairness, and accountability of AI technologies underscore the importance of establishing ethical guidelines that govern the deployment of AI in critical sectors, such as healthcare, finance, and employment. Regulations should include provisions for human oversight in AI-driven processes, ensuring that automated systems are subject to continuous evaluation and correction. Additionally, policymakers should promote the development of AI that aligns with ethical standards, emphasizing the need for fairness, transparency, and inclusivity in algorithmic design.

Insights for Digital Platforms on User Behavior and Ethical Considerations

The research also provides valuable insights for digital platforms seeking to understand user behavior and address ethical considerations in their design and operation. The findings highlight the complexities of user engagement, revealing both the opportunities and responsibilities that digital platforms face in shaping online experiences.

Digital platforms must prioritize user-centric design principles that place individuals' needs, well-being, and autonomy at the forefront of technology development. The study's findings on the psychological impacts of digital self-presentation and social media validation suggest that platforms have a responsibility to design features that foster positive interactions and mitigate harm. Recommendations include the implementation of algorithms that promote diverse and healthy content, rather than prioritizing engagement at the cost of well-being. Platforms should also consider integrating features that empower users to control their online environments, such as customizable content filters, privacy settings, and options to disable engagement metrics like likes or comments.

Transparency emerged as a critical issue in the study, with participants expressing a desire for greater clarity about how digital platforms operate, particularly in terms of data use and algorithmic decision-making. Platforms should adopt transparent practices that clearly communicate their data policies, AI functionalities, and the potential implications of personalized recommendations. Accountability mechanisms, such as user feedback loops and third-party audits, can also help build trust and ensure that platforms are held responsible for the impacts of their technologies. By embracing transparency and accountability, digital platforms can address user concerns and foster a more ethical and user-friendly digital landscape.

The research underscored the importance of content moderation in maintaining safe and respectful online environments. Participants often expressed frustration with the prevalence of misinformation, online harassment, and harmful content, highlighting the need for effective community guidelines that protect users from negative experiences. Digital platforms should invest in robust content moderation strategies that balance the need for free expression with the responsibility to prevent harm. This includes employing human moderators alongside AI tools, providing clear reporting mechanisms, and fostering a culture of respect and inclusivity within digital communities.

Finally, the study's findings on the digital divide and the varied experiences of marginalized groups emphasize the need for digital platforms to address issues of accessibility and inclusion. Platforms should work to reduce barriers to digital engagement,

particularly for individuals with limited digital literacy, disabilities, or socio-economic constraints. This can be achieved through the development of accessible design features, multilingual support, and targeted outreach initiatives that ensure all users have the opportunity to participate fully in digital cultures. By prioritizing accessibility and inclusion, digital platforms can help bridge digital inequities and create a more equitable online environment. The discussion of the research outcomes highlights the complex interplay between digital technologies and human behavior, emphasizing both the theoretical and practical implications of these findings.

The study contributes to anthropological theories by deepening our understanding of digital self-presentation, communication norms, and the integration of AI into everyday life. Practically, the research offers actionable recommendations for policymakers and digital platforms, focusing on enhancing digital literacy, protecting privacy, and promoting ethical technology use. The insights gained from this research underscore the need for continued critical engagement with digital technologies, recognizing both their transformative potential and the ethical challenges they pose. As digital platforms and AI systems become increasingly embedded in social life, it is essential to develop policies and practices that prioritize human well-being, foster inclusive digital cultures, and safeguard the rights and autonomy of individuals in the digital age.

7. Conclusion

This research provided a comprehensive exploration of the impact of digital technologies, social media, and AI on human behavior, identity, communication, and social relations among Australians. Using a qualitative, ethnographic approach, the study captured the lived experiences of a diverse range of participants, highlighting the complex ways in which digital cultures shape and are shaped by contemporary society. This conclusion synthesizes the key findings, discusses their relevance to the study of digital cultures in Australia, and reflects on the broader anthropological importance of examining technology's impact on society.

The study identified several critical insights into how Australians navigate their digital lives, construct identities, and interact with digital technologies. The research highlighted three primary themes: (1) Identity and self-presentation in digital spaces, (2) Digital communication styles and their impact on social relations, and (3) AI's influence on decision-making, privacy, and personal boundaries. These findings reveal the profound impact of digital technologies on individual behavior and societal norms, offering a nuanced understanding of the evolving nature of human interaction in digital contexts.

The research found that Australians actively curate their identities on digital platforms, using social media as a critical tool for self-expression and impression management. Participants engaged in selective self-presentation, carefully choosing what to share and how to portray themselves online. This process was influenced by the desire for social validation, the pressure to conform to perceived norms, and the opportunities for identity experimentation offered by digital spaces. The study's insights into digital self-presentation are particularly relevant in the Australian context, where diverse cultural and social backgrounds intersect with global digital trends. For marginalized groups,

such as LGBTQ+ individuals, digital platforms provided spaces for exploring and affirming their identities in ways that were often restricted in offline environments. This finding underscores the role of digital cultures in facilitating alternative forms of identity expression and community-building, particularly for those seeking safe spaces for self-discovery. However, the research also highlighted the psychological impacts of maintaining an online persona, including the tension between authenticity and social performance. Many participants reported feelings of anxiety and self-doubt linked to the pressures of digital self-presentation, reflecting broader societal concerns about the impact of social media on mental health. These findings contribute to ongoing discussions about the role of digital platforms in shaping self-perception and social norms, emphasizing the need for critical engagement with the ways digital cultures influence individual and collective identities.

The study revealed that digital technologies have significantly reshaped communication practices and social interactions among Australians. Participants described a shift toward more frequent but often superficial exchanges, characterized by emojis, memes, and other forms of digital shorthand. While these communication styles facilitated quick and efficient interactions, they also introduced challenges related to the depth and quality of social connections. The findings highlighted how digital communication norms have transformed social expectations, particularly regarding availability and accessibility. The pervasive nature of digital connectivity blurred the boundaries between personal and professional life, creating a sense of being “always on” that many participants found overwhelming. This outcome is particularly relevant in the Australian context, where the integration of digital technologies into everyday life continues to redefine work-life balance, social norms, and personal boundaries. Despite these challenges, the research also emphasized the

positive role of digital platforms in maintaining social ties, particularly for those separated by distance. For many participants, digital communication provided a crucial means of staying connected with family and friends, fostering a sense of belonging even in the absence of physical proximity. These findings illustrate the dual nature of digital interactions, where the convenience of technology must be balanced against the potential for diminished relational quality.

The study identified AI as a significant force shaping participants’ daily lives, with mixed implications for decision-making, privacy, and personal autonomy. Participants expressed both appreciation for the convenience of AI-driven technologies and concern about the extent of data collection and surveillance. The findings highlighted a pervasive sense of unease about the blending of human and machine interactions, where algorithmic processes increasingly influence personal choices and social experiences. Privacy emerged as a central concern, with participants voicing distrust about how their data was collected, analyzed, and used by digital platforms. This finding is particularly relevant in the Australian context, where public awareness of data privacy issues has grown alongside debates about the ethical use of AI. The study’s insights underscore the need for greater transparency, user control, and ethical oversight in the deployment of AI technologies, emphasizing the importance of protecting individual rights in an increasingly digitized world. The research also illuminated the societal impacts of AI, including its role in eroding traditional personal boundaries. Smart devices, such as voice assistants and smart home technologies, were seen as both helpful and intrusive, raising questions about the trade-offs between convenience and privacy. These findings contribute to broader discussions about the ethical implications of AI and the need for regulatory frameworks that safeguard user autonomy while embracing technological innovation.

Funding Information:

This research did not receive any specific funding from any public, commercial, or non-profit agency.

Disclosure Statement:

No material or relevant stake relating to this research was disclosed by the author(s).

Competing Interest:

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Data Availability Statement:

Data sharing is not applicable to this research article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

References

- Ågerfalk, P. J. (2020). Artificial intelligence as digital agency. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(1), 1-8.
- Airoidi, M. (2018). Ethnography and the digital fields of social media. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 21(6), 661-673.
- Airoidi, M., & Rokka, J. (2022). Algorithmic consumer culture. *Consumption Markets & Culture*, 25(5), 411-428.
- Allal-Chérif, O., Aránega, A. Y., & Sánchez, R. C. (2021). Intelligent recruitment: How to identify, select, and retain talents from around the world using artificial intelligence. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 169, 120822.
- Al-Samarraie, H., Bello, K. A., Alzahrani, A. I., Smith, A. P., & Emele, C. (2022). Young users' social media addiction: causes, consequences and preventions. *Information Technology & People*, 35(7), 2314-2343.

- Androutsopoulou, A., Karacapilidis, N., Loukis, E., & Charalabidis, Y. (2019). Transforming the communication between citizens and government through AI-guided chatbots. *Government information quarterly*, 36(2), 358-367.
- Appel, G., Grewal, L., Hadi, R., & Stephen, A. T. (2020). The future of social media in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing science*, 48(1), 79-95.
- Armutcu, B., Tan, A., Amponsah, M., Parida, S., & Ramkissoon, H. (2023). Tourist behaviour: The role of digital marketing and social media. *Acta psychologica*, 240, 104025.
- Assenmacher, D., Clever, L., Frischlich, L., Quandt, T., Trautmann, H., & Grimme, C. (2020). Demystifying social bots: On the intelligence of automated social media actors. *Social Media+ Society*, 6(3), 2056305120939264.
- Aw, E. C. X., Tan, G. W. H., Cham, T. H., Raman, R., & Ooi, K. B. (2022). Alexa, what's on my shopping list? Transforming customer experience with digital voice assistants. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 180, 121711.
- Awad, S. W. M., & Feinstein, K. A. (2020). Hybrid identity: A study of the development of self-identity with digital media and artificial intelligence. *PÓS: Revista do Programa de Pós-graduação em Artes da EBA/UFMG*, 10(19), 59-68.
- Bag, S., Srivastava, G., Bashir, M. M. A., Kumari, S., Giannakis, M., & Chowdhury, A. H. (2022). Journey of customers in this digital era: Understanding the role of artificial intelligence technologies in user engagement and conversion. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 29(7), 2074-2098.
- Baptista, J., Stein, M. K., Klein, S., Watson-Manheim, M. B., & Lee, J. (2020). Digital work and organisational transformation: Emergent Digital/Human work configurations in modern organisations. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 29(2), 101618.
- Brandtzaeg, P. B., Skjuve, M., & Følstad, A. (2022). My AI friend: How users of a social chatbot understand their human–AI friendship. *Human Communication Research*, 48(3), 404-429.
- Burr, C., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020). The ethics of digital well-being: A thematic review. *Science and engineering ethics*, 26(4), 2313-2343.
- Calcagni, F., Amorim Maia, A. T., Connolly, J. J. T., & Langemeyer, J. (2019). Digital co-construction of relational values: Understanding the role of social media for sustainability. *Sustainability Science*, 14(5), 1309-1321.
- Campbell, H. A., & Evolvi, G. (2020). Contextualizing current digital religion research on emerging technologies. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2(1), 5-17.
- Cao, L. (2022). Decentralized ai: Edge intelligence and smart blockchain, metaverse, web3, and desc. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 37(3), 6-19.
- Chassiakos, Y. L. R., & Stager, M. (2020). Current trends in digital media: How and why teens use technology. In *Technology and adolescent health* (pp. 25-56). Academic Press.
- Cheng, Y., & Jiang, H. (2020). How do AI-driven chatbots impact user experience? Examining gratifications, perceived privacy risk, satisfaction, loyalty, and continued use. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 64(4), 592-614.
- Choi, T. R., & Drumwright, M. E. (2021). “OK, Google, why do I use you?” Motivations, post-consumption evaluations, and perceptions of voice AI assistants. *Telematics and Informatics*, 62, 101628.
- Chung, K. C., Chen, C. H., Tsai, H. H., & Chuang, Y. H. (2021). Social media privacy management strategies: A SEM analysis of user privacy behaviors. *Computer communications*, 174, 122-130.
- Chung, W., & Zeng, D. (2020). Dissecting emotion and user influence in social media communities: An interaction modeling approach. *Information & Management*, 57(1), 103108.
- Conti, M., Gathani, J., & Tricomi, P. P. (2022). Virtual influencers in online social media. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 60(8), 86-91.
- Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). Data colonialism: Rethinking big data's relation to the contemporary subject. *Television & New Media*, 20(4), 336-349.
- De Cicco, R., Silva, S. C., & Alparone, F. R. (2020). Millennials' attitude toward chatbots: an experimental study in a social relationship perspective. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 48(11), 1213-1233.
- Djafarova, E., & Trofimenko, O. (2019). 'Instafamous'—credibility and self-presentation of micro-celebrities on social media. *Information, communication & society*, 22(10), 1432-1446.
- Dwi Santy, R., I Habibillah, M., R Dimiyati, Y., SS Nofia, V., Luckyardi, S., VL Gaol, T., & Oktafiani, D. (2021). Artificial intelligence as human behavior detection for auto personalization function in social media marketing. *International Journal of Research and Applied Technology (INJURATECH)*, 1(1).
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., ... & Wamba, S. F. (2022). Metaverse beyond the hype: Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International journal of information management*, 66, 102542.
- Ebrahim, R. S. (2020). The role of trust in understanding the impact of social media marketing on brand equity and brand loyalty. *Journal of relationship marketing*, 19(4), 287-308.
- Edwards, C., Edwards, A., Stoll, B., Lin, X., & Massey, N. (2019). Evaluations of an artificial intelligence instructor's voice: Social Identity Theory in human-robot interactions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 90, 357-362.
- Elliott, A. (2019). *The culture of AI: Everyday life and the digital revolution*. Routledge.
- Ellis, D., & Tucker, I. (2020). *Emotion in the digital age: Technologies, data and psychosocial life*. Routledge.
- Esposito, E. (2022). *Artificial communication: How algorithms produce social intelligence*. MIT Press.
- Fatma, M., Ruiz, A. P., Khan, I., & Rahman, Z. (2020). The effect of CSR engagement on eWOM on social media. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 28(4), 941-956.
- Fox, J., & Gambino, A. (2021). Relationship development with humanoid social robots: Applying interpersonal theories to human–robot interaction. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 24(5), 294-299.
- Gerlich, M. (2023). The power of virtual influencers: Impact on consumer behaviour and attitudes in the age of AI. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(8), 178.
- Giannini, T., & Bowen, J. P. (2022). Museums and Digital Culture: From reality to digitality in the age of COVID-19. *Heritage*, 5(1), 192-214.

- Grover, P., Kar, A. K., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2022). Understanding artificial intelligence adoption in operations management: insights from the review of academic literature and social media discussions. *Annals of Operations Research*, 308(1), 177-213.
- Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and communication: A human-machine communication research agenda. *New media & society*, 22(1), 70-86.
- Hajli, N., Saeed, U., Tajvidi, M., & Shirazi, F. (2022). Social bots and the spread of disinformation in social media: the challenges of artificial intelligence. *British Journal of Management*, 33(3), 1238-1253.
- Hancock, J. T., Naaman, M., & Levy, K. (2020). AI-mediated communication: Definition, research agenda, and ethical considerations. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 25(1), 89-100.
- Heidari, E., Salimi, G., & Mehrvarz, M. (2023). The influence of online social networks and online social capital on constructing a new graduate students' professional identity. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 214-231.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Aliman, D. N., Herting, A. M., Cziehso, G. P., Linder, M., & Kübler, R. V. (2023). Social interactions in the metaverse: Framework, initial evidence, and research roadmap. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 51(4), 889-913.
- Jhawar, A., Kumar, P., & Varshney, S. (2023). The emergence of virtual influencers: a shift in the influencer marketing paradigm. *Young Consumers*, 24(4), 468-484.
- Jiang, H., Cheng, Y., Yang, J., & Gao, S. (2022). AI-powered chatbot communication with customers: Dialogic interactions, satisfaction, engagement, and customer behavior. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 134, 107329.
- Jones, R. H., & Hafner, C. A. (2021). *Understanding digital literacies: A practical introduction*. Routledge.
- Kang, X., Chen, W., & Kang, J. (2019, December). Art in the age of social media: Interaction behavior analysis of Instagram art accounts. In *Informatics* (Vol. 6, No. 4, p. 52). MDPI.
- Karnouskos, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence in digital media: The era of deepfakes. *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society*, 1(3), 138-147.
- Knox, J., Williamson, B., & Bayne, S. (2020). Machine behaviourism: Future visions of 'learnification' and 'datafication' across humans and digital technologies. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(1), 31-45.
- Krishen, A. S., Dwivedi, Y. K., Bindu, N., & Kumar, K. S. (2021). A broad overview of interactive digital marketing: A bibliometric network analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 183-195.
- Leander, K. M., & Burris, S. K. (2020). Critical literacy for a posthuman world: When people read, and become, with machines. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(4), 1262-1276.
- Levin, I., & Mamlok, D. (2021). Culture and society in the digital age. *Information*, 12(2), 68.
- Li, F., Larimo, J., & Leonidou, L. C. (2023). Social media in marketing research: Theoretical bases, methodological aspects, and thematic focus. *Psychology & Marketing*, 40(1), 124-145.
- Lievrouw, L. A. (2023). *Alternative and activist new media*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Lipschultz, J. H. (2023). *Social media communication: Concepts, practices, data, law and ethics*. Routledge.
- Litchfield, C., Kavanagh, E., Osborne, J., & Jones, I. (2018). Social media and the politics of gender, race and identity: The case of Serena Williams. *European Journal for Sport and Society*, 15(2), 154-170.
- Liu-Thompkins, Y., Okazaki, S., & Li, H. (2022). Artificial empathy in marketing interactions: Bridging the human-AI gap in affective and social customer experience. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 50(6), 1198-1218.
- Locsin, R. C., Soriano, G. P., Juntasopeepun, P., Kunaviktikul, W., & Evangelista, L. S. (2021). Social transformation and social isolation of older adults: Digital technologies, nursing, healthcare. *Collegian*, 28(5), 551-558.
- Lou, C. (2022). Social media influencers and followers: Theorization of a trans-parasocial relation and explication of its implications for influencer advertising. *Journal of advertising*, 51(1), 4-21.
- Lou, C., Kiew, S. T. J., Chen, T., Lee, T. Y. M., Ong, J. E. C., & Phua, Z. (2023). Authentically fake? How consumers respond to the influence of virtual influencers. *Journal of Advertising*, 52(4), 540-557.
- Lüders, A., Dinkelberg, A., & Quayle, M. (2022). Becoming "us" in digital spaces: How online users creatively and strategically exploit social media affordances to build up social identity. *Acta Psychologica*, 228, 103643.
- Mariani, M. M., Perez-Vega, R., & Wirtz, J. (2022). AI in marketing, consumer research and psychology: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(4), 755-776.
- Markauskaite, L., Marrone, R., Poquet, O., Knight, S., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Howard, S., ... & Siemens, G. (2022). Rethinking the entwinement between artificial intelligence and human learning: What capabilities do learners need for a world with AI?. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100056.
- Mendhurwar, S., & Mishra, R. (2021). Integration of social and IoT technologies: architectural framework for digital transformation and cyber security challenges. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 15(4), 565-584.
- Moore, S., Bulmer, S., & Elms, J. (2022). The social significance of AI in retail on customer experience and shopping practices. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102755.
- Mrad, M., Ramadan, Z., & Nasr, L. I. (2022). Computer-generated influencers: the rise of digital personalities. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 40(5), 589-603.
- Nalbant, K. G., & Aydın, S. (2023). Development and transformation in digital marketing and branding with artificial intelligence and digital technologies dynamics in the Metaverse universe. *Journal of Metaverse*, 3(1), 9-18.
- Natale, S. (2021). *Deceitful media: Artificial intelligence and social life after the Turing test*. Oxford University Press.
- Ng, D. T. K. (2022). What is the metaverse? Definitions, technologies and the community of inquiry. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(4), 190-205.
- Ozansoy Çadırcı, T., & Sağkaya Güngör, A. (2019). Love my selfie: selfies in managing impressions on social networks. *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 25(3), 268-287.
- Panda, G., Upadhyay, A. K., & Khandelwal, K. (2019). Artificial intelligence: A strategic disruption in public relations. *Journal of Creative Communications*, 14(3), 196-213.
- Park, S., & Humphry, J. (2019). Exclusion by design: intersections of social, digital and data exclusion. *Information, Communication & Society*, 22(7), 934-953.

- Paul, J., Ueno, A., & Dennis, C. (2023). ChatGPT and consumers: Benefits, pitfalls and future research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 47(4), 1213-1225.
- Poulsen, S. V., Kvåle, G., & Van Leeuwen, T. (2018). Social media as semiotic technology. *Social Semiotics*, 28(5), 593-600.
- Quach, S., Thaichon, P., Martin, K. D., Weaven, S., & Palmatier, R. W. (2022). Digital technologies: tensions in privacy and data. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 50(6), 1299-1323.
- Quesenberry, K. A. (2020). *Social media strategy: Marketing, advertising, and public relations in the consumer revolution*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Rachmad, Y. E. (2023). The Social Ethics of Fatherhood and Sexual Orientation. *The United Nations and The Education Training Centre*.
- Reviglio, U., & Agosti, C. (2020). Thinking outside the black-box: The case for “algorithmic sovereignty” in social media. *Social media+ society*, 6(2), 2056305120915613.
- Reyes, W. D. R. (2023). Communication and the construction of social identity: an analysis of the relationship between communication and social identity formation. *Revista Científica Interdisciplinaria Investigación y Saberes*, 13(2), 25-37.
- Schmitt, B. (2019). From atoms to bits and back: A research curation on digital technology and agenda for future research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 46(4), 825-832.
- Seeber, I., Waizenegger, L., Seidel, S., Morana, S., Benbasat, I., & Lowry, P. B. (2020). Collaborating with technology-based autonomous agents: Issues and research opportunities. *Internet Research*, 30(1), 1-18.
- Shanmugasundaram, M., & Tamilarasu, A. (2023). The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: a review. *Frontiers in Cognition*, 2, 1203077.
- Shibuya, K. (2020). *Digital transformation of identity in the age of artificial intelligence* (No. 172558). Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Si, S., Hall, J., Suddaby, R., Ahlstrom, D., & Wei, J. (2023). Technology, entrepreneurship, innovation and social change in digital economics. *Technovation*, 119, 102484.
- Smith, D., Leonis, T., & Anandavalli, S. (2021). Belonging and loneliness in cyberspace: Impacts of social media on adolescents’ well-being. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 73(1), 12-23.
- Sokhulu, L. H. (2021). Students’ experiences of using digital technologies to address their personal research needs during the COVID-19 lockdown. *African Identities*, 19(4), 436-452.
- Stahl, B. C. (2021). *Artificial intelligence for a better future: an ecosystem perspective on the ethics of AI and emerging digital technologies* (p. 124). Springer Nature.
- Sundar, S. S. (2020). Rise of machine agency: A framework for studying the psychology of human–AI interaction (HAI). *Journal of computer-mediated communication*, 25(1), 74-88.
- Taecharungroj, V. (2019). User-generated place brand identity: Harnessing the power of content on social media platforms. *Journal of Place Management and development*, 12(1), 39-70.
- Tsay-Vogel, M., Shanahan, J., & Signorielli, N. (2018). Social media cultivating perceptions of privacy: A 5-year analysis of privacy attitudes and self-disclosure behaviors among Facebook users. *New media & society*, 20(1), 141-161.
- Vesnic-Alujevic, L., Nascimento, S., & Polvora, A. (2020). Societal and ethical impacts of artificial intelligence: Critical notes on European policy frameworks. *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(6), 101961.
- Vrontis, D., Chaudhuri, R., & Chatterjee, S. (2022). Adoption of digital technologies by SMEs for sustainability and value creation: Moderating role of entrepreneurial orientation. *Sustainability*, 14(13), 7949.
- Westerlund, M. (2019). The emergence of deepfake technology: A review. *Technology innovation management review*, 9(11).
- Westerman, D., Edwards, A. P., Edwards, C., Luo, Z., & Spence, P. R. (2020). I-It, I-Thou, I-Robot: The perceived humanness of AI in human-machine communication. *Communication Studies*, 71(3), 393-408.
- Zhang, J., Oh, Y. J., Lange, P., Yu, Z., & Fukuoka, Y. (2020). Artificial intelligence chatbot behavior change model for designing artificial intelligence chatbots to promote physical activity and a healthy diet. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 22(9), e22845.
- Zollo, L., Rialti, R., Marrucci, A., & Ciappei, C. (2022). How do museums foster loyalty in tech-savvy visitors? The role of social media and digital experience. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 25(18), 2991-3008.

© 2024, Author(s).

This open access publication is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format.

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material.

However,

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

Non-Commercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes.

Share Alike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license.

You shall not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.
There are no additional restrictions.

