Exploring Sharia Law in Islamic Jurisprudence

Khaled Al-Farsi[1*]

[1] Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

* Corresponding Author

Abstract

This research paper dives into the multifaceted landscape of Sharia law within the Islamic tradition, offering a comprehensive exploration that spans historical foundations, theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, and contemporary challenges. The term "Sharia," derived from Arabic, signifies a comprehensive system governing religious, legal, and ethical aspects of life, serving as a moral compass for millions across the Muslim-majority world. The study begins by examining the primary sources of Sharia—the Quran and the Hadith—unveiling the theological and divine foundations upon which this legal framework rests. The interplay between divine revelation and human reasoning, encapsulated in the process of ijtihad, is explored, showcasing the dynamic evolution of Sharia within the Sunni tradition and the distinctive methodologies of legal schools. The classical division of Sharia into uṣūl al-fiqh and furūʿ al-fiqh unveils the theoretical principles and practical applications of Islamic jurisprudence. Ethical considerations are integral to Sharia, surpassing mere legality to encompass moral dimensions, categorizing actions into a spectrum from mandatory to prohibited. Concepts like maqasid and maslaha further underscore the ethical underpinnings of Sharia, aligning legal norms with broader objectives of preserving human well-being. The paper traces the practical application of Sharia through Islamic legal institutions, with historical examples from the Ottoman Empire and British India illustrating the dynamic interaction between Sharia and state governance. Specific legal concepts, such as qisas, shed light on the historical evolution of Sharia's resolution tools. The relationship between Sharia and democracy emerges as a central theme, reflecting diverse cultural interpretations. Case studies from Turkey, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, India, and Western democracies provide a nuanced exploration of the challenges and opportunities in reconciling Sharia with democratic governance. The experiences of Muslim minority populations and debates within pluralistic societies underscore the complexities of accommodating Islamic legal principles within diverse frameworks.

Keywords

Contemporary Challenges, Cultural Interpretation, Democracy and Sharia, Ethical Dimensions, Ijtihad, Islamic Jurisprudence, Quranic Principles, Sharia Courts, Sharia Law, Sunni Legal Schools.

1. Introduction

Sharia, rooted in the Islamic tradition and encompassing a complex interplay of theological, legal, and ethical principles, stands as a multifaceted framework shaping the lives of millions across the Muslim-majority world (Bello, 2020; Hussin, 2016; W. A. F. W. Ismail, Baharuddin, Mutalib, & Alias, 2020; Keshavjee, 2016). This research paper embarks on a comprehensive exploration of Sharia law, tracing its origins, examining its historical evolution, and delving into its contemporary manifestations. From the early Islamic period to the present day, Sharia has been a subject of diverse interpretations, debates, and applications, reflecting

the dynamism inherent in Islamic jurisprudence (Gulam, 2016; Hussain, Hassan, Azhar, & Hasan, 2017; W. Ismail, Baharuddin, Mutalib, & Alias, 2021; Shariff et al., 2019; Wahab, 2016). The term "Sharia" itself, derived from the Arabic word meaning "path" or "way," represents a comprehensive system of guidance encompassing both religious and legal dimensions. At its core, Sharia serves as a moral compass, offering principles and norms intended to govern the behavior of individuals and communities. Understanding the intricacies of Sharia requires a nuanced examination of its foundational sources, the historical development of Islamic jurisprudence, and the ways in which it intersects with cultural, social, and political contexts (Bearman & Peters, 2016; Peletz, 2018; Zubaidah Ismail, 2015).

The primary sources of Sharia, notably the Quran and the Hadith, serve as the bedrock upon which this legal and ethical framework is built. The Quran, considered the literal word of God as revealed to the Prophet Muhammad, forms the central scripture for Muslims. Complementing the Quran, the Hadith comprises the sayings and actions of the Prophet, offering practical examples and elucidations of the divine guidance found in the Quran (Esmaeili, 2015; Olalekan, 2018; Pa, Muhammad, & Mustar, 2016). The synthesis of these two sources establishes the groundwork for the interpretation and application of Sharia. Historically, the development of Sharia has been marked by a dynamic interplay between divine revelation and human reasoning. This interplay is epitomized in the process of ijtihad, which involves independent legal reasoning by qualified jurists to derive legal rulings. The diversity within the Sunni tradition, exemplified by legal schools such as Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali, and Zahiri, reflects the adaptability and flexibility inherent in Islamic jurisprudence. These schools offer distinct methodologies for interpreting and applying Sharia principles, contributing to a rich amalgamation of legal thought within the broader Islamic tradition.

The classical division of Sharia into uṣūl al-fiqh (the roots of fiqh) and furūʿ al-fiqh (the branches of fiqh) further delineates the theoretical principles and practical applications of Islamic jurisprudence. The former involves the study of the foundational principles guiding legal reasoning, while the latter is concerned with the elaboration of specific legal rulings based on these principles (Ahmad et al., 2020; Pilgram, 2015; Steiner, 2018). This intricate web of theoretical and practical considerations highlights the depth and sophistication of Islamic legal thought. Ethical considerations are integral to Sharia, as it goes beyond mere legality to encompass the moral dimensions of human conduct. The categorization of actions into mandatory, recommended, neutral, abhorred, and prohibited reflects a holistic approach, aligning legal norms with moral values. The concepts of maqasid (aims or purposes) and maslaha (welfare or public interest) further underscore the ethical underpinnings of Sharia, emphasizing the broader objectives of preserving human well-being. The practical application of Sharia has been manifested through Islamic legal institutions, including Sharia courts and the role of qadis (judges).

The historical examples of legal systems in the Ottoman Empire and British India illustrate the dynamic interaction between Sharia and state governance. The complexities and nuances of applying Sharia are further highlighted through the examination of specific legal concepts, such as qisas, which evolved as a resolution tool in pre-Islamic Arab society. The

relationship between Sharia and democracy emerges as a central theme, reflecting the ongoing discourse within Muslim-majority countries and beyond. The cultural interpretation of Sharia plays a pivotal role in shaping perspectives on democracy, with divergent views ranging from considering Sharia as a human attempt to interpret God's message to viewing it as the literal and unalterable word of God. This tension is reflected in the critique of universal human rights frameworks by some Muslim-majority countries, exemplified by the Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam.

Case studies from diverse contexts, including Turkey, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Iran, India, and Western democracies, offer a panoramic view of the challenges and opportunities in reconciling Sharia with democratic governance (Alotaibi, 2021; Colon, 2019; Djibrilla, Buang, & Olayemi, 2017; Kloos & Berenschot, 2016; Naff & Dellapenna, 2017). The experiences of Muslim minority populations and debates within pluralistic societies underscore the complexities of accommodating Islamic legal principles within diverse frameworks. As this research paper unfolds, it seeks to unravel the intricate layers of Sharia law, offering a comprehensive exploration that spans centuries and continents. The discussion aims to contribute to a nuanced understanding of the role of Sharia in shaping legal, ethical, and societal norms within the complex amalgamation of the Muslim-majority world and beyond. From its historical foundations to its contemporary expressions, Sharia remains a dynamic and evolving framework that engages with the complexities of human existence.

2. Qisas and Legal Dilemmas in Islamic Jurisprudence and Human Rights

Qisas, a historic practice rooted in the pre-Islamic Arab society, served as a mechanism for resolving inter-tribal conflicts. This age-old practice rested on the premise that when a murder occurred, a member from the tribe to which the perpetrator belonged would be handed over to the victim's family for execution, aligning with the social status of the deceased individual (Azeez, Zakariyah, Shukor, & Salleh, 2016; Malik & Muda, 2015; Moustafa, 2018a; MUHIDIN et al., 2021; Rokhmad & Susilo, 2017). This system of justice hinged on the concept of "social equivalence," implying that the execution of a member from the murderer's tribe would be commensurate with the murdered person, whether in terms of gender (male or female), social status (elite or commoner), or freedom (slave or free). For instance, in adherence to the condition of social equivalence, only a slave could be executed for a slain slave, and a woman could be held accountable for the killing of another woman.

This intricate framework sought to balance the scales of justice within the tribal context, emphasizing a proportional response to acts of violence. In cases where direct retribution was not pursued, compensatory payment known as "Diya" could be offered to the family of the murdered individual, providing an alternative means of resolution. As Islam emerged and took root, the practice of Qisas underwent a transformation, and a nuanced debate unfolded regarding whether a Muslim could be subject to execution for the killing of a non-Muslim during the Islamic period. This theological inquiry added layers of complexity to the already intricate system of Qisas, prompting scholars to engage in discussions about the

applicability of these tribal norms within the evolving Islamic legal framework. In exploring the historical evolution of Islamic jurisprudence, particularly the formation of fiqh, scholars have presented alternative theories that challenge the traditionalist accounts. Initially, Western scholars accepted the broad contours of the traditional narrative. However, in the late 19th century, a significant revisionist hypothesis gained prominence, championed by Ignác Goldziher and further developed by Joseph Schacht in the mid-20th century. Schacht, along with other scholars, posited that the early Muslim attempts to formulate legal norms were influenced by the conquest of more populous agricultural and urban societies that already possessed established legal systems (Athief & Juwanti, 2020; Kamali, 2019; Mohamad, 2017; Salim, 2015; Schneider, 2020).

In this context, the Quran and the hadiths of Prophet Muhammad were regarded not as the exclusive sources of law, but rather as one among several sources. Jurists' personal opinions, the legal practices prevalent among the conquered peoples, and the decrees and decisions of the caliphs were also considered valid sources in shaping the evolving legal landscape. This revisionist perspective challenged the monolithic view of Islamic law emanating solely from divine sources, introducing a more nuanced understanding of the historical development of fiqh. The interplay between religious texts, juristic reasoning, and practical legal traditions highlighted the dynamic nature of Islamic jurisprudence as it adapted to diverse cultural and legal landscapes. Examining Qisas within the contemporary context requires delving into case laws from different countries that grapple with questions of retribution, justice, and the compatibility of traditional practices with modern legal systems.

The concept of Qisas finds echoes in the legal systems of some Islamic countries, where aspects of traditional justice coexist with modern legal frameworks. Pakistan, for example, has grappled with issues related to Qisas and Diya within its legal system. The Islamic Republic's legal framework incorporates elements of Islamic law, and Qisas is recognized as a legal principle. However, the interpretation and application of Qisas have undergone legal scrutiny and reform. The Hudood Ordinances introduced in the late 20th century aimed to bring aspects of Islamic criminal law, including Qisas, into the legal system. Subsequent legal amendments sought to address concerns about the fairness and equity of these laws, reflecting a tension between traditional practices and contemporary legal values (Azani, 2021; Hassan, 2020; Mohd Noor, Mohd. Shafiai, & Ismail, 2019; Salaymeh, 2021; Salh, 2021). In Iran, an Islamic Republic with a complex legal system that blends elements of sharia with modern legal principles, Qisas is acknowledged as a form of retribution. However, the interpretation and execution of Qisas are subject to legal debates and considerations. Iran's legal system, influenced by the concept of Velayat-e Faqih.

The application of Qisas, particularly in cases of murder, reflects the ongoing negotiation between traditional practices and contemporary legal norms. Saudi Arabia, another Islamic monarchy, implements a legal system deeply rooted in Islamic law. Qisas is a recognized principle, and the country's legal framework draws heavily from sharia. The Saudi legal system allows for the application of Qisas in cases of intentional homicide. However, debates persist about the equitable application of Qisas, especially concerning issues of gender, class, and the rights of victims and their families. These examples illustrate the complex interplay between traditional practices like Qisas and the evolving legal frameworks in different Islamic countries. The tension between upholding religious principles and addressing concerns related to justice, fairness, and human rights remains a recurring theme in the discourse on Qisas. Internationally, the question of executing a Muslim for the murder of a non-Muslim has been a subject of legal and ethical debates. The principles of equality before the law and non-discrimination have led many countries to reject the idea that a person's religious affiliation should determine the nature of punishment for a crime. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations, emphasizes the equality and dignity of all individuals before the law, irrespective of their religion or belief. In the realm of international human rights law, the debate around Qisas intersects with broader discussions on the death penalty, extrajudicial killings, and the right to life.

Countries with diverse legal systems and cultural contexts grapple with balancing the need for justice with the imperative of safeguarding human rights. Case laws and legal precedents from different jurisdictions contribute to the ongoing dialogue on the compatibility of traditional practices like Qisas with contemporary human rights standards. In the United States, where the legal system is rooted in Western traditions, the concept of Qisas may not find direct application. However, the broader discourse on capital punishment and the ethical considerations surrounding retribution and justice resonate with global conversations about traditional forms of punishment. Legal cases that involve questions of proportionality, fairness, and the protection of individual rights contribute to the broader discourse on justice and the death penalty. The European Court of Human Rights, as a supranational judicial body, has dealt with cases that raise questions about the compatibility of traditional practices with the European Convention on Human Rights. Cases involving extrajudicial killings or disproportionate punishments prompt the court to assess the adherence of member states to human rights standards. These deliberations contribute to shaping the jurisprudential landscape and influencing the broader understanding of justice within a human rights framework.

3. Exploring the Roots of Fiqh in Islamic Jurisprudence Across Legal Traditions

Fiqh, intricately woven into the fabric of Islamic jurisprudence, unfolds as a multifaceted discipline traditionally divided into uṣūl al-fiqh, the roots of fiqh, and furūʿ al-fiqh, the branches of fiqh. This division delineates the theoretical principles that form the foundation of jurisprudential thought and the subsequent elaboration of legal rulings based on these principles (Dutta, 2021; W. A. F. W. Ismail, Mutalib, Abdullah, Amani, & Khir, 2015; Sonne, 2015; Syaikhu, 2019; Thalib, 2018). Classical jurists, imbued with the belief that human reason is a divine gift, emphasized the imperative of exercising reason to its fullest capacity. Yet, in their nuanced understanding, reason alone was deemed insufficient to discern the nuances of right and wrong, compelling reliance on the body of transcendental knowledge found in the Quran and the sunnah of Prophet Muhammad. In the realm of Islamic jurisprudence, the traditional theory unfolds a meticulous methodology for interpreting scriptures, delving into linguistic and rhetorical nuances.

This scholarly approach extends beyond the mere literal understanding of texts, encompassing the establishment of the

authenticity of hadith and delineating the criteria for determining when the legal force of a scriptural passage is abrogated by subsequent revelations. The classical theory, deeply rooted in linguistic analysis, lays the groundwork for comprehending the layers of meaning embedded within sacred texts. Beyond the Quran and the sunnah, foundational sources in Sunni fiqh include juristic consensus (ijmaʿ) and analogical reasoning (qiyas). Ijmaʿ, reflecting the collective agreement of Islamic scholars, serves as a crucial source of law, offering insights into matters where explicit scriptural guidance may be absent. The consensus of the scholarly community is esteemed as a testament to the broader understanding of Islamic legal principles (Alziyadat & Ahmed, 2019; Baderin, 2017; Billaud, 2016; El Daouk, 2021; Kunhibava, 2015). Analogical reasoning, or qiyas, extends the application of established legal principles to novel situations by drawing parallels with existing rulings. This form of reasoning allows jurists to navigate contemporary challenges by extrapolating legal precedents from foundational sources. The rich amalgamation of fiqh unfolds further as it navigates the complexities of diverse legal traditions within the Sunni Islam framework. Among the notable legal schools, the Hanafi school, originating from the teachings of Abu Hanifa, offers insights into how fiqh is applied within the framework of linguistic and rational analysis.

The Maliki school, rooted in the teachings of Malik ibn Anas, emphasizes the importance of local customs and traditions in the interpretation of fiqh, reflecting a nuanced approach to jurisprudence. The Shafiʿi school, following the methodology of Imam al-Shafiʿi, accentuates the primacy of the Quran and the sunnah, underscoring the authoritative role of these sources in legal reasoning. The Hanbali school, associated with the teachings of Ahmad ibn Hanbal, holds a conservative stance, leaning towards literal interpretations of the Quran and the hadith. Examining the practical application of fiqh across different regions and historical periods brings to light the dynamic nature of Islamic jurisprudence. In the context of Saudi Arabia, a nation where the Hanbali school holds significant influence, the legal system draws extensively from fiqh in its application of Sharia law (Alanzi, 2020; S. Z. Ismail & Awang Mat, 2016; W. A. F. W. Ismail, 2015; Zee, 2014; Zin, 2017). The Saudi judiciary, guided by the principles of the Hanbali school, navigates legal complexities by interpreting Quranic verses and hadith within the framework of conservative jurisprudence. This application is evident in cases related to criminal offenses, family law, and broader societal issues where the intersection of Islamic principles and legal norms is pronounced. Malaysia, with its diverse population and legal system influenced by the Shafiʿi school, presents a different facet of fiqh in practice.

The dual legal system, encompassing Islamic law and civil law, reflects an attempt to accommodate the religious and cultural diversity within the nation. The application of fiqh in Malaysia is particularly notable in family law matters handled by Sharia courts, which follow the Shafiʿi school's methodology. The coexistence of Islamic and civil legal systems showcases the adaptability of fiqh within a pluralistic societal framework. In Pakistan, where the Hanafi school holds sway, the application of fiqh is embedded in the legal system, reflecting a synthesis of Islamic principles and British-influenced legal traditions. The incorporation of fiqh into the legal code, particularly in matters related to family law, inheritance, and personal status, underscores the ongoing interaction between traditional Islamic jurisprudence and modern legal frameworks. The pres-

ence of Islamic provisions within the legal system reflects an attempt to harmonize religious principles with contemporary legal norms (Afridawati, 2021; Bishara, 2020; Sisson, 2015; Steiner, 2015; Voorhoeve, 2014). Within the United Arab Emirates (UAE), the legal system draws from the Maliki and Hanbali schools, reflecting the influence of these Sunni legal traditions. The application of fiqh is evident in matters of family law, contractual obligations, and criminal offenses. The UAE's legal framework seeks to balance the preservation of Islamic values with the exigencies of a modern, cosmopolitan society, offering insights into the ongoing dialogue between tradition and modernity within the realm of Islamic jurisprudence (Jamal & Hashim, 2016; Koumoutzis & Papastylianos, 2019; Malek, Jeniwaty, Sulaiman, & Harun, 2015; Pakeeza & Fatima, 2016; Zeghal, 2016).

Internationally, the discourse on the application of fiqh intersects with debates on human rights, particularly in cases where Islamic law may diverge from international standards. The question of apostasy, for instance, has sparked debates about the compatibility of fiqh with principles of religious freedom. Countries like Afghanistan and Sudan, where apostasy laws are enforced, grapple with questions of individual rights and the role of religious principles in shaping legal frameworks. Case laws from these regions contribute to the broader conversation on the tension between fiqh and human rights. The European Court of Human Rights has also dealt with cases involving Islamic practices, including those rooted in fiqh, and has navigated the delicate balance between religious freedoms and human rights. The case of Refah Partisi (Welfare Party) v. Turkey in 2003 addressed the prohibition of political parties based on Islamic principles, highlighting the complexities of reconciling religious practices, including fiqh, with the principles of secularism and individual rights.

4. Insights on Islamic Law from Sayyid Rashid Rida and Critical Scholarship

Sayyid Rashid Rida, an eminent Islamic scholar whose influence spanned the late 19th and early 20th centuries, meticulously outlined the foundational sources of Islamic law, universally acknowledged by Sunni Muslims. These sources, embedded in the core of Islamic jurisprudence, constitute the pillars upon which legal principles and rulings are constructed (Arifin, 2021; Lindbekk, 2017; Meirison & Nazar, 2021; Razif, 2020; Syed, 2018). The four fundamental sources delineated by Rida are the Quran, the Sunnah, the consensus of the ummah (community), and ijtihad undertaken by competent jurists. The Quran, revered by Muslims as the literal word of God revealed to Prophet Muhammad, serves as the primary and undisputed source of Islamic law. Its verses, encompassing a wide range of legal, ethical, and spiritual guidance, provide the foundational principles upon which the edifice of Islamic jurisprudence is built. The interpretative endeavor to extract legal rulings from the Quran, known as fiqh, involves linguistic analysis, historical contextualization, and an understanding of the broader objectives (maqasid) of Islamic law.

Parallel to the Quran, the Sunnah constitutes the recorded actions, sayings, and approvals of Prophet Muhammad. Compiled in collections of hadith, the Sunnah provides a comprehensive guide to understanding and applying the teachings of Islam. Scholars meticulously scrutinize the authenticity and reliability of hadith through chains of narrators (isnad) and the

content of the narrations (matn). The Sunnah complements the Quran, offering insights into the Prophet's application of Quranic principles in specific situations, thereby enriching the understanding of Islamic law. The consensus of the ummah, reflecting the collective agreement of the Muslim community, emerges as a source of Islamic law. When scholars and jurists concur on a particular legal interpretation or ruling, it attains the status of ijma (consensus). Ijma serves as a valuable source in situations where explicit guidance from the Quran or the Sunnah is not readily available. The concept of consensus underscores the communal nature of Islamic jurisprudence, emphasizing the importance of scholarly agreement in matters of legal interpretation. Ijtihad, the exertion of independent reasoning by qualified jurists, represents a dynamic aspect of Islamic law. Recognized as a source by Sayyid Rashid Rida, ijtihad allows jurists to apply reasoning and analogical deduction to address contemporary issues not explicitly addressed in the Quran or the Sunnah. Competent jurists, possessing deep knowledge of Islamic legal principles and methodologies, engage in ijtihad to derive rulings that align with the broader objectives of Islamic law.

The fluidity of ijtihad accommodates the evolving needs of society and ensures the adaptability of Islamic jurisprudence (Daniels, 2017; Lydon, 2018; Pasarlay, 2016; Peters & Bearman, 2016; Rani, Fikri, & Mahfud, 2020). However, the evolution of primary sources, as suggested by some researchers, presents a contrasting viewpoint. Gerd R. Puin, Lawrence Conrad, Patricia Crone, and Joseph Schacht, through their scholarly inquiries into sirah books, hadith terminology, and chains of narration of hadith, respectively, challenge the traditional understanding of the sources' stability. Their conclusions imply that the development of primary sources, akin to the evolution of fiqh, might not have followed a linear and unchanging trajectory. In examining sirah books, which chronicle the life of Prophet Muhammad, scholars such as Gerd R. Puin delve into the historical context of the compilation and transmission of these narratives. They scrutinize the reliability of narrations, identifying potential biases and contextual factors that might have influenced the portrayal of events. This critical analysis sheds light on the intricate process of preserving and transmitting historical information within the Islamic tradition. Lawrence Conrad, in his exploration of hadith terminology, unveils the complexities inherent in the language used to convey Prophetic traditions.

The terminology employed in the transmission of hadith plays a pivotal role in shaping the understanding and interpretation of these traditions. Conrad's research highlights the need for a nuanced linguistic analysis to unravel the layers of meaning embedded in hadith, emphasizing the dynamic nature of language and its impact on the preservation and interpretation of Islamic teachings. Patricia Crone, through her examination of the chains of narration of hadith, dives deep into the intricate web of transmitters who played a role in preserving and transmitting Prophetic traditions. The reliability of a hadith is contingent on the authenticity and integrity of its chain of narrators. Crone's research underscores the challenges inherent in verifying the chains of narration, raising questions about the stability and accuracy of the transmission process over time. Joseph Schacht, a renowned scholar of Islamic law, contributes to this nuanced understanding by questioning the traditional narrative of the stability of primary sources. His exploration into the development of Islamic jurisprudence challenges the prevailing notion that Quran and hadith were the exclusive

sources guiding legal formulations. Schacht posits that the initial Muslim efforts to formulate legal norms considered Quran and hadith as only one among several sources. He emphasizes the role of jurists' personal opinions, the legal practices of conquered peoples, and the decrees of caliphs as additional influences on the evolving legal landscape. Incorporating this critical perspective into the discourse on Islamic law opens avenues for a more comprehensive understanding of its historical development (An-Na'im, 2020; Huis, 2015; Karjoko, Jaelani, Tegnan, Glaser, & Hayat, 2021; Lindsey & Steiner, 2016; Masse & Rusli, 2018).

The research of Puin, Conrad, Crone, and Schacht encourages scholars to view the sources of Islamic law with a nuanced lens, acknowledging the complexities of preservation, transmission, and interpretation. This critical inquiry prompts a reevaluation of assumptions about the stability and unchanging nature of primary sources. The application of Islamic law across different countries offers insights into how these sources are interpreted and implemented within diverse legal traditions. In Saudi Arabia, where the Hanbali school holds sway, the Quran and Sunnah are central to the legal system, reflecting a conservative interpretation of Islamic law. The judiciary in Saudi Arabia relies on these primary sources, often supplemented by juristic consensus (ijma) and analogical reasoning (qiyas), to derive legal rulings. The application of Islamic law in Saudi Arabia is evident in criminal justice, family law, and broader societal matters, reflecting the interplay between primary sources and a conservative legal tradition. In Indonesia, a country with a predominantly Sunni Muslim population influenced by the Shafi'i school, the Quran and the Sunnah hold a central place in Islamic legal interpretations (Dewar & Hussain, 2021; Muhamad et al., 2019; Mustafa & Agbaria, 2016; Petersen, 2020; Powell, 2019).

However, the legal system incorporates local customs and traditions, demonstrating a more eclectic approach to Islamic jurisprudence. The coexistence of primary sources with regional legal practices illustrates the adaptability of Islamic law within diverse cultural contexts. Pakistan, with its diverse legal landscape influenced by the Hanafi school, showcases the interplay between primary sources and modern legal frameworks. The incorporation of Islamic provisions within the legal code, particularly in family law, reflects an attempt to harmonize religious principles with contemporary legal norms. The application of ijtihad, wherein jurists exert independent reasoning to address contemporary issues, demonstrates the flexibility of Islamic jurisprudence in navigating complex societal challenges. In Turkey, where the legal system has undergone significant secularization reforms, the application of Islamic law has evolved. While the Quran and Sunnah remain sources of reference, the legal framework has been restructured to align with modern legal principles. The adaptation of Islamic law within a secular context exemplifies the dynamic nature of legal interpretations and the ongoing dialogue between religious principles and the demands of a changing society.

Internationally, the discourse on the application of primary sources intersects with debates on human rights, particularly in cases where Islamic law may diverge from international standards. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations, emphasizes the principles of equality, non-discrimination, and religious freedom. Instances where Islamic legal traditions, influenced by primary sources, intersect with human rights considerations contribute to a broader conversa-

tion on the compatibility of religious principles with international standards (Abubakar, 2017; Chambert-Loir, 2017; Powell, 2015; E. J. Powell, 2016; Ramadhan, 2020). In the European context, the European Court of Human Rights grapples with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in primary sources. The delicate balance between religious freedoms and human rights is evident in cases related to issues such as the wearing of religious attire, freedom of expression, and the prohibition of political parties based on Islamic principles. The legal deliberations within the European framework underscore the nuanced approach required to navigate the intersection of primary sources with secular legal norms.

5. Ijtihad, Maqasid, and Maslaha: Navigating Ethical and Legal Frontiers in Islamic Jurisprudence

The classical process of ijtihad, a cornerstone of Islamic jurisprudence, intricately weaved generally recognized principles with additional methods that were not universally adopted by all legal schools. Among these methods were istihsan, or juristic preference, allowing jurists to exercise discretion in choosing a legal opinion based on what they deemed as more just or equitable. Istislah, a consideration of public interest, permitted jurists to weigh the broader societal benefits or harms associated with a legal ruling. Istishab, or presumption of continuity, assumed the persistence of a previous legal status unless there was evidence to the contrary. These nuanced methodologies enriched the ijtihad process, showcasing the flexibility inherent in Islamic legal reasoning. A jurist qualified to engage in ijtihad is known as a mujtahid, signifying an individual endowed with the requisite knowledge, expertise, and analytical skills to navigate the complexities of legal interpretation. The classical notion of ijtihad emphasizes the jurist's ability to derive legal rulings independently, drawing upon the primary sources of Islamic law—the Quran and the Sunnah—as well as secondary sources like consensus (ijma) and analogical reasoning (qiyas).

This dynamic process allows for the continual adaptation of Islamic jurisprudence to address evolving societal contexts and novel challenges. Fiqh, the broader field encompassing Islamic jurisprudence, extends beyond the mere delineation of legal norms; it is equally concerned with ethical standards. The quest for ethical clarity intertwines with the pursuit of legal correctness, creating a holistic approach that seeks to establish not only what is permissible or forbidden but also what is morally right or wrong (Abou Ramadan, 2015; Belal, 2018; Janin & Kahlmeyer, 2015; Schenk, 2018; Valčiukas, 2018). The intersection of ethical considerations and legal norms within fiqh contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the Islamic legal tradition, reflecting the interconnected nature of ethical and legal principles. Within the framework of Sharia, rulings are categorized into "the five decisions" (al-aḥkām al-khamsa), each delineating the legal status of an action or behavior. These categories are: mandatory (farḍ or wājib), denoting actions that are obligatory; recommended (mandūb or mustaḥabb), indicating actions that are commendable but not obligatory; neutral (mubāḥ), representing actions that are neither commanded nor prohibited; reprehensible (makrūh), marking actions that are discouraged but not explicitly forbidden; and forbidden (ḥarām), signifying actions that are expressly prohibited (Alizar & Muhammadi, 2019; Rehman, 2016; Shakir, 2015; Wood, 2016; Zaman, 2017).

The classical doctrines of maqāṣid (aims or purposes) of Sharia and maṣlaḥa (welfare or public interest) emerge as pivotal components in the broader landscape of Islamic legal thought. These doctrines, articulated by al-Ghazali in the 11th century, have gained prominence in modern times as scholars grapple with the complexities of applying traditional principles to contemporary challenges. Al-Ghazali argued that maṣlaḥa was God's overarching purpose in revealing divine law, with its specific aim being the preservation of five essentials of human well-being: religion, life, intellect, offspring, and property. Maqāṣid provides a framework for identifying the broader objectives or purposes underlying specific legal rulings. It encourages jurists to discern the overarching goals that Sharia seeks to achieve, allowing for a more nuanced and contextually relevant application of Islamic law (Aykut, 2017; Mir-Hosseini, 2018; Moustafa, 2017, 2018b; Suadi, 2020). This perspective acknowledges that legal rulings are not isolated commands but are embedded within a broader ethical and societal context, and it encourages jurists to consider the broader objectives when interpreting and applying the law. Maṣlaḥa, or public interest, serves as a guiding principle in determining the welfare and well-being of society.

This doctrine recognizes that Islamic law is not a rigid and unchanging set of rules but rather a dynamic system that should adapt to the evolving needs of the community. Consideration of public interest allows jurists to weigh the potential benefits and harms associated with a particular legal ruling, ensuring that the application of Islamic law aligns with the welfare of society. The interplay between maqāṣid and maṣlaḥa reflects the sophistication of Islamic legal thought, acknowledging the broader purposes of Sharia and the imperative of ensuring the well-being of individuals and communities. These doctrines offer a conceptual framework for balancing the preservation of core ethical values with the need to address contemporary challenges (Agrama, 2015; Mahmod & Buang, 2016; Moustafa & Sachs, 2018; Schenk, 2019; Zoli, Bassiouni, & Khan, 2017). The dynamic nature of maqāṣid and maṣlaḥa allows Islamic jurisprudence to adapt and remain relevant in diverse social, cultural, and temporal contexts. Examples from different countries provide insights into how these principles are applied within the realm of Islamic jurisprudence. In Saudi Arabia, where the Hanbali school holds sway, the application of ijtihad involves a conservative interpretation of the Quran and Sunnah, with a focus on adhering to established legal traditions.

The judiciary, drawing upon classical sources and methodologies, navigates legal complexities within the framework of a strict interpretation of Islamic law. The categorization of actions into the five decisions, guided by traditional principles, shapes legal rulings in alignment with the Hanbali school's approach. In Indonesia, the largest Muslim-majority country influenced by the Shafi'i school, the application of ijtihad reflects a more eclectic approach. The legal system incorporates not only the Quran and Sunnah but also local customs and traditions, demonstrating a dynamic engagement with diverse sources of law. The coexistence of traditional Islamic principles with regional practices highlights the adaptability of Islamic jurisprudence within a culturally diverse context. In Pakistan, where the Hanafi school holds significant influence, the application of ijtihad is embedded in the legal system, reflecting a synthesis of Islamic principles and British-influenced legal traditions. The incorporation of Islamic provisions into the legal

code, particularly in matters related to family law, showcases the ongoing interaction between traditional Islamic jurisprudence and modern legal frameworks (Al-Khazaleh & El-Din, 2018; S. Z. Ismail, 2016; W. A. F. b. W. Ismail & Asutay, 2017; Minarrahmah, 2020; Samuri & Khan, 2020). The presence of ijtihad allows for a nuanced interpretation of Islamic principles within the evolving legal landscape. Turkey, with its history of secularization reforms, has undergone significant transformations in the application of ijtihad. The legal system, while still drawing upon the Quran and Sunnah, has been restructured to align with modern legal principles. The adaptation of Islamic law within a secular context exemplifies the dynamic nature of legal interpretations and the ongoing dialogue between religious principles and the demands of a changing society (Markom & Yaakub, 2015; Rosidah, 2020; Sparr, 2014; Sumardi, Lukito, & Ichwan, 2021; Tsavousoglou, 2017).

Internationally, the discourse on ijtihad intersects with debates on human rights, particularly in cases where Islamic law may diverge from international standards. The tension between traditional legal principles and universal human rights is evident in cases involving issues such as freedom of expression, gender equality, and religious freedom. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations, emphasizes the principles of equality, non-discrimination, and individual freedoms, prompting discussions on the compatibility of Islamic law with these universal values. The European Court of Human Rights has dealt with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in ijtihad, navigating the delicate balance between religious freedoms and human rights. The case of Lautsi v. Italy in 2011, addressing the display of crucifixes in public schools, underscored the complexities of reconciling religious practices with secular norms. The legal deliberations within the European framework highlight the nuanced approach required to navigate the intersection of ijtihad with secular legal norms.

6. Furūʿ al-Fiqh: Rituals, Social Relations, and Legal Realities in Islamic Jurisprudence

The expansive realm of furūʿ al-fiqh, translated as the branches of fiqh, unfolds into two principal categories: ʿibādāt, encompassing rituals or acts of worship, and muʿāmalāt, delving into social relations. This traditional division serves as a conceptual framework within Islamic jurisprudence, organizing the multifaceted aspects of legal and ethical considerations. The ʿibādāt domain dives deep into the intricacies of religious rituals and acts of worship, shaping the spiritual dimension of individual and communal life (Ayoub, 2015; Bhatti, 2019; Masud, 2019; Oraegbunam, 2016; Sulaiman, WZ, & Al-Edrus, 2017). In contrast, muʿāmalāt extends its reach to the social fabric, navigating the complexities of human interactions and relationships. Within the substantive jurisprudence of furūʿ al-fiqh, scholars often employ a further division known as "the four quarters," delineating key areas of legal consideration. These quarters comprise rituals, sales, marriage, and injuries, with each serving as an umbrella term for a spectrum of subjects. For instance, the quarter of sales not only encompasses the intricacies of commercial transactions but also extends to cover partnerships, guaranty, gifts, and bequests, forming a comprehensive amalgamation of legal considerations.

The structuring of jurisprudential discourse into smaller topics, often referred to as "books" (kitab), allows for a systematic exploration of each facet, facilitating a nuanced under-standing of legal principles. The sequencing of juristic works, where the discussion of rituals invariably occupies a prominent position at the outset, underscores the special significance attributed to religious practices within the Islamic legal tradition. This intentional placement of ritual discussions at the forefront emphasizes their foundational role in shaping the ethical and spiritual landscape. By commencing with rituals, jurists signal the intrinsic connection between religious observances and the broader legal and ethical framework. The historical evolution of Islamic criminal law emerges as a distinctive field, amalgamating several traditional categories and principles. A subset of criminal offenses, known as hudud, draws its authority directly from scriptural sources, prescribing specific punishments for offenses such as theft, adultery, and false accusation of adultery.

However, the practical application of hudud has encountered complexities and challenges due to the rigorous evidentiary requirements and procedural safeguards embedded in Islamic legal traditions. Jurists, cognizant of the potential severity of hudud punishments, developed various restrictions and safeguards to ensure just and equitable application. These measures, such as stringent evidentiary standards and requirements for multiple witnesses, were designed to prevent hasty or unjust convictions. However, the implementation of these safeguards, in some cases, resulted in the virtual impossibility of applying hudud punishments, fostering a gap between scriptural directives and practical legal realities (Abd Razak, 2020; Iakovidis & McDonough, 2019; Mir-Hosseini, 2016; Ostien, Garba, & Abubakar, 2017; Yilmaz, 2019). Examining examples from different countries provides insights into how these principles manifest in diverse legal traditions. In Saudi Arabia, the application of furūʿ al-fiqh, particularly in matters of rituals and social relations, reflects a conservative interpretation rooted in the Hanbali school. The legal system draws extensively from classical Islamic jurisprudence, emphasizing the foundational role of religious practices and traditional legal principles. In areas of muʿāmalāt, such as family law, the application of Islamic principles shapes legal rulings in alignment with the conservative legal tradition. Indonesia, with its predominantly Shafiʿi influence, showcases a more eclectic approach to furūʿ al-fiqh.

The legal system incorporates not only the Quran and Sunnah but also local customs and traditions, demonstrating the adaptability of Islamic jurisprudence within diverse cultural contexts. The coexistence of traditional Islamic principles with regional practices allows for a nuanced application of rituals and social relations in a manner that resonates with the cultural diversity of the Indonesian society. Pakistan, influenced by the Hanafi school, navigates the interplay between furūʿ al-fiqh and modern legal frameworks. The incorporation of Islamic provisions within the legal code, particularly in family law matters, illustrates the ongoing dialogue between traditional Islamic jurisprudence and contemporary legal norms (Carback, 2016; Kassam, 2017; Sabrow, 2020; Uddin, 2018; Warren & Gilmore, 2014). The application of muʿāmalāt principles, such as those related to contracts and commercial transactions, reflects the adaptability of Islamic law within a complex legal landscape. Turkey, with its history of secularization reforms, has witnessed transformations in the application of furūʿ al-fiqh. While the Quran and Sunnah remain sources of reference, the legal framework has been restructured to align with modern legal principles. The adaptation of Islamic law within a secular context exemplifies the dynamic nature of legal interpretations and

the ongoing dialogue between religious principles and the demands of a changing society.

Internationally, the discourse on furūʿ al-fiqh intersects with debates on human rights, particularly in cases where Islamic law may diverge from international standards. The tension between traditional legal principles and universal human rights is evident in cases involving issues such as gender equality, freedom of expression, and religious freedom. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights emphasizes principles of equality and non-discrimination, prompting discussions on the compatibility of Islamic law, especially in matters of muʿāmalāt, with universal values. The European Court of Human Rights grapples with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in furūʿ al-fiqh, navigating the delicate balance between religious freedoms and human rights (Alias, Wan Ismail, Baharuddin, & Mutalib, 2021; Baig, 2015; Baroody, 2019; Hasballah, Nurdin, Zainuddin, & Fahmi, 2021; Nabilah, Rizal, & Warman, 2021). Legal deliberations within the European framework underscore the nuanced approach required to navigate the intersection of furūʿ al-fiqh with secular legal norms. Cases related to family law, dress codes, and religious expression exemplify the complex dynamics inherent in reconciling religious practices with universal human rights standards.

7. Sunni Madhhabs: Legal Pluralism, Jurisprudential Diversity, and Contemporary Realities

The Sunni schools of law, commonly known as madhhabs, constitute a significant framework within Islamic jurisprudence, with the prominent ones being the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafiʾi, and Hanbali madhhabs. These schools crystallized during the ninth and tenth centuries, gaining widespread recognition and influence within the Muslim world by the twelfth century. The emergence of distinct madhhabs was a response to the evolving complexities of legal interpretation, providing followers with a systematic approach to understanding and applying Sharia. While these madhhabs differ in some legal interpretations, they fundamentally recognize each other's validity, fostering a tradition of legal debate and exchange of ideas over the centuries. The global impact of these madhhabs is evident in their followers' adherence to the rulings across the Muslim world, transcending exclusive regional restrictions. Each madhhab, however, came to dominate in different geographical regions, influencing the legal landscape and shaping the application of Sharia in diverse cultural contexts.

The Hanafi madhhab, for instance, gained prominence in regions like Central Asia, the Indian subcontinent, and parts of the Balkans. The Maliki madhhab found its stronghold in North and West Africa, while the Shafiʾi madhhab influenced regions such as East Africa, Southeast Asia, and parts of the Arabian Peninsula. The Hanbali madhhab, with its relatively strict interpretations, found adherence in certain parts of the Arabian Peninsula. Interactions between these madhhabs have been a constant feature of Islamic legal discourse, as scholars engaged in legal debates and exchanged ideas (Ergene, 2016; W. A. F. W. Ismail, Shukor, Hashim, Mutalib, & Baharuddin, 2019; Mukminin, 2019; Tagoranao, 2015; Yanlua, 2015). The recognition of the validity of each madhhab, despite their nuanced differences, has contributed to a rich tradition of legal pluralism within Sunni Islam. This diversity in legal thought is not a source of division but rather a testament to the dynamic nature of Islamic jurisprudence. The traditional interpretation

and application of Sharia were entrusted to muftis, who played a crucial role in providing legal guidance to the Muslim community. During the early centuries of Islam, muftis were private legal specialists, often holding other occupations alongside their role as muftis.

These individuals issued fatwas, which are legal opinions or rulings, typically in response to inquiries from laypersons or requests for consultation from judges. Fatwas were generally provided without charge, and muftis operated as key figures in disseminating legal knowledge to the wider community. The issuance of fatwas was not an arbitrary exercise; instead, it was a responsibility grounded in a comprehensive understanding of Islamic law. Muftis would respond to questions in a general context, addressing the concerns of the questioner without delving into specific case details. Their fatwas carried weight and were regularly upheld in courts, reflecting the authority accorded to these legal opinions. When conflicts arose, and a fatwa was not upheld, it was often due to the existence of a more authoritative legal opinion that contradicted the initial ruling. The administration of justice in Islamic legal systems involved the role of judges, known as qadis, who presided over Sharia courts. These courts, also referred to as mahkama, were responsible for adjudicating legal matters in accordance with Islamic law. Qadis were trained in Islamic jurisprudence, although not necessarily to the extent required for issuing fatwas (Endut, 2015; Scott, 2021; Shahed, 2019; Triana, 2017; Uddin, 2020).

The court personnel included various assistants fulfilling diverse roles, contributing to the functioning of the legal system. Despite the theoretical independence of judges in their decisions, the practical realities revealed a complex interplay of factors. Judges were appointed by rulers, and their decisions were often subject to external pressures, particularly from members of the ruling elite whose interests were at stake. While judges were expected to apply Sharia impartially, the influence of political dynamics and power structures sometimes impacted the outcome of legal cases. Exploring examples from different countries provides insights into how these principles manifest in diverse legal traditions. In Saudi Arabia, where the Hanbali school holds significant influence, the application of madhhab principles is evident in the legal system. Sharia courts, guided by the Hanbali interpretation, play a central role in administering justice, particularly in matters related to family law and criminal offenses. The Hanbali madhhab's influence is also reflected in the conservative legal landscape of the country. In Egypt, with its historical association with the Shafiʾi madhhab, the legal system incorporates Shafiʾi principles into its jurisprudential framework.

Sharia courts operate within this framework, addressing a range of legal issues, including family matters and contracts. The Shafiʾi influence in Egypt reflects the historical development of legal traditions in the region. In Pakistan, where the Hanafi school has significant sway, the legal system draws upon the Hanafi madhhab for its jurisprudential foundation. Family law matters, commercial transactions, and other legal issues are interpreted and adjudicated within the framework of the Hanafi school. The coexistence of traditional Islamic principles with modern legal frameworks is evident in the application of Hanafi jurisprudence. Indonesia, with its diverse cultural and religious landscape, showcases a unique approach to the Sunni madhhabs. While the country has a significant Muslim population influenced by the Shafiʾi school, it also accommodates the

Hanafi, Maliki, and Hanbali madhhabs to varying degrees. The legal system navigates this diversity, recognizing multiple madhhabs and allowing individuals to follow their chosen school in matters of personal status (Adil & Saidon, 2017; Benhalim, 2018; Ghosh & Chakrabarti, 2021; Lindsey & Pausacker, 2016; Poon, Pollard, & Chow, 2018).

Internationally, the discourse on the Sunni madhhabs intersects with debates on legal pluralism and human rights. The acceptance of diverse madhhabs within Sunni Islam reflects a tradition of legal pluralism, allowing for flexibility and adaptability within the framework of Islamic jurisprudence. However, challenges arise in cases where interpretations of Sharia may diverge from international human rights standards. The tension between adhering to madhhab principles and ensuring compliance with universal human rights principles prompts ongoing discussions within the Muslim world (Absar, 2020; Alfitri, 2017; Baharuddin, Ruskam, & Yacob, 2015; Dogan, 2015; Hariyanto, 2019). The European Court of Human Rights, in dealing with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in specific madhhabs, grapples with the delicate balance between religious freedoms and human rights. Cases related to family law, dress codes, and religious expression highlight the nuanced approach required to navigate the intersection of madhhab principles with secular legal norms.

8. Gender and Legal Distinctions in Classical Sharia: Norms, Inequalities, and Contemporary Implications

Within the framework of classical Sharia, the application of legal rules in both civil disputes and penal law introduces distinct categories based on gender, religious affiliation, and social status. This differentiation is embedded in the traditional understanding of Islamic law, which assumes a patriarchal societal structure with a male figure at the helm of the household. The legal landscape developed by various Islamic schools accommodated a range of norms that could be leveraged to the advantage of either gender (Adzim, Saifullah, & Mohd, 2021; Busari, 2021; Hakim, 2021; Hefner, 2016; Krawietz, 2016). However, a consistent theme emerged wherein women generally found themselves at a disadvantage, particularly concerning rules related to inheritance, blood money (diya), and witness testimony. In matters of inheritance, classical Sharia norms often resulted in disparities between the shares allocated to male and female heirs. The principle of "male relatives receiving double the share of their female counterparts" was ingrained in the legal fabric, reflecting a gender-based distinction in the distribution of familial wealth. Similarly, in cases involving blood money, the compensation awarded for harm or loss inflicted, women's valuation was, in some instances, effectively treated as half that of men.

This discrepancy underscored the gender-based disparities within the legal framework, raising questions about equity and justice within classical Sharia. Witness testimony, a crucial element in legal proceedings, also reflected gender-based distinctions. In certain cases, a woman's testimony was considered half as probative as that of a man. This practice, rooted in classical interpretations of Sharia, contributed to the perpetuation of gender-based inequalities within legal proceedings. The implications of such distinctions were evident in the broader societal context, shaping perceptions of women's credibility and participation in legal matters. The patriarchal assumptions inherent in classical Sharia were intended to regulate the affairs of

the Muslim community, creating a legal framework that, in practice, often disadvantaged women. While different legal schools formulated norms that could be manipulated to favor either gender, the overall trend reflected a societal structure that reinforced gender-based hierarchies within legal proceedings (Berger, 2016; Buskens, 2016; Kalanges, 2016; Mukharom, Heryanti, Astanti, & Aravik, 2020; Sykiainen, 2015).

The implications of these gender distinctions extended beyond legal matters, influencing societal norms and expectations. Moreover, classical Sharia introduced distinctions not only based on gender but also on religious affiliation and social status. Non-Muslims residing under Islamic rule were classified as dhimmis, a legal status entailing a complex set of protections, restrictions, freedoms, and inequalities. The payment of the jizya tax was one such aspect of the dhimmi status. While dhimmis were afforded certain protections, such as the right to practice their faith and protection from certain types of violence, they were subject to specific legal and financial obligations, including the payment of the jizya tax. The concept of dhimmitude, despite its protections, reflected legal inequalities based on religious identity (Hasan-Bello, 2019; Hefner, 2017; Khoukaz, 2017; McGoldrick, 2019; R. Powell, 2016). The dhimmi status created a legal distinction between Muslims and non-Muslims, reinforcing a hierarchy that extended beyond matters of faith. This legal structure, while providing a degree of religious freedom, imposed certain limitations and financial obligations on non-Muslims, contributing to a stratified social order. Additionally, classical fiqh, or Islamic jurisprudence, acknowledged and regulated slavery as a legitimate institution.

While acknowledging the existence of slavery, Islamic law also granted certain rights and protections to slaves, setting it apart from the legal systems of ancient Greece and Rome. The recognition of rights for slaves within the legal framework aimed to improve their status relative to other historical legal traditions. Despite these protections, slavery within the context of classical Sharia was a complex institution. The legal culture surrounding slavery coexisted with a broader view of Sharia as a reflection of universal principles of justice. While formal legal disabilities persisted for certain groups, the overarching narrative emphasized Sharia as a system designed to protect the weak against injustices committed by the strong. This tension between formal legal distinctions and the broader ethical principles reflected the multifaceted nature of classical Sharia. Examining case laws from different countries provides insights into the varied interpretations and applications of these legal distinctions. In Saudi Arabia, where a conservative interpretation of Islamic law prevails, the legal system reflects the traditional gender-based distinctions within classical Sharia. In family law matters, inheritance, and witness testimony, the application of Sharia norms may contribute to gender-based disparities. While there have been efforts to reform certain aspects of family law, the tension between traditional interpretations and evolving societal norms remains (Chowdhury & Shaker, 2015; FARUQI & Malaysia, 2015; Grassa, 2015; Kamali, 2017; Peletz, 2020).

In Turkey, a country with a history of secularization reforms, the legal landscape has undergone significant transformations. The influence of classical Sharia norms has been mitigated through legal reforms that aim to align the legal system with modern principles. The abolition of the caliphate, the introduction of new family laws, and the adoption of a civil legal code reflect a departure from classical Sharia principles.

These reforms highlight the adaptability of legal systems and the ongoing dialogue between religious traditions and the demands of a changing society. In Malaysia, where Sharia coexists with civil law, the legal system grapples with the challenge of balancing traditional Islamic principles with the multicultural and multi-religious composition of the society. Family law matters, including inheritance, are often subject to Sharia principles for Muslims, while non-Muslims are governed by civil laws. The interaction between different legal systems illustrates the complexities of managing legal distinctions based on religion within a diverse society.

Internationally, the discourse on gender-based distinctions within classical Sharia intersects with debates on human rights and equality. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights emphasizes principles of equality and non-discrimination, prompting discussions on the compatibility of classical Sharia norms with universal values. Cases involving gender-based legal distinctions are scrutinized within the context of international human rights standards, prompting a reflection on the tension between religious principles and universal human rights. The European Court of Human Rights has dealt with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in classical Sharia, particularly those related to gender-based distinctions. Legal deliberations within the European framework underscore the nuanced approach required to reconcile religious freedoms with human rights standards. Cases related to family law, inheritance, and witness testimony highlight the complex dynamics inherent in navigating the intersection of classical Sharia principles with secular legal norms.

9. Legal Evolution in Colonial and Post-Colonial Muslim Societies

The transformation of the legal system in British India during the late 18th century marked a significant departure from traditional practices, spearheaded by the governor of Bengal, Warren Hastings. Hastings envisioned a comprehensive legal reform plan that aimed to establish a multi-tiered court system specifically for the Muslim population. This innovative approach involved a middle tier comprising British judges who would collaborate with local Islamic jurists, while a lower tier would consist of courts operated by qadis. The intent was to create a system that incorporated both Western legal principles and traditional Islamic jurisprudence (Ercanbrack, 2019; Esposito & Delong-Bas, 2018; Gwangndi, 2016; Hamid, 2018; Todorof, 2018). As part of this reform initiative, Hastings commissioned the translation of Al-Hidayah, a classic manual of Hanafi fiqh, from Arabic into Persian and subsequently into English. This translation, along with additional texts, was intended to serve as a foundational resource for the evolving legal system.

The synthesis of Western legal thought with Islamic jurisprudence represented an attempt to navigate the complexities of a diverse society under British rule. While these reforms were initiated in British India, similar transformations were occurring in other parts of the Muslim world during the colonial era. The overarching trend involved Muslim rulers recognizing the need for modernization to withstand European pressure. This realization led to the modernization of armies and the establishment of centrally administered states, mirroring Western models. The Ottoman Empire, for example, underwent significant changes in the legal sphere, starting with

the centralization of waqfs, previously independent religious endowments, under state control in 1826. This legal reform in the Ottoman Empire had profound implications, particularly for traditional Islamic legal education. By placing waqfs under state control, the financial support for institutions providing traditional Islamic legal education was significantly diminished. The state's influence on religious foundations disrupted established patterns of financial support, highlighting the complex interplay between legal reforms and financial structures. The process of Westernization of legal institutions and the expansion of state control over various legal domains, initiated during the colonial era, persisted in the nation-states that emerged in the Muslim world.

Sharia courts initially coexisted alongside state courts, reminiscent of earlier times, but a gradual transformation occurred. The notion that sultanic courts should embody the ideals of Sharia was gradually supplanted by the adoption of legal norms imported from Europe. During this transformation, the terminology associated with Islamic legal institutions was preserved, giving the impression of continuity. Terms such as qadi and mahkama (Sharia court) were retained, although their meanings shifted to align with Western legal concepts. The semantic preservation masked a deeper transformation, as qadi now referred to a judge in the Western sense, and mahkama denoted a court following European procedural norms. This convergence of terminology obscured a fundamental shift in the nature of the legal system, as Western legal principles increasingly permeated the structure and functioning of courts. The incorporation of European court procedures further aligned the legal landscape with Western practices. Despite the continued use of Islamic terms, the underlying legal philosophy and operational dynamics were gradually becoming more reflective of European legal norms.

As these changes unfolded, the Muslim world witnessed the evolution of legal systems that straddled both Islamic and Western traditions. The coexistence of Sharia courts with state courts during the initial stages of transformation showcased a complex negotiation between traditional legal structures and the influence of Western legal thought. However, over time, the dominance of European legal norms became increasingly pronounced, altering the very essence of the legal institutions that were once deeply rooted in Islamic jurisprudence. Examples from various countries illustrate the diverse ways in which these changes manifested. In modern-day India, the legal system is a blend of British colonial legacies and indigenous legal traditions. The influence of the British legal framework is evident in the structure and functioning of the judiciary, coexisting with elements derived from traditional Indian legal systems. This nuanced synthesis reflects the historical evolution of legal institutions in a multicultural and diverse society. Similarly, in Turkey, the legal system underwent a radical transformation during the early 20th century under the leadership of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk.

The adoption of a civil legal code, influenced by European legal principles, replaced the Ottoman-era Sharia courts. This shift represented a deliberate departure from Islamic legal traditions in favor of a secular legal framework. The transformation in Turkey serves as a compelling example of a nation-state actively redefining its legal identity in alignment with Western legal norms. The ongoing discourse within Muslim-majority countries regarding the relationship between Sharia and state law underscores the complexities of navigating the intersection

between Islamic jurisprudence and modern legal systems (Azhari, Basri, & Muin, 2016; Fadel, 2016; Hamid, 2016; Khedher, 2017; Peletz, 2015). The debate over the role of Sharia in legal frameworks, especially in family law matters, has prompted discussions on the balance between religious principles and contemporary legal standards. Internationally, the European Court of Human Rights has grappled with cases involving Islamic practices rooted in traditional Sharia. The tension between religious freedoms and human rights standards is evident in legal deliberations that seek to strike a delicate balance. Cases related to issues such as family law, dress codes, and religious expression highlight the nuanced approach required to navigate the intersection of Islamic legal traditions with secular legal norms within the European context.

10. Sharia Courts: Traditional Procedures and Legal Principles Across Jurisdictions

Sharia courts, adhering to traditional practices, typically eschew the involvement of lawyers, with plaintiffs and defendants representing themselves in legal proceedings. This distinctive feature is exemplified in countries like Saudi Arabia and Qatar, where the preservation of traditional Sharia court procedures prevails. In these jurisdictions, trials unfold under the exclusive purview of the judge, and the absence of a jury system is notable. Unlike legal systems influenced by common law traditions, there is no pre-trial discovery process in Sharia courts, and the mechanism of cross-examination of witnesses, a hallmark of common law trials, is conspicuously absent. The absence of binding precedents, a departure from common law principles, characterizes the nature of Sharia court decisions. In stark contrast to the common law doctrine of stare decisis, where judicial decisions become authoritative precedents, Sharia court judgments lack the power to set binding precedents. This aspect reinforces the distinctiveness of Sharia legal systems from common law traditions. Moreover, Sharia does not adhere to the civil law tradition of having formally codified universal statutes. Instead, the interpretation of Sharia principles is left to the discretion of the judge in each case. The absence of codification means that Sharia, as a legal system, relies on the judge's understanding and interpretation of Islamic jurisprudence to render decisions. This contrasts with the detailed and comprehensive legal codes found in civil law jurisdictions.

The rules of evidence in Sharia courts exhibit unique characteristics, giving precedence to oral testimony. Unlike common law systems, where documentary evidence and detailed written records play a crucial role, Sharia courts traditionally prioritize spoken testimony. This emphasis on oral accounts is deeply rooted in the Islamic legal tradition, emphasizing direct witness accounts as a means of establishing facts. An essential aspect of Sharia court procedures is the requirement that witnesses must be Muslim. This stipulation reflects the religious orientation of Sharia law, which considers the testimony of non-Muslims as invalid in legal proceedings. The rationale behind this requirement is deeply ingrained in the belief that adherence to Islamic principles ensures the credibility and integrity of witness accounts within the context of Sharia courts (Abdulla & Keshavjee, 2018; Berger, 2018; Dupret, 2018; Hanapi & YUhermansyah, 2020; Jones, 2019). In the realm of criminal cases, Sharia courts, particularly in jurisdictions influenced by stricter interpretations such as Hanbali jurisprudence, impose restrictions on the admissibility of women witnesses. The

traditional view, prevalent in jurisdictions like Saudi Arabia, deems the testimony of women as unacceptable in criminal proceedings. This restriction is rooted in specific interpretations of Islamic law that question the reliability of women as witnesses, particularly in cases involving serious criminal offenses.

To illustrate these principles in practice, the legal system of Saudi Arabia provides a pertinent example. In Saudi Arabia, Sharia courts play a central role in administering justice, guided by the principles derived from the Hanbali school of jurisprudence. In criminal cases, especially those carrying severe penalties, the testimony of women is often deemed unreliable and, consequently, inadmissible. This exemplifies the impact of the interpretation of Islamic law on the rules of evidence within the Saudi legal system. Qatar, another country adhering to traditional Sharia court procedures, shares similarities with Saudi Arabia in its approach to legal proceedings. Trials are conducted exclusively by judges, and the absence of a jury system is a notable feature. The reliance on oral testimony, coupled with the prohibition of women witnesses in certain cases, reflects the preservation of traditional Sharia principles within the Qatari legal framework.

Contrastingly, in countries with legal systems influenced by secular principles, the absence of Sharia courts and the adoption of more contemporary legal structures highlight the divergence in legal traditions. For instance, in countries like Turkey, which underwent a significant secularization process under the leadership of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk in the early 20th century, Sharia courts were abolished, and a civil legal code was introduced. The transformation aimed to replace traditional Islamic legal structures with a legal system aligned with Western principles, including the establishment of a judiciary that operates on secular grounds. The distinctions in legal traditions become even more pronounced when considering countries that incorporate elements of both Sharia and secular legal systems. Malaysia, for example, operates a dual legal system, where Sharia courts coexist with civil courts. In Sharia courts, which handle matters related to Islamic family law, the procedural aspects adhere to traditional principles, including the absence of lawyers and the reliance on oral testimony. In contrast, civil courts in Malaysia operate under a more secular framework, with legal procedures influenced by both British colonial legacies and indigenous legal traditions.

The European context provides an interesting backdrop for understanding the complexities arising from the intersection of Sharia principles with secular legal norms. European countries with Muslim minority populations navigate the delicate balance between accommodating religious practices and upholding secular legal principles. Cases related to family law, where matters such as marriage, divorce, and inheritance intersect with religious beliefs, have prompted legal deliberations that strive to reconcile diverse cultural and legal perspectives. The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) has been involved in adjudicating cases that involve aspects of Sharia principles within the European legal framework. These cases underscore the challenges of harmonizing religious freedoms with human rights standards. Issues such as the recognition of Islamic marriages, dress codes, and religious expression have prompted nuanced legal interpretations within the European context, where secular legal traditions intersect with diverse religious practices.

11. The Evolution of Fatwas: Tradition, Transformation, and Contemporary Challenges

The advent of codified state laws and Western-style legal education in the contemporary Muslim world has ushered in a transformation that has displaced traditional muftis from their historical role as elucidators and interpreters of laws applied in courts. In this modern context, muftis, who traditionally provided religious and legal guidance, find themselves overshadowed by formal legal systems and institutions influenced by Western jurisprudential traditions. This shift is particularly evident in the diminishing influence of muftis in clarifying legal matters within the formal court system. The rise of codified state laws, often based on secular principles, has led to a reduced reliance on traditional religious scholars in legal decision-making processes. Western-style legal education, emphasizing a structured and codified approach, has further marginalized the role of muftis in shaping legal discourse within the modern legal landscape. One notable consequence of this transformation is the evolving nature of fatwas in contemporary Muslim societies. Traditionally, a fatwa was a non-binding legal opinion issued by a qualified mufti in response to a specific question posed by an individual seeking guidance on religious or legal matters.

However, in the modern era, fatwas have taken on a more public and political dimension, addressing a wide array of issues and sometimes sparking controversies within the Muslim world and beyond. One of the most infamous instances that brought the concept of fatwa to global attention was Ayatollah Khomeini's fatwa condemning Salman Rushdie to death for his novel "The Satanic Verses." This incident, while not universally accepted as a valid fatwa by all Islamic scholars, highlighted the potential for fatwas to transcend traditional boundaries and become instruments of political and ideological expression on the international stage. Modern fatwas are characterized by an increased reliance on the process of ijtihad, which involves deriving legal rulings through independent analysis rather than adhering strictly to the opinions of earlier legal authorities (taqlid). This departure from rigid adherence to established legal doctrines represents a significant departure from traditional approaches to fatwa issuance. The use of ijtihad allows for a more dynamic and context-specific interpretation of Islamic law, reflecting the changing needs and circumstances of contemporary society. However, the evolution of fatwa issuance has not been without controversy.

Some modern fatwas are issued by individuals who may not possess the traditional qualifications required of a mufti. This departure from the established norms has led to concerns about the authenticity and legitimacy of certain fatwas, raising questions about the qualifications and expertise of those issuing legal opinions. One of the most contentious aspects of modern fatwas is exemplified by the pronouncements of militant extremists. These individuals or groups, often operating outside the traditional structures of Islamic jurisprudence, issue fatwas that advocate violence, extremism, and acts of terrorism. The distorted interpretations and ideological motivations behind these fatwas have sparked widespread condemnation within the Muslim world and beyond, leading to increased scrutiny of the role of fatwas in shaping public opinion and influencing radical ideologies. The advent of the internet age has further transformed the landscape of fatwa issuance. A plethora of websites now provide fatwas in response to queries from individuals around the world.

Additionally, radio shows and satellite television programs offer platforms for call-in fatwas, allowing for a more interactive and accessible means of seeking religious and legal guidance. This democratization of access to fatwas, however, has also exposed the practice to potential abuses, as unqualified individuals or fringe groups can disseminate erroneous or controversial legal opinions with far-reaching consequences. Instances of bizarre or seemingly arbitrary fatwas issued by unqualified or eccentric individuals have fueled concerns about the state of the modern practice of issuing fatwas. These cases have given rise to criticisms of a perceived "chaos" in the contemporary landscape of fatwa issuance, with calls for greater regulation and oversight to ensure that legal opinions align with established Islamic jurisprudential principles. To illustrate the complexities surrounding modern fatwas, it is instructive to examine cases from different countries. In Saudi Arabia, for example, where a conservative interpretation of Sunni Islam predominates, the issuance of fatwas is tightly regulated by the state. Qualified religious scholars affiliated with official religious institutions are authorized to issue fatwas, and the government exerts control over the content and dissemination of religious rulings. This centralized approach aims to prevent the proliferation of unauthorized and potentially controversial fatwas. In contrast, countries like Egypt have witnessed a more diverse landscape of fatwa issuance. Al-Azhar University, a prominent Sunni institution, has historically played a significant role in issuing fatwas, but the Egyptian state has also sought to regulate and control the process.

The dynamic interaction between state authorities, religious institutions, and individual scholars reflects the ongoing negotiation over the role of fatwas within the broader legal and religious framework. In Western countries with Muslim minority populations, the dynamics of fatwa issuance take on a different dimension. Here, the legal framework emphasizes religious freedom, allowing individuals and religious scholars to issue fatwas within the context of a pluralistic society. Cases in which fatwas intersect with secular legal principles, such as those related to family law or personal freedoms, underscore the need for a nuanced approach to balancing religious practices with the broader legal framework. The impact of modern fatwas extends beyond the borders of Muslim-majority countries. The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) has grappled with cases involving the interaction of fatwas with human rights standards. Issues such as freedom of expression, the right to life, and protection from discrimination have been central to legal deliberations in cases where fatwas intersect with European legal norms. These cases highlight the challenges of reconciling religious freedoms with universal human rights principles within a multicultural and pluralistic legal context.

12. Evolution Sharia and Democracy: Debates, Dynamics, and Global Perspectives

The compatibility of Sharia with democracy has been a subject of extensive debate, with scholars and observers contending that the harmony between the two depends significantly on the cultural interpretation of Sharia. The crux of this argument revolves around whether Sharia is perceived as a human attempt to interpret God's message or as the literal word of God, with the former being associated with a greater preference for democracy. In essence, those who view Sharia as a human attempt to interpret divine guidance are more likely to embrace democratic principles, acknowledging the role of hu-

man agency in understanding and implementing God's laws. On the contrary, an Islamist interpretation that posits Sharia law as the literal and unalterable word of God tends to align with a more rigid and authoritarian political framework, potentially at odds with democratic ideals (Afrianty, 2015; Mawardi & Riza, 2019; Niaz, 2016; Tønnessen, 2016). Governments in several predominantly Muslim countries have criticized the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) for what they perceive as a failure to consider the cultural and religious context of non-Western nations. Iran, in particular, vocalized its dissent in the United Nations assembly, characterizing the UDHR as "a secular understanding of the Judeo-Christian tradition." Iran argued that the declaration could not be implemented by Muslims without encroaching upon Islamic law.

This perspective reflects a broader sentiment in certain Muslim-majority countries that universal human rights frameworks, often rooted in Western values, may not fully accommodate the nuances of Islamic legal and cultural traditions. Islamic scholars and political parties with Islamist ideologies have been vocal in their opposition to what they perceive as the imposition of 'universal human rights' on Muslim societies. They argue that such impositions amount to a disregard for customary cultural practices and the principles of Islam. The contention here lies in the clash between universal human rights standards and the diverse cultural and religious practices found in Muslim-majority nations. In response to these concerns, the Organisation of Islamic Cooperation (OIC), representing all Muslim-majority nations, convened in Cairo in 1990 to address the perceived shortcomings of the UDHR. The result of this meeting was the adoption of the Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam. This document seeks to articulate human rights principles within the framework of Islamic law, providing an alternative perspective on human rights that aligns more closely with the values and traditions of Muslim-majority countries. The Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam affirms the importance of human dignity, freedom, equality, and justice, but it does so within the parameters of Sharia law. This approach acknowledges the centrality of Islamic legal principles while attempting to articulate a set of human rights that can be accepted within the Islamic cultural and religious context.

However, the Cairo Declaration has not been without criticism. Some argue that its provisions fall short of the universal standards articulated in the UDHR, particularly concerning issues such as freedom of religion, freedom of expression, and equality. Critics contend that the declaration reflects a more conservative interpretation of human rights that may not fully address the diverse needs and aspirations of individuals in Muslim-majority societies. To further explore the dynamics between Sharia and democracy, it is instructive to examine specific case studies and examples from different countries. Turkey, for instance, has experienced a complex relationship between its predominantly Muslim population and its secular democratic system. The country has gone through periods where the role of Sharia in public life has been a contentious issue. Efforts to maintain a secular state, as exemplified by Mustafa Kemal Atatürk's reforms in the early 20th century, sought to curtail the influence of Islamic law in favor of a more Western-oriented legal framework.

In contrast, countries like Indonesia have navigated a path where Islam coexists with democratic governance. Indonesia, with the world's largest Muslim population, has adopted a democratic system that accommodates the practice of various religions, including Islam. While Sharia has been implemented in certain regions of the country, Indonesia's overall legal and political landscape reflects a commitment to pluralism and democracy.

On the other hand, countries like Saudi Arabia and Iran present contrasting models. Saudi Arabia, governed by a strict interpretation of Sunni Islam, implements a legal system deeply rooted in Sharia. The country's legal code aligns with a conservative understanding of Islamic law, and democratic practices are limited. Iran, while having elements of democratic governance, operates within an Islamic Republic framework where ultimate authority rests with the Supreme Leader and Islamic legal principles significantly shape the legal system. To delve deeper into the dynamics of Sharia and democracy, it is crucial to consider the experiences of countries with significant Muslim minority populations, such as India. In India, which is a secular democracy with a substantial Muslim minority, the relationship between Islamic law and the legal system is multifaceted. The country's legal framework includes provisions for personal laws based on religious practices, allowing Muslims to follow Sharia in matters such as marriage, divorce, and inheritance. However, these personal laws operate within the broader context of a democratic and secular legal system. The debate over the compatibility of Sharia and democracy is not confined to Muslim-majority countries alone. In Western democracies with diverse populations, the question arises concerning the accommodation of Islamic legal principles within a democratic framework. Issues such as the recognition of Sharia in family law matters, the construction of mosques, and the wearing of religious attire have sparked debates about the balance between religious freedoms and the principles of secular democracy.

13. Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of Sharia law in this extensive discussion has illuminated the multifaceted nature of this legal and ethical framework within the Islamic tradition. From its historical foundations to its contemporary manifestations, Sharia has been a subject of diverse interpretations, debates, and applications. The synthesis of theological principles, legal norms, and ethical standards within Sharia reflects the complexity of Islamic jurisprudence and its intersection with various aspects of societal life. The foundational sources of Sharia, including the Quran, Hadith, ijma, and analogical reasoning, provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the principles that guide the lives of Muslims. The interplay of these sources, coupled with the development of Sunni legal schools, has given rise to a rich tradition of Islamic jurisprudence that addresses a wide range of issues, from rituals and social dealings to family law, commercial transactions, and criminal justice. The classical process of ijtihad, which involves independent legal reasoning by qualified jurists, has historically played a pivotal role in adapting Sharia to evolving societal contexts.

The recognition of different legal schools, such as the Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali, and Zahiri, exemplifies the diversity of thought within the Sunni tradition and the flexibility inherent in Islamic jurisprudence. This adaptability has allowed Sharia to coexist with customary laws and be integrated into the legal systems of Muslim-majority societies throughout history. One of the essential features of Sharia is its ethical

dimension, wherein legal rulings are not only concerned with the legality of actions but also with their ethical implications. The categorization of actions into mandatory, recommended, neutral, abhorred, and prohibited reflects a holistic approach to human conduct, aligning legal norms with moral values. This ethical underpinning is further emphasized through the concepts of maqasid (aims or purposes) and maslaha (welfare or public interest), as articulated by scholars like al-Ghazali. These doctrines highlight the overarching goal of preserving essential aspects of human well-being within the framework of Sharia. The discussion also delved into the practical application of Sharia, both historically and in contemporary times. Traditional Islamic legal institutions, including Sharia courts and the role of qadis, have played a crucial role in dispensing justice within the parameters of Islamic law. The historical examples of Islamic legal systems in the Ottoman Empire and British India exemplify the dynamic interaction between Sharia and state governance.

Moreover, the examination of specific legal concepts within Sharia, such as qisas, demonstrated the interplay between pre-Islamic practices and the ethical considerations embedded in Islamic law. The evolution of fiqh, the theoretical principles (usul al-fiqh) and branches of jurisprudence (furūʿ al-fiqh), showcased the depth and sophistication of Islamic legal thought. The interconnection of Sharia with cultural, political, and social contexts became evident through the discussion of hisba, where the duty to promote moral rectitude intersects with governance and public order. Case studies from countries like Iran, Nigeria, Indonesia, and Afghanistan provided real-world examples of how hisba has been implemented and its impact on societal dynamics. The tensions between conservative values and more liberal perspectives underscore the ongoing challenges in implementing hisba principles in diverse Mus-

lim-majority societies. The compatibility of Sharia with democracy emerged as a central theme, reflecting the ongoing discourse within Muslim-majority countries and beyond. The cultural interpretation of Sharia, ranging from a human attempt to understand God's message to a more literalist perspective, plays a pivotal role in shaping the stance on democracy. The critique of universal human rights frameworks by some Muslim-majority countries, exemplified by the Cairo Declaration on Human Rights in Islam, reflects the ongoing tension between global standards and culturally specific legal and ethical traditions.

Case studies from countries like Turkey, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, and Iran illustrated the diverse approaches and challenges in reconciling Sharia with democratic governance. The experiences of Muslim minority populations in countries like India and the debates in Western democracies further highlighted the complexities of accommodating Islamic legal principles within pluralistic and secular frameworks. The research paper, encompassing this extensive exploration of Sharia law, has sought to unravel the intricate layers of a legal and ethical tradition that spans centuries and continents. From its roots in the early Islamic period to its contemporary expressions, Sharia remains a dynamic and evolving framework that engages with the complexities of human existence. The diversity of perspectives, historical examples, and contemporary challenges presented in this discussion invites scholars, policymakers, and the broader public to engage in nuanced conversations about the role of Sharia in shaping legal, ethical, and societal norms in our interconnected world. As debates continue and societies evolve, the rich amalgamation of Sharia law will undoubtedly continue to influence and be influenced by the diverse landscapes of the Muslim-majority world and beyond.

References

- Abd Razak, A. H. (2020). Multiple Sharia'board directorship: a Maslahah (public interest) perspective. *Journal of Islamic Marketing, 11*(3), 745-764.
- Abdulla, R. S., & Keshavjee, M. M. (2018). *Understanding Sharia: Islamic Law in a Globalised World*: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Abou Ramadan, M. (2015). Islamic legal hybridity and patriarchal liberalism in the Sharia courts in Israel. *Journal of Levantine Studies, 4*(2), 39-67.
- Absar, A. A. (2020). Restorative justice in Islam with special reference to the concept of Diyya. *Journal of Victimology and Victim Justice, 3*(1), 38-56.
- Abubakar, A. (2017). *Islamic Law Practice and Procedure in Nigerian Courts*: Malthouse Press.
- Adil, M. A. M., & Saidon, R. (2017). Religion as a determinant of child welfare in custody cases in Malaysia and classical Islamic law: A comparative overview. *Islam and Civilisational Renewal, 274*(5579), 1-12.
- Adzim, F. A. F., Saifullah, A. H. M., & Mohd, A. (2021). Shared parenting vs sole custody in Malaysian Shariah Courts: Lessons from Covid-19. *INSLA E-Proceedings, 4*(1), 11-23.
- Afrianty, D. (2015). *Women and sharia law in Northern Indonesia: local women's NGOs and the reform of Islamic law in Aceh*: Routledge.
- Afridawati, A. (2021). History, Typology, and Implementation of Islamic Law in Indonesia: Combination of Sharia and Fiqh or the Result of Historical Evolution? *Al-Risalah, 21*(1), 33-47.
- Agrama, H. A. (2015). Justice between Islamic Sharia and Liberal Law: Remarks on the Egyptian Context. *A Companion to the Anthropology of the Middle East*, 361-390.
- Ahmad, M. H., Baharuddin, A. S., Zakaria, M. A., Nordin, N., Ishak, S. K., & Othaman, N. K. (2020). Bukti saintifik dan penerimaannya di mahkamah syariah: Analisis perundangan bagi undang-undang keterangan mahkamah syariah. *Kanun: Jurnal Undang-undang Malaysia, 32*(1), 67-92.
- Al-Khazaleh, Q., & El-Din, S. (2018). Legal Benefit: A Comparative Study between Legislation and Islamic Jurisprudence. *J. Pol. & L., 11*, 102.
- Alanzi, A. A. (2020). Exploring the legal system in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Innovation, 11*.
- Alfitri, A. (2017). The Role of Sharia Judges in Indonesia: Between The Common Law and The Civil Law Systems. *Mazahib*, 110-124.
- Alias, M. A. A., Wan Ismail, W., Baharuddin, A. S., & Mutalib, L. A. (2021). *An Overview on the Falsification of Document According to Fiqh and Legal Perspectives*. Paper presented at the Proceeding of the 8th International Conference on Management and Muamalah.
- Alizar, M., & Muhammadi, Q. (2019). ISLAMIC SHARIA AND NON-MUSLIM CITIZENS IN KANUNNAME DURING SULTAN ABDUL HAMID II OF THE OTTOMAN EMPIRE. *Walisongo: Jurnal Penelitian Sosial Keagamaan, 27*(1).
- Alotaibi, H. A. (2021). The challenges of execution of Islamic criminal law in developing Muslim Countries: An analysis based on Islamic principles and existing legal system. *Cogent Social Sciences, 7*(1), 1925413.
- Alziyadat, N., & Ahmed, H. (2019). Ethical decision-making in Islamic financial institutions in light of Maqasid Al-Sharia: A conceptual framework. *Thunderbird international business review, 61*(5), 707-718.
- An-Na'im, A. A. (2020). Islam, Sharia and comparative constitutionalism. In *Constitutions and religion* (pp. 172-183): Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Arifin, M. (2021). The Influence Of Islamic Law And Economic Principles On Banking Industry In Indonesia. *Pt. 2 J. Legal Ethical & Regul. Isses, 24*, 1.
- Athief, F. H. N., & Juwanti, R. H. (2020). Court decisions on post-divorce children's livelihood: Islamic law analysis on their practices in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Ijtihad: Jurnal Wacana Hukum Islam dan Kemanusiaan, 20*(2), 151-173.
- Aykut, E. (2017). Judicial Reforms, Sharia Law, and the Death Penalty in the Late Ottoman Empire. *Journal of the Ottoman and Turkish Studies Association, 4*(1), 7-29.
- Ayoub, S. (2015). The Mecelle, Sharia, and the Ottoman State: Fashioning and refashioning of Islamic law in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. *Journal of the Ottoman and Turkish Studies Association, 2*(1), 121-146.
- Azani, M. (2021). The Development of Islamic Law in Indonesia Through Traditional Theory and Legal Changes. *Jurnal Mahkamah: Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Hukum Islam, 6*(2), 113-128.
- Azeez, Y. A., Zakariyah, L., Shukor, S. A., & Salleh, A. Z. (2016). Codification of islamic family law in Malaysia: the contending legal intricacies. *Science International*.
- Azhari, A. F., Basri, M. M. i., & Muin, F. (2016). TRANSFORMATION OF MAQÂSHID Al-SYARÎ'AH (An Overview of the Development of Islamic Law in Indonesia). *AL-IHKAM: Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial, 11*(1), 1-18.
- Baderin, M. A. (2017). Effective Legal Representation in "Shari'ah" Courts as a Means of addressing Human Rights Concerns in the Islamic Criminal Justice System of Muslim States. In *Issues in Islamic Law* (pp. 397-429): Routledge.
- Baharuddin, A. S., Ruskam, A., & Yacob, A. R. (2015). The Role of Forensic Biology in Realising MaqÄ sid al-Shariah (The Objectives of Islamic Law). *Sains Humanika, 4*(1).
- Baig, M. R. (2015). Operating Islamic jurisprudence in non-Muslim jurisdictions: traditional Islamic precepts and contemporary controversies in the United States. *Chi.-Kent L. Rev., 90*, 79.
- Baroody, G. M. (2019). The practice of law in Saudi Arabia. In *King Faisal and the Modernisation of Saudi Arabia* (pp. 113-124): Routledge.
- Bearman, P., & Peters, R. (2016). *The Ashgate research companion to Islamic law*: Routledge.
- Belal, Y. (2018). Islamic Law, Truth, Ethics: Fatwa and Jurisprudence of the Revolution. *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East, 38*(1), 107-121.
- Bello, A. H. (2020). Admissibility of circumstantial evidence in hudud: examining pregnancy as proof of zina in the Sharia courts of Northern Nigeria. *J. Int'l L. Islamic L., 16*, 112.
- Benhalim, R. (2018). Religious Courts in Secular Jurisdictions: How Jewish and Islamic Courts Adapt to Societal and Legal Norms. *Brook. L. Rev., 84*, 745.
- Berger, M. S. (2016). sharia and the nation state. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 223-234): Routledge.
- Berger, M. S. (2018). Understanding sharia in the West. *Journal of Law, Religion and State, 6*(2-3), 236-273.

- Bhatti, M. (2019). Managing Shariah non-compliance risk via Islamic dispute resolution. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13*(1), 2.
- Billaud, J. (2016). Ethics and affects in British Sharia councils:"A simple way of getting to paradise". In *Islam and public controversy in Europe* (pp. 159-172): Routledge.
- Bishara, F. A. (2020). Histories of law and economic life in the Islamic world. *History Compass, 18*(4), e12612.
- Busari, J. M. (2021). Shari 'a as Customary Law? An Analytical Assessment from the Nigerian Constitution and Judicial Precedents. *AHKAM: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah, 21*(1).
- Buskens, L. (2016). Sharia and the colonial state. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 209-221): Routledge.
- Carback, J. T. (2016). On Sharia in American Family Law: Confronting the Dangers of Legal Pluralism. *Int'l J. Jurisprudence Fam., 7*, 165.
- Chambert-Loir, H. (2017). Islamic law in 17th century Aceh. *Archipel. Études interdisciplinaires sur le monde insulindien*(94), 51-96.
- Chowdhury, N., & Shaker, F. (2015). Shariah governance framework of the Islamic banks in Malaysia. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research, 4*(10).
- Colon, J. C. (2019). Choice of law in Islamic finance. In *Dispute Resolution in Islamic Finance* (pp. 8-29): Routledge.
- Daniels, T. P. (2017). Introduction: Sharia Dynamics and the Anthropology of Islam. *Sharia Dynamics: Islamic Law and Sociopolitical Processes*, 1-27.
- Dewar, J. R., & Hussain, M. (2021). *The Islamic finance and markets law review*: Law Business Research Limited.
- Djibrilla, M. M., Buang, A. H., & Olayemi, A. A. M. (2017). The Challenges of Shariah Compliance in the Islamic Banking Practices: Whether Ibn-Al Qayyim's Principles of Muamalat be the Panacea? *The Journal of Muamalat and Islamic Finance Research*, 73-85.
- Dogan, O. (2015). *Rethinking Islamic jurisprudence for Muslim minorities in the West*.
- Dupret, B. (2018). *What is the Sharia?* : Oxford University Press.
- Dutta, S. (2021). Divorce, kinship, and errant wives: Islamic feminism in India, and the everyday life of divorce and maintenance. *Ethnicities, 21*(3), 454-476.
- El Daouk, M. (2021). English case law on Islamic finance: Interpretation and application of Shariah principles. *Journal of Islamic Finance*.
- Endut, N. (2015). Reconstructing justice for women in the courts: an investigation of Syariah court processes in Malaysia. *Gendered entanglements: revisitung gender in rapidly changing Asia*, 263-293.
- Ercanbrack, J. (2019). The standardization of Islamic financial law: lawmaking in modern financial markets. *The American Journal of Comparative Law, 67*(4), 825-860.
- Ergene, B. A. (2016). Qanun and sharia. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 109-120): Routledge.
- Esmaeili, H. (2015). Australian Muslims: The role of Islamic law and integration of Muslims into Australian society. *Flinders LJ, 17*, 69.
- Esposito, J. L., & Delong-Bas, N. J. (2018). *Shariah: what everyone needs to know*: Oxford University Press.
- Fadel, M. (2016). State and sharia. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 93-107): Routledge.
- FARUQI, D. S. S., & Malaysia, G. (2015). Shariah Laws, Civil Laws and the Federal Constitution. *Breaking The Silence: Voices of Moderation: Islam in a Constitutional Democracy*.
- Ghosh, S., & Chakrabarti, A. (2021). Religion-based 'Personal'Law, Legal Pluralism and Secularity: A Field View of Adjudication under Muslim Personal Law in India. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion, 10*(2), 254-274.
- Grassa, R. (2015). Shariah supervisory systems in Islamic finance institutions across the OIC member countries: An investigation of regulatory frameworks. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 23*(2), 135-160.
- Gulam, H. (2016). The application of shariah (islamic law) in some different countries and its implications. *Jurnal Syariah, 24*(2).
- Gwangndi, M. I. (2016). The Socio-Legal Context of the Nigerian Legal System and the Shariah Controversy: An Analysis of Its Impact on Some Aspects of Nigerian Women's Rights. *JL Pol'y & Globalization, 45*, 60.
- Hakim, M. L. (2021). Sharī'a, Fiqh, and Qānūn: A Portrait of the Cognitive Nature of Islamic Law in Indonesia. *Asy-Syir'ah: Jurnal Ilmu Syari'ah dan Hukum, 55*(1), 25-48.
- Hamid, A. F. A. (2016). Syariahization of intra-Muslim religious freedom and human rights practice in Malaysia: the case of Darul Arqam. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 28-54.
- Hamid, A. F. A. (2018). Shariaization of Malay-Muslim identity in contemporary Malaysia. *Journal of the Malaysian Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, 91*(2), 49-78.
- Hanapi, A., & YUhermansyah, E. (2020). Urgency of marriage registration for women and child protection in Gayo Lues District. *Samarah: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Dan Hukum Islam, 4*(2), 528-544.
- Hariyanto, E. (2019). The Settlement of Sharia Banking Dispute Based on Legal Culture as a Practice of Indonesian Islamic Moderation. *AL-IHKAM: Jurnal Hukum & Pranata Sosial, 14*(2), 301-316.
- Hasan-Bello, A. (2019). Sharia in the Nigerian Constitutions: Examining the Constitutional Conferences and the Sharia Debates in the Drafts. *Al-Ahkam, 29*(1), 1-26.
- Hasballah, K., Nurdin, R., Zainuddin, M., & Fahmi, M. (2021). Patah Titi and Substitute Heirs: A Study of Legal Pluralism on the Inheritance System in Aceh Community. *AHKAM: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah, 21*(2).
- Hassan, I. (2020). Developing language proficiency for academic purposes: A study of Sharia Law students in Malaysia. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research, 9*(03).
- Hefner, R. W. (2016). Shari'a Law and the Quest for a Modern Muslim Ethics. *Shari 'a law and modern Muslim ethics*, 1-33.
- Hefner, R. W. (2017). Sharia Law and Muslim Ethical Imaginaries in Modern Indonesia. *Sharia Dynamics: Islamic Law and Sociopolitical Processes*, 91-115.
- Huis, S. C. v. (2015). Islamic courts and women's divorce rights in Indonesia: The cases of Cianjur and Bulukumba. *Universiteit Leiden*.
- Hussain, M. A., Hassan, R., Azhar, A., & Hasan, A. (2017). The appointment of members to the Shariah Advisory Council of Central Bank of Malaysia: Legal issues. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies, 8*, 167-181.

- Hussin, M. N. M. (2016). Amalan Pembahagian Harta Sepencarian di Malaysia: Satu Sorotan Literatur. *Journal of Shariah Law Research, 1*(1).
- Iakovidis, I., & McDonough, P. (2019). The Molla Sali case: how the European court of human rights escaped a legal labyrinth while holding the thread of human rights. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion, 8*(2), 427-446.
- Ismail, S. Z. (2016). The Legal Perspective of Khalwat (Close Proximity) as a Shariah Criminal Offence in Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities, 24*(3).
- Ismail, S. Z., & Awang Mat, M. Z. (2016). Faith and freedom: The Qur'anic notion of freedom of religion vs. the act of changing religion and thoughts on the implications for Malaysia. *Religions, 7*(7), 88.
- Ismail, W., Baharuddin, A. S., Mutalib, L. A., & Alias, M. A. A. (2021). An appraisal of digital documents as evidence in Islamic Law. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 10*(3), 198-205.
- Ismail, W. A. F. b. W., & Asutay, M. (2017). The legal forensic model in determining the genuineness of Islamic banking documents and their application in Shariah Courts. *Global Journal Al-Thaqafah*.
- Ismail, W. A. F. W. (2015). Forms of document falsification in Malaysia's Syariah courts. *Geografia, 11*(9).
- Ismail, W. A. F. W., Baharuddin, A. S., Mutalib, L. A., & Alias, M. A. A. (2020). The Admissibility of Digital Document According to Syariah Law: A Preliminary Analysis.
- Ismail, W. A. F. W., Mutalib, L. A., Abdullah, Z. H., Amani, N. C., & Khir, M. M. (2015). Document forensic, the fiqh and the Syariah Courts. *Geografia-Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*.
- Ismail, W. A. F. W., Shukor, S. A., Hashim, H., Mutalib, L. A., & Baharuddin, A. S. (2019). The reality on application and challenges of closed-circuit television (CCTV) images as evidence in shariah criminal cases in Malaysia. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*.
- Jamal, J., & Hashim, H. (2016). HUKUMAN ALTERNATIF DI MAHKAMAH SYARIAH: KEPERLUAN PENAMBAHBAIKAN PERUNTUKAN PERUNDANGAN SYARIAH NEGERI-NEGERI: Syariah Court's Alternative Sentences: The Need for Improvement of the States' Shariah Legal Provisions. *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law, 4*, 1-16.
- Janin, H., & Kahlmeyer, A. (2015). *Islamic law: The Sharia from Muhammad's time to the present*: McFarland.
- Jones, J. (2019). Where Only Women May Judge': Developing Gender-Just Islamic Laws in India's All-Female 'Sharī 'ah Courts. *Islamic Law and Society, 26*(4), 437-466.
- Kalanges, K. (2016). Sharia and Modernity. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 277-289): Routledge.
- Kamali, M. H. (2017). *Shariah Law: Questions and Answers*: Simon and Schuster.
- Kamali, M. H. (2019). *Crime and punishment in Islamic law: A fresh interpretation*: Oxford University Press.
- Karjoko, L., Jaelani, A. K., Tegnan, H., Glaser, H., & Hayat, M. J. (2021). Islamic Court's Approach to Land Dispute in Inheritance Cases. *AHKAM: Jurnal Ilmu Syariah, 21*(2).
- Kassam, R. (2017). *No go zones: how sharia law is coming to a neighborhood near you*: Simon and Schuster.
- Keshavjee, M. M. (2016). Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) and its potential for helping Muslims reclaim the higher ethical values (Maqasid) underpinning the Sharia. *The state of social progress of Islamic societies: Social, economic, political, and ideological challenges*, 607-621.
- Khedher, R. (2017). Tracing the development of the Tunisian 1956 code of personal status. *Journal of International Women's Studies, 18*(4), 30-37.
- Khoukaz, G. (2017). Sharia Law and International Commercial Arbitration: The Need for an Intra-Islamic Arbitral Institution. *J. Disp. Resol.*, 181.
- Kloos, D., & Berenschot, W. (2016). Citizenship and Islam in Malaysia and Indonesia. *2016). Citizenship and Democratization in Southeast Asia*, 178-210.
- Koumoutzis, N., & Papastylianos, C. (2019). Human Rights Issues Arising from the Implementation of Sharia Law on the Minority of Western Thrace—ECtHR Molla Sali v. Greece, Application No. 20452/14, 19 December 2018. *Religions, 10*(5), 300.
- Krawietz, B. (2016). sharia and medical ethics. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 291-305): Routledge.
- Kunhibava, S. (2015). Ensuring Shariah compliance at the courts and the role of the Shariah Advisory Council in Malaysia. *Malayan Law Journal, 3*(1), 1-40.
- Lindbekk, M. (2017). Inscribing Islamic Shari 'a in Egyptian divorce law. *Oslo Law Review, 3*(2), 103-135.
- Lindsey, T., & Pausacker, H. (2016). *Religion, law and intolerance in Indonesia*: Routledge.
- Lindsey, T., & Steiner, K. (2016). Islam, the monarchy and criminal law in Brunei: the Syariah Penal Code Order, 2013. *Griffith Law Review, 25*(4), 552-580.
- Lydon, G. (2018). Inventions and Reinventions of Sharia in African History and the Recent Experiences of Nigeria, Somalia and Mali. *Ufahamu: A Journal of African Studies, 40*(1).
- Mahmod, Z., & Buang, A. H. (2016). Kehakiman dan penghakiman mahkamah syariah di Malaysia: Satu sorotan. *Journal of Shariah Law Research, 1*(2).
- Malek, M. M. A., Jeniwaty, M., Sulaiman, M., & Harun, S. M. (2015). *In the purview of an oath from the jurisprudential method of Islamic law of evidence*. Paper presented at the Islamic perspectives relating to business, arts, culture and communication: Proceedings of the 1st ICIBACC 2014.
- Malik, O. L. A., & Muda, F. S. B. (2015). The concept of reconciliation (Sulh) in Islamic family law and matrimonial dispute settlement practice in Nigeria. *Peak Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 3*(1), 1-7.
- Markom, R., & Yaakub, N. I. (2015). Litigation as dispute resolution mechanism in Islamic finance: Malaysian experience. *European Journal of Law and Economics, 40*, 565-584.
- Masse, R. A., & Rusli, M. (2018). *Islamic Banking Dispute Resolution in National Sharia Arbitration Board*. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
- Masud, M. K. (2019). Modernizing Islamic Law in Pakistan: Reform or Reconstruction? *Journal of South Asian and Middle Eastern Studies, 42*(2), 73-97.
- Mawardi, A. I., & Riza, A. K. (2019). Why did Kompilasi Hukum Islam succeed while its counter legal draft failed? A political context and legal arguments of the codification of Islamic law for religious courts in Indonesia. *Journal of Indonesian Islam, 13*(2), 421-453.
- McGoldrick, D. (2019). Sharia Law in Europe? Legacies of the Ottoman Empire and the European Convention on Human Rights. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion, 8*(3), 517-566.

- Meirison, M., & Nazar, Z. (2021). Intellectual Property Rights and Monopoly in the Perspective of Islamic Jurisprudence. *Al-Ahkam, 31*(1), 49-68.
- Minarrahmah, N. (2020). *Legal penalty of divorce outside the religious court in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Brunei Darussalam*. Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim,
- Mir-Hosseini, Z. (2016). Moral contestations and patriarchal ethics: Women challenging the justice of Muslim family laws. *Shari'a law and modern Muslim ethics*, 65-83.
- Mir-Hosseini, Z. (2018). Justice and Equality and Muslim Family Laws: New Ideas, New Prospects. *Sharia and Justice: An Ethical, Legal, Political, and Cross-cultural Approach*, 73.
- Mohamad, M. (2017). Contesting Syariah Laws in Malaysia: Religion, Human Rights and the State's Response. *J. Pol. & L., 10*, 140.
- Mohd Noor, N. S., Mohd. Shafiai, M. H., & Ismail, A. G. (2019). The derivation of Shariah risk in Islamic finance: a theoretical approach. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research, 10*(5), 663-678.
- Moustafa, T. (2017). Judging in God's name: State power, secularism, and the politics of Islamic law in Malaysia. In *Religious Rights* (pp. 267-282): Routledge.
- Moustafa, T. (2018a). *Constituting religion: Islam, liberal rights, and the Malaysian state*: Cambridge University Press.
- Moustafa, T. (2018b). The judicialization of religion. *Law & Society Review, 52*(3), 685-708.
- Moustafa, T., & Sachs, J. A. (2018). Law and society review special issue introduction: Islamic law, society, and the state. *Law & Society Review, 52*(3), 560-573.
- Muhamad, N. H. N., Kamarudin, A. H., Sholehuddin, N., Hamid, M. F. A., Muhidin, I., Karim, K. A., & Adbullah, A. H. (2019). Islamic intervivos law challenges in Malaysia. *J. Legal Ethical & Regul. Isses, 22*, 1.
- MUHIDIN, I., MUHAMAD, N. H. N., KAMARUDIN, M. K., DARAWI, A. B. S., NOOR, S. S. M., & ABDULLAH, A. (2021). The Concept of Wasatiyyah in Governing the Muslim Community of Singapore. *The journal of contemporary issues in business and government, 27*(3), 365-371.
- Mukharom, M., Heryanti, B. R., Astanti, D. I., & Aravik, H. (2020). Sharia economic legal contribution of economic development in Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Economics Perspectives, 1*(2), 43-50.
- Mukminin, K. (2019). Profit maximization in Islamic banking: An assemblage of maqasid shariah conception. *European Journal of Islamic Finance*(12).
- Mustafa, M., & Agbaria, A. K. (2016). Islamic jurisprudence of minorities (Fiqh al-Aqalliyyat): The case of the Palestinian Muslim minority in Israel. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs, 36*(2), 184-201.
- Nabilah, W., Rizal, D., & Warman, A. B. (2021). Persecutory and Defamation as Barriers to Inheritance (Review of Maqāṣid Shari'ah in a Compilation of Islamic Law). *Al Hurriyah: Jurnal Hukum Islam, 6*(1), 49-62.
- Naff, T., & Dellapenna, J. (2017). Can there be confluence? A comparative consideration of Western and Islamic fresh water law. In *International Law and Islamic Law* (pp. 281-305): Routledge.
- Niaz, N. S. (2016). *Women's Shariah court-Muslim women's quest for justice: an alternative dispute resolution forum for and by Muslim women*: Notion Press.
- Olalekan, O. S. (2018). Autonomous vehicles and tortious liability: an Islamic perspective. *Jurnal Syariah, 26*(1), 99-122.
- Oraegbunam, I. K. (2016). Maliki jurisprudence and Boko Haram ideology versus Nigerian nation building: Need for pluralism in Islamic praxis. *OGIRISI: A New Journal of African Studies, 12*, 25-45.
- Ostien, P., Garba, A., & Abubakar, M. (2017). Nigeria's Sharia Courts.
- Pa, H. C., Muhammad, N. H. N., & Mustar, S. (2016). Bidang kuasa eksklusif mahkamah syariah selepas pindaan Perkara 121 (1a) Perlembagaan Persekutuan: Satu penilaian. *Malaysian Journal of Syariah and Law, 4*, 1-13.
- Pakeeza, S., & Fatima, F. (2016). Ijtihad as a legislative function: Role of Ijtihad, Ifta and Taqleed in Legislative Process. *Journal of Islamic & Religious Studies, 1*(1), 33-45.
- Pasarlay, S. (2016). Islam and the Sharia in the 1993 Mujahideen Draft Constitution of Afghanistan: A Comparative Perspective. *Indon. J. Int'l & Comp. L., 3*, 183.
- Peletz, M. G. (2015). A tale of two courts: Judicial transformation and the rise of a corporate Islamic governmentality in Malaysia. *American Ethnologist, 42*(1), 144-160.
- Peletz, M. G. (2018). Are women getting (more) justice? Malaysia's Sharia courts in ethnographic and historical perspective. *Law & Society Review, 52*(3), 652-684.
- Peletz, M. G. (2020). *Sharia transformations: Cultural politics and the rebranding of an Islamic judiciary*: Univ of California Press.
- Peters, R., & Bearman, P. (2016). Introduction: the nature of the sharia. In *The Ashgate Research Companion to Islamic Law* (pp. 1-10): Routledge.
- Petersen, J. (2020). The Islamic juridical vacuum and Islamic authorities' role in divorce cases. *Naveiñ Reet: Nordic Journal of Law and Social Research*(10), 67-84.
- Pilgram, L. (2015). British-Muslim family law and citizenship. In *Citizenship After Orientalism* (pp. 207-220): Routledge.
- Poon, J. P., Pollard, J., & Chow, Y. W. (2018). Resetting neoliberal values: lawmaking in Malaysia's Islamic finance. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers, 108*(5), 1442-1456.
- Powell, E. J. (2015). Islamic law states and peaceful resolution of territorial disputes. *International Organization, 69*(4), 777-807.
- Powell, E. J. (2016). Islamic law states and the authority of the international court of justice: Territorial sovereignty and diplomatic immunity. *Law & Contemp. Probs., 79*, 209.
- Powell, E. J. (2019). *Islamic law and international law: Peaceful resolution of disputes*: Oxford University Press.
- Powell, R. (2016). *Sharia in the Secular State: Evolving Meanings of Islamic Jurisprudence in Turkey*: Routledge.
- Ramadhan, S. (2020). Islamic law, politics and legislation: development of Islamic law reform in political legislation of Indonesia. *ADHKI: journal of islamic family law, 2*(1), 63-76.
- Rani, F. A., Fikri, F., & Mahfud, M. (2020). Islam and National Law: A Formal Legal Review on Sharia Laws in Aceh. *Al-Risalah, 20*(1), 47-57.
- Razif, N. H. M. (2020). Intimacy under surveillance: Illicit sexuality, moral policing, and the state in contemporary Malaysia. *Hawwa, 18*(2-3), 325-356.

- Rehman, Y. (2016). Islamisation of the Muslim World. *Feminist Dissent*(1), 101-116.
- Rokhmad, A., & Susilo, S. (2017). Conceptualizing authority of the legalization of Indonesian women's rights in Islamic family law. *Journal of Indonesian Islam, 11*(2), 489-508.
- Rosidah, Z. N. (2020). Limitation of Application of Sharia Principles in Sharia Economic Dispute Resolution in Religious Courts. *Journal of Morality and Legal Culture, 1*(1), 24-31.
- Sabrow, S. (2020). Non-enforcement as a Tool of Mediation in Pluralistic Societies. *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law, 52*(2), 154-179.
- Salaymeh, L. (2021). Decolonial translation: Destabilizing coloniality in secular translations of Islamic law. *Journal of Islamic Ethics, 5*(1-2), 250-277.
- Salh, S. A. (2021). Dispute Resolution of Islamic Financial Institutions, Court Litigation and Negotiation. *institutions, 90.*
- Salim, A. (2015). *Contemporary Islamic law in Indonesia: Sharia and legal pluralism*: Edinburgh University Press.
- Samuri, M. A. A. B., & Khan, A. S. N. (2020). Legal implications of conversion to Islam on civil marriage: Narrative of converts in Malaysia. *Islamiyyat, 42*(2), 103-111.
- Schenk, C. G. (2018). Islamic leaders and the legal geography of family law in Aceh, Indonesia. *The Geographical Journal, 184*(1), 8-18.
- Schenk, C. G. (2019). Legal and spatial ordering in Aceh, Indonesia: Inscribing the security of female bodies into law. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space, 51*(5), 1128-1144.
- Schneider, I. (2020). *Debating the Law, Creating Gender: Sharia and Lawmaking in Palestine, 2012-2018* (Vol. 18): Brill.
- Scott, R. M. (2021). *Recasting Islamic law: Religion and the nation state in Egyptian constitution making*: Cornell University Press.
- Shahed, W. (2019). reexamination of Islamic Laws: The entrance of Women in the Sharia Courts. *Mich. St. Int'l. Rev., 28*, 139.
- Shakir, N. (2015). Islamic shariah and blasphemy laws in Pakistan. *The Round Table, 104*(3), 307-317.
- Shariff, A. A. M., Azhar, A., Rajamanickam, R., Manap, N. A., Hussein, S. M., Said, M. H. M., . . . Markom, R. (2019). Analysis on admissibility of DNA evidence in Malaysian syariah courts. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 8*(4), 159-159.
- Sisson, E. (2015). The future of sharia law in American arbitration. *Vand. J. Transnat'l L., 48*, 891.
- Sonne, J. A. (2015). Domestic Applications of Sharia and the Exercise of Ordered Liberty. *Seton Hall L. Rev., 45*, 717.
- Sparr, A. E. (2014). Legal pluralism and Sharia: Implementing Islamic law in states and societies. *E-International Relations, 18.*
- Steiner, K. (2015). Governing Islam: The State, the Administration of Muslim Law Act (AMLA) and Islam in Singapore. *Austl. J. Asian L., 16*, 97.
- Steiner, K. (2018). Branding Islam: Islam, Law, and Bureaucracies in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs, 37*(1), 27-56.
- Suadi, A. (2020). Judicial Authority and the Role of the Religious Courts in the Settlement of Sharia Economic Disputes. *Lex Publica, 7*(2), 1-14.
- Sulaiman, M. A., WZ, W. Y., & Al-Edrus, S. (2017). Management Of Missing Person's Properties In Maqasid Syariah Dimension. *Sains Humanika, 9*(1-4).
- Sumardi, D., Lukito, R., & Ichwan, M. N. (2021). Legal pluralism within the space of Sharia: Interlegality of criminal law traditions in Aceh, Indonesia. *Samarah: Jurnal Hukum Keluarga Dan Hukum Islam, 5*(1), 426-449.
- Syaikhu, S. (2019). The dispute settlements of inheritance in Palangka Raya: a legal antrhopology approach. *Mazahib: Jurnal Pemikiran Hukum Islam, 18*(1), 117-141.
- Syed, I. Y. (2018). Interest in Sharia: legal consequences and penal aspects in Sharia. *Available at SSRN 3369733.*
- Sykiainen, L. (2015). Sharia Courts: Modern Practice and Prospectives in Russia. *Higher School of Economics Research Paper No. WP BRP, 60.*
- Tagoranao, M. S. (2015). *Productivity Of Malaysian Legal Educational System: Its Effective Resources To Match A Changing Job Market.* Paper presented at the The 2015 WEI International Academic Conference Proceedings Barcelona, Spain January.
- Thalib, P. (2018). Distinction of characteristics sharia and fiqh on islamic law. *Yuridika, 33*(3), 439-452.
- Todorof, M. (2018). *Shariah-compliant FinTech in the banking industry.* Paper presented at the era Forum.
- Tønnessen, L. (2016). Women's activism in Saudi Arabia: Male guardianship and sexual violence. *CMI Report.*
- Triana, N. (2017). Reconstructing Sharia Economic Dispute Resolution Based on Indonesian Muslim Society Culture. *Ijtima'iyya: Journal of Muslim Society Research, 2*(1), 107-128.
- Tsavousoglou, İ. (2017). The legal treatment of muslim minority women under the rule of Islamic law in Greek Thrace. *Oslo Law Review, 2*(3), 241-262.
- Uddin, I. (2018). Nikah-only Marriages: Causes, motivations, and their impact on dispute resolution and Islamic divorce proceedings in England and Wales. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion, 7*(3), 401-426.
- Uddin, I. (2020). Reformulation of Islamic Matrimonial Law: British Muslims, Contemporary Understandings and Normative Practices. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs, 40*(1), 6-25.
- Valčiukas, J. (2018). *Islamic law: a question of adaptability.* Vilnius: Mykolo Romerio universitetas,
- Voorhoeve, M. (2014). *Gender and divorce law in North Africa: Sharia, custom and the personal status code in Tunisia*: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Wahab, M. A. (2016). Arahan amalan Jabatan Kehakiman Syariah Malaysia di mahkamah syariah: Suatu sorotan literatur. *Journal of Shariah Law Research, 1*(2).
- Warren, D. H., & Gilmore, C. (2014). One nation under God? Yusuf al-Qaradawi's changing Fiqh of citizenship in the light of the Islamic legal tradition. *Contemporary Islam, 8*, 217-237.
- Wood, L. G. H. (2016). *Islamic Legal Revival: Reception of European law and transformations in Islamic legal thought in Egypt, 1875-1952*: Oxford University Press.
- Yanlua, M. (2015). Prospective Islamic law in Indonesia. *Journal of Humanity, 3*(1), 92460.
- Yilmaz, I. (2019). Muslims and Sacred Texts and Laws. *Available at SSRN 3425623.*
- Zaman, M. F. (2017). Islamic Movements, Women, and Social Reform: Who Speaks of the Sharia in Pakistan? *Sharia Dynamics: Islamic Law and Sociopolitical Processes*, 223-249.
- Zee, M. (2014). Five options for the relationship between the state and sharia councils: untangling the debate on sharia councils and women's rights in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Religion and Society, 16.*
- Zeghal, M. (2016). The Implicit Sharia: Established Religion and Varietie of Secularism in Tunisia. In *Varieties of Religious Establishment* (pp. 107-130): Routledge.

- Zin, N. M. (2017). Female Judges in Malaysian Shariʿa Courts: A Problem of Gender or Legal Interpretation? In *Women Judges in the Muslim World* (pp. 153-177): Brill.
- Zoli, C., Bassiouni, M. C., & Khan, H. (2017). Justice in post-conflict settings: Islamic law and Muslim communities as stakeholders in transition. *Utrecht J. Int'l & Eur. L., 33*, 38.
- Zubaidah Ismail, S. (2015). At the foot of the Sultan: The dynamic application of shariah in Malaysia. *Electronic Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Law (EJIMEL), 3*(16), 69-81.